# NORTH COUNTY CORRIDOR TRANSPORTATION EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ITEM: 4a
SUBJECT:
Value Analysis Study
STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:
Information only
FISCAL IMPACT:
None
DISCUSSION:
Information only

	SUMMARY OF V EA 05800 North	'A ALT. DISPO County Corridor F	Stanislaus County							
Alt. No.	Description	Potential Savings & Performance	Your Name	Disposition*		on*				
				Α	CA	R	Comments			
ROADV	ROADWAY ITEMS									
1.0	Semi-depress local roads grade separation Interchanges	\$21,252,000 +16%			х		<ul> <li>This item is conditionally accepted to be studied further during PS&amp;E.</li> <li>Each location to be evaluated separately.</li> <li>Depressing the local roads will depend on existing utilities, local access needs, drainage, constructability, maintenance costs, etc.</li> <li>Constructability and traffic staging will need to be taken into account before implementing this option.</li> </ul>			
2.0	Use Divergent Diamond Interchange (DDI) <del>in lieu of</del> Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI)	N/A +15%				x	<ul> <li>This item is rejected.</li> <li>It is not an approved interchange type by Caltrans.</li> <li>It would not provide consistency along the corridor unless all of the interchanges were DDIs.</li> <li>No potential savings costs identified.</li> <li>It potentially requires additional project footprint and could affect local driveway access.</li> </ul>			
3.0	Use rock/pipe trench in median and wide ditches.	See items 3.1 and 3.2			x		<ul> <li>Items 3.1 and 3.2 have been combined into Item 3.0.</li> <li>This item is conditionally accepted to be studied further during PS&amp;E.</li> <li>Various locations to be evaluated based on geotechnical soil recommendations.</li> <li>Maintenance issues to be evaluated for existing systems.</li> </ul>			
3.1	Use rock trench in lieu of wide ditches and retention basins	\$8,138,000					• This item has been combined with item 3.2 into a new item 3.0.			

\*Disposition: A = Accept

R = Reject

#### **SUMMARY OF VA ALT. DISPOSITIONS**

EA 0S800 North County Corridor Project

## **Stanislaus County**

Alt. No.	Description	Potential Savings & Performance	Your Name	Disposition*			Comments
				Α	CA	R	Comments
		+8%					
3.2	Use rock/pipe trench in median and minimize ditches and retention basins	\$18,213,000 +10%					This item has been combined with item 3.1 into a new item 3.0.
4.0	Use back to back MSE walls between Roselle and Claus to minimize footprint and fill; Shift alignment to further south at BNSF crossing to minimize footprint	\$2,030,000 +46%		x			<ul> <li>This item is accepted.</li> <li>It is to be implemented during the PA/ED or PS&amp;E phase.</li> </ul>
5.0	Make median slope to 4:1 in lieu of 10:1	\$2,841,000 +3%			х		<ul> <li>This item is conditionally accepted to be studied further during PS&amp;E.</li> <li>Requires Caltrans advisory design exception.</li> <li>Additional work required for future widening.</li> </ul>
6.0	Use continuous flow intersection design in lieu of triple left turn at Claus and Albers	N/A +14%			x		<ul> <li>This item is conditionally accepted to be studied further during PS&amp;E.</li> <li>Traffic operations to be evaluated based on future Modesto realignment of Claus Road.</li> <li>Local driveway access will need to be taken into account before implementing.</li> </ul>
7.0	Use light weight fill with pre- cast panel or shotcrete in lieu of imported borrow where	N/A +14%				х	<ul> <li>This item is rejected.</li> <li>It is an option that is available to any project depending on foundation and or other structure specific need.</li> </ul>

\*Disposition: A = Accept

R = Reject

### **SUMMARY OF VA ALT. DISPOSITIONS**

EA 0S800 North County Corridor Project

## **Stanislaus County**

		<u>,                                      </u>		1			
Alt. No.	Description	Potential Savings & Performance	Your Name	Disposition*		on*	Comments
				Α	CA	R	Comments
	applicable						
8.0	Use rubberized asphalt pavement	\$3,899,000 +10%		х			<ul> <li>Item 8.2 has become a stand-alone item 8.0.</li> <li>This item is accepted.</li> <li>It is to be implemented during the PA/ED or PS&amp;E phase.</li> </ul>
8.1	Use FORTA-FI pavement additive to reduce pavement section	\$15,600,000 +14%					This item has become stand-alone Item 12.0
8.2	Use rubberized asphalt pavement	\$3,899,000 +10%					This item has become stand-alone Item 8.0.
9.0	Use "bench" to minimize shoulder structural section	\$7,749,000 +8%				x	<ul> <li>This item is rejected.</li> <li>Requires Caltrans mandatory design exception for use at inside 5' shoulder.</li> <li>Concerned with maintenance issues.</li> <li>Difficult to construct.</li> </ul>
10.0	Use Quicklime Plus treated base to reduce structural section	\$8,547,000 +8%			х		<ul> <li>This item is conditionally accepted to be studied further during PS&amp;E.</li> <li>Each location to be evaluated separately.</li> <li>Lime is to be used depending on geotechnical soil recommendations.</li> <li>Not to be implemented on local roads due to difficulty in accessing underground facilities.</li> </ul>

\*Disposition: A = Accept R = Reject

#### **SUMMARY OF VA ALT. DISPOSITIONS Stanislaus County** EA 0S800 North County Corridor Project Disposition\* **Potential** Alt. Description Savings & **Your Name Comments** No. CA R Performance Α • This item is conditionally accepted to be studied further 11.0 Minimize ROW to \$2~10 million accommodate 4-lane only, during PS&E. +24% Χ from Oakdale Claus to SR-120 • Requires Caltrans advisory design exception in rural area. (Median reduction to 46') • Consider safety based on traffic volumes.

Χ

• Item 8.1 has become a stand-alone item 12.0.

• It may be implemented on local roads.

during PS&E.

NCC mainline.

• This item is conditionally accepted to be studied further

• Currently not an approved material by Caltrans. It would need to be tested and approved by Caltrans prior to use on

\*Disposition: A = Accept R = Reject

Use FORTA-FI pavement

section

additive to reduce pavement

12.0

\$15,600,000

+14%