

**THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS  
BOARD ACTION SUMMARY**

DEPT: Environmental Resources

BOARD AGENDA:5.B.1  
AGENDA DATE: October 9, 2018

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Various Agreements Pertaining to the Development of a Groundwater Sustainability Plan in the Delta-Mendota Groundwater Subbasin


**BOARD ACTION AS FOLLOWS:**

**RESOLUTION NO. 2018-0496**

On motion of Supervisor Chiesa-----, Seconded by Supervisor Withrow-----  
and approved by the following vote,  
Ayes: Supervisors: Olsen, Chiesa, Withrow, Monteith, and Chairman DeMartini-----  
Noes: Supervisors: None-----  
Excused or Absent: Supervisors: None-----  
Abstaining: Supervisor: None-----

- 1)  X  Approved as recommended
- 2)        Denied
- 3)        Approved as amended
- 4)        Other:

**MOTION:**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
ATTEST: ELIZABETH A. KING, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors      File No. GSA3-3

**THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS  
AGENDA ITEM**

DEPT: Environmental Resources

BOARD AGENDA:5.B.1  
AGENDA DATE: October 9, 2018

CONSENT:

CEO CONCURRENCE: YES

4/5 Vote Required: No

---

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of Various Agreements Pertaining to the Development of a Groundwater Sustainability Plan in the Delta-Mendota Groundwater Subbasin

**STAFF RECOMMENDATION:**

1. Approve the Delta-Mendota Subbasin Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) Coordination Agreement.
2. Authorize the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to sign the Delta-Mendota Subbasin SGMA Coordination Agreement on behalf of Stanislaus County.
3. Approve the Cost Share Agreement related to the implementation of the Delta-Mendota Subbasin SGMA Coordination Agreement.
4. Authorize the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to sign the Cost Share Agreement related to the implementation of the Delta-Mendota Subbasin SGMA Coordination Agreement on behalf of Stanislaus County.
5. Approve the second Amendment to the SGMA Activity Agreement related to the creation of a Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) Management Group to implement specific provisions of the Delta-Mendota Subbasin SGMA Coordination Agreement.
6. Authorize the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to sign the consent form pertaining to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment to the SGMA Activity Agreement relating to the creation of a Northern GSP Management Group to implement specific provisions of the Delta-Mendota Subbasin SGMA Coordination Agreement on behalf of Stanislaus County.
7. Approve the attached Resolution approving the above agreements.

**DISCUSSION:**

The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA) requires that medium and high priority groundwater basins throughout the State be managed by Groundwater Sustainability Agencies (GSA) in a basin-wide fashion. GSAs are responsible for developing and implementing Groundwater Sustainability Plans (GSP) by January 31, 2020, in basins identified as subject to a condition of critical overdraft, and by January 31, 2022, in all other medium and high priority basins. The Delta-Mendota groundwater

basin, located between the San Joaquin River and the Coastal Range in Stanislaus County, is both a high priority basin and in a critical condition of overdraft.

Twenty-four (24) GSAs have been formed in the Delta-Mendota Groundwater Subbasin. Current planning efforts are to develop six separate but coordinated GSPs in the basin. Pursuant to SGMA regulations, when there is more than one GSP to be developed for any particular groundwater basin, the responsible GSAs in that basin must coordinate management of the basin through a single inter-basin Coordination Agreement. All of the GSAs in the Delta-Mendota have been involved in the development of the Coordination Agreement since August 2017. SGMA requires that each coordinated GSP utilize the same data and methodologies for groundwater elevation data, extraction data, surface water supply, total water use, change in storage, water budget and sustainable yield. Ultimately, the Coordination Agreement must be submitted to the Department of Water Resources for review with the submission of the individual GSPs. Staff is recommending that the Coordination Agreement be approved and that the Board Chairman be authorized to execute it.

The GSAs responsible for developing and implementing each of the six individual GSPs are referred to in the Coordination Agreement as “GSP Groups.” Each of the six GSP Groups will have a designated representative (and alternate) to serve on the Coordination Committee. The GSA members from both the Central Delta-Mendota Region and the Northern Delta-Mendota Region have agreed to work together as a single GSP Group referred to as the Northern/Central DM GSP Group.” Staff recommends approving the Second Amendment to the Northern Delta-Mendota Region SGMA Activity Agreement with the San Luis & Delta Mendota Water Authority related to the creation of the Northern/Central DM GSP Group (Attachment 3) for the purpose of creating this group and designating its representation.

There will be costs associated with implementing the GSP Coordination Agreement. To address this matter, a Cost Sharing Agreement has been developed that describes the mechanisms for sharing these costs. The Cost Sharing Agreement (Attachment 4) allocates the coordination costs equally among the six different GSP Groups. The Cost Sharing Agreement also allows each of the GSP Group Representatives to approve certain expenses required for the coordination efforts. Staff recommends that the Cost Sharing Agreement be approved and that the Board Chairman be authorized to execute it.

**POLICY ISSUE:**

These agreements facilitate regional compliance with State legislation known as the “Sustainable Groundwater Management Act” and is in conformance with provisions pertaining to the formation and administration of the Northwestern Delta-Mendota Groundwater Sustainability Agency, of which Stanislaus County is a founding member along with Merced County. The two counties serving Board of Supervisors collectively act as the decision making body for the Northwestern Delta-Mendota Groundwater Sustainability Agency and, as such, their approval and signatory authorities are required for action to be taken by the GSA body.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

It is anticipated that the costs associated with implementing the Coordination Agreement over the next two years is estimated to be approximately \$60,000, of which

Stanislaus County's annual share is \$6,000, for a total of \$12,000. These costs have been identified in the Environmental Resources Fiscal Year 2018-2019 Adopted Proposed Budget and are anticipated to be recouped through the implementation of a future SGMA fee.

**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PRIORITY:**

The recommended actions are consistent with the Boards' priority of *Delivering Efficient Public Services and Community Infrastructure* by implementing State law pertaining to the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act.

**STAFFING IMPACT:**

The Water Resources Manager oversees the County groundwater program.

**CONTACT PERSON:**

Jami Aggers, Director, DER  
Walter Ward, Water Resources Manager

Telephone: 209-525-6770  
Telephone: 209-525-6710

**ATTACHMENT(S):**

1. Delta-Mendota Subbasin Coordination Agreement
2. Coordination Committee Governance Diagram
3. Cost Share Agreement
4. Second Amendment to Northern Delta-Mendota Activity Agreement
5. Resolution

## DELTA-MENDOTA SUBBASIN COORDINATION AGREEMENT

**THIS DELTA-MENDOTA SUBBASIN COORDINATION AGREEMENT** is made effective as of \_\_\_\_\_, 2018 by and among the groundwater sustainability agencies within the Delta-Mendota Subbasin (each a “**Party**” and collectively the “**Parties**”) and is made with reference to the following facts:

**WHEREAS**, On September 16, 2014, Governor Jerry Brown signed into law Senate Bills 1168 and 1319 and Assembly Bill 1739, known collectively as the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (“**SGMA**”);

**WHEREAS**, SGMA requires all groundwater subbasins designated as high or medium priority by the California Department of Water Resources (“**DWR**”) to manage groundwater in a sustainable manner;

**WHEREAS**, the Delta-Mendota Subbasin (Basin Number 5-22.07, DWR Bulletin 118) within the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin (“**Subbasin**”), has been designated as a high-priority basin by DWR;

**WHEREAS**, the Delta-Mendota Subbasin includes multiple groundwater sustainability agencies that intend to manage the Subbasin through the development and implementation of multiple different groundwater sustainability plans (“**GSP**”);

**WHEREAS**, SGMA allows local agencies to engage in the sustainable management of groundwater, but requires groundwater sustainability agencies in all basins that are managed by more than one groundwater sustainability plan to enter into a coordination agreement to coordinate the multiple groundwater sustainability plans to sustainably manage the Subbasin pursuant to SGMA;

**WHEREAS**, pursuant to the requirements of SGMA, and the California Code of Regulations, and in recognition of the need to sustainably manage the groundwater within the Delta-Mendota Subbasin, the Parties desire to enter into this Agreement between their individual groundwater sustainability agencies;

**WHEREAS**, in order to efficiently coordinate among the large number of groundwater sustainability agencies (“**GSA**”) in the Subbasin, the Parties intend to organize themselves into “**GSP Groups**” and to be represented by the “**GSP Group Representatives**,” on terms

to be developed and implemented by separate Agreements between each GSP Group and the Parties within such GSP Group; and

**WHEREAS**, this Coordination Agreement is being executed before the respective GSPs have been prepared, and the Parties anticipate attaching and incorporating technical reports covering such additional required information before submittal of this Agreement to DWR with the Parties' respective GSPs without separate amendment being required.

**THEREFORE**, in consideration of the facts recited above and of the covenants, terms and conditions set forth herein, the Parties agree as follows:

## **SECTION 1 – PURPOSE**

### **1.1 Compliance with SGMA**

In subbasins with multiple GSPs, SGMA requires the GSPs to be coordinated through a coordination agreement. The purpose of this Coordination Agreement including the anticipated attachment and incorporation of technical reports to be developed after the initial execution of this Agreement, is to comply with that SGMA requirement and ensure that the multiple GSPs within the Subbasin are developed and implemented utilizing the same methodologies and assumptions, that the elements of the GSPs are appropriately coordinated to support sustainable management, and to ultimately set forth the information necessary to show how the multiple GSPs in the Subbasin will achieve the sustainability goal, as determined for the Subbasin in compliance with SGMA and its associated regulations.

### **1.2 Description of Criteria & Function**

An additional purpose of this Coordination Agreement is to describe the criteria for establishing the responsibilities of each Party for meeting the terms of this Coordination Agreement, the procedure for the exchange of information between the Parties, and procedures for resolving conflicts between the Parties. The goal of the coordination is to ensure that the Subbasin GSPs utilize the same data and methodologies, including but not limited to, groundwater elevation data, groundwater extraction data, surface water supply, total water use, changes in groundwater storage, water budgets, and sustainable yield during their development as required by SGMA and associated regulations. Additionally, this Coordination Agreement sets out the process for identifying a Plan Manager.

## SECTION 2 – DEFINITIONS

**2.1 “Coordinated Plan Expenses”** shall mean any expenses incurred by the Secretary and the Plan Manager for purposes of developing and implementing the Coordination Agreement.

**2.2 “Coordination Agreement”** shall mean this Coordination Agreement.

**2.3 “Coordination Committee”** shall mean the committee of GSP Group Representatives established pursuant to this Coordination Agreement.

**2.4 “Group Contact”** shall mean one Party designated on Exhibit “A” attached hereto and by reference incorporated herein as responsible to supply notices and to circulate information and invoices for its respective Exhibit “A” GSP Group, as said Exhibit may be updated from time to time.

**2.5 “GSA”** shall mean a groundwater sustainability agency established in accordance with SGMA and its associated regulations, and “GSAs” shall mean more than one such groundwater sustainability agency. Each Party is a GSA.

**2.6 “GSP”** shall mean a groundwater sustainability plan as defined by SGMA and its regulations, and “GSPs” shall mean more than one such plan.

**2.7 “GSP Group”** shall mean a grouping of Parties, stakeholders, and interested parties developing an individual GSP within the Subbasin, as shown in Exhibit “A,” who are combined for purposes of representation and voting on the Coordination Committee and for purposes of sharing Coordinated Plan Expenses as set forth in this Coordination Agreement.

**2.8 “GSP Group Alternate Representative,” “Alternate Representative,” or “Alternate”** and their plural forms shall mean an alternate member of the Coordination Committee selected to represent the GSP Groups in accordance with Exhibit “A” and Section 5.1.2-5.1.4 of this Coordination Agreement who shall serve in the absence of the respective GSP Group Representative and shall be entitled to cast the vote for the absent GSP Representative.

**2.9 “GSP Group Representative” or “Representative”** and their plural forms as appropriate shall mean a member or members of the Coordination Committee selected to represent the GSP Groups in accordance with Exhibit “A” and Section 5.1.2 – 5.1.4 this Coordination Agreement.

**2.10 “Participation Percentages”** shall mean that percentage of Coordinated Plan Expenses allocated to each GSP Group as described on Exhibit “A” to this Coordination Agreement, which is attached and incorporated by reference herein, as updated from time to time.

**2.11** “**Party**” or “**Parties**” shall mean a Groundwater Sustainability Agency or in the plural, two or more Groundwater Sustainability Agencies within the Delta-Mendota Subbasin.

**2.12** “**Plan Manager**” shall mean an entity or individual, appointed at the pleasure of the Coordination Committee, or as provided in section 4.1.2 of this Coordination Agreement, to perform the role of the Plan Manager to serve as the point of contact to DWR as set forth in Section 5.2.3 of this Coordination Agreement.

**2.13** “**Seasonal High**” shall mean the highest annual static groundwater elevation associated with stable aquifer conditions following a period of lowest annual groundwater demand.

**2.14** “**Seasonal Low**” shall mean the lowest annual static groundwater elevation associated with a period of stable aquifer conditions following a period of highest annual groundwater demand.

**2.15** “**San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority**” or “**SLDMWA**” shall mean the San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority, a California joint powers agency.

**2.16** “**SGMA**” shall mean the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act, as amended from time to time, commencing at Water Code section 10720, together with its implementing regulations applicable to Groundwater Sustainability Plans, set forth at California Code of Regulations, Title 23, Division 2, Chapter 1.5, Subchapter 2.

**2.17** “**SGMA Definitions**” shall mean those SGMA-specific definitions provided by statute or regulation and attached in the Appendix to this Coordination Agreement; in the event of any inconsistency between a term defined in this Section and a SGMA-specific definition, the definition contained in this Coordination Agreement shall prevail.

**2.18** “**Subbasin**” shall mean the Delta-Mendota Subbasin (Basin Number 5-22.07, DWR Bulletin 118) within the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin.

**2.19** “**Technical Memoranda**” shall mean the memoranda prepared by the Coordination Committee that include the data and methodologies for assumptions described in Water Code section 10727.6 to prepare coordinated plans. Individually, the memoranda shall be referred to as a “**Technical Memorandum.**”

**2.20** “**Water Year**” shall mean the period from October 1 through the following September 30 as defined by SGMA.

**2.21** “**Water Year Type**” shall mean the classification provided by DWR to assess the amount of annual precipitation in a basin and as defined by SGMA.



## **SECTION 3 – GENERAL GUIDELINES**

### **3.1 Responsibilities of the Parties**

#### **3.1.1 Obligation to Coordinate**

The Parties to this Coordination Agreement agree to work collaboratively to meet the objectives of SGMA and this Coordination Agreement. Each Party to this Coordination Agreement is a GSA and acknowledges that it is bound by the terms of this Coordination Agreement as an individual Party.

#### **3.1.2 Obligations Outside of Coordination Agreement Regarding GSP Groups**

a) **Representation and Voting.** Each Party understands its participation, as more fully set forth in Section 5 of this Coordination Agreement, is based on representation through and by its GSP Group Representative(s). It is the responsibility and obligation of each Party under this Coordination Agreement to develop its own arrangements for how its respective GSP Group Representative and Alternate Representative are selected and how required actions of GSAs within the GSP Group under its respective GSP are identified and implemented.

b) The Coordination Committee and its members shall have no requirement to recognize a voting status or other decisional authority of any Party to this Coordination Agreement other than through the designated GSP Group Representative(s). For purposes of this Coordination Agreement, it is assumed that GSP Group Representatives have been authorized by the Parties in their GSP Groups to participate as described herein.

c) By signing this Coordination Agreement, each Party commits to provide documentation to the Secretary and the Coordination Committee of the authorization of its GSP Group Representative(s). Provided, that the Secretary shall not be obligated to evaluate or provide an opinion on the legal sufficiency of the documentation.

d) It is the responsibility and obligation of each Party under this Coordination Agreement that is included on Exhibit “A” as part of a multi-party GSP Group to provide documentation to the Secretary and to the Coordination Committee establishing that such GSP Group has a binding agreement or mechanism assuring that the GSP Group will pay its Participation Percentage set forth on Exhibit “A,” as said Exhibit “A” may be modified from time to time. Provided, that the Secretary shall not be obligated to evaluate or provide an opinion on the legal sufficiency of the documentation.

### 3.1.3 Non-Entity Status

The Parties acknowledge and agree that this Coordination Agreement does not create a legal entity with power to sue or be sued, to enter into contract, or to enjoy the benefits or accept the obligations of a legal entity.

### 3.1.4 Implementation of Individual GSPs

This Coordination Agreement does not otherwise affect each Party's responsibility to implement the terms of its respective GSP in accordance with SGMA. Rather, this Coordination Agreement is the mechanism through which the Parties will coordinate their respective GSPs to the extent necessary to ensure that such GSP coordination complies with SGMA.

## 3.2 Adjudicated or Alternate Plans in the Subbasin

As of the date of this Coordination Agreement, there are no portions of the Subbasin that have been adjudicated or approved to submit an alternative plan as defined by SGMA.

## **SECTION 4 – ROLE OF SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY**

### 4.1 Agreement to Serve

By executing this Agreement, and not as a Party, the San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority agrees to carry out the functions described in this Section 4 and its subparts consistent with the terms of this Section and under the direction and supervision of the Coordination Committee, subject to the reimbursement and the termination provisions contained in this Section.

#### 4.1.1 Secretary

The SLDMWA agrees to perform the obligations of the Secretary described in this Coordination Agreement, by delegation to one or more of its employees or to a consultant under contract to the SLDMWA.

#### 4.1.2 Plan Manager

The SLDMWA agrees to perform the obligations of the Plan Manager described in this Coordination Agreement, by delegation to one or more of its employees or to a consultant under contract to the SLDMWA.

#### **4.2 Reimbursement of SLDMWA**

The commitment of the SLDMWA to perform the designated functions under this Section is contingent upon the execution and performance of a separate cost sharing agreement between the SLDMWA and the Parties.

#### **4.3 Termination of SLDMWA's Services**

Either the Parties acting through the Coordination Committee or the SLDMWA at any time may terminate the services being provided by the SLDMWA under this Coordination Agreement upon thirty (30) days' written notice, if from the SLDMWA, to the Coordination Committee and each GSP Group Representative; and if from the Coordination Committee, to the SLDMWA and each GSP Group Representative.

### **SECTION 5 – RESPONSIBILITIES FOR KEY FUNCTIONS**

#### **5.1 Coordination Committee**

5.1.1 The Parties agree to establish a Coordination Committee to provide the forum for the Parties to accomplish the coordination obligation of SGMA pursuant to this Coordination Agreement.

5.1.2 The Coordination Committee will consist of the GSP Group Representatives identified on Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference, as said Exhibit "A" may be modified from time to time pursuant to Section 13 of this Agreement. Each GSP Group Representative shall have one Alternate Representative authorized to vote in the absence of the GSP Group Representative.

5.1.3 Individuals serving as GSP Group Representatives and Alternate Representatives shall be selected by each respective GSP Group in the discretion of the respective GSP Group, and such appointments shall be effective upon providing written notice to the Secretary and to each Group Contact listed on Exhibit "A".

5.1.4 The Coordination Committee will recognize each GSP Group Representative and GSP Group Alternate Representative until such time as the Group Contact provides written notice of removal and replacement to the Secretary and to every other Group Contact designated on Exhibit "A." Each GSP Group or GSP Subgroup shall promptly fill any vacancy created by the removal of such Representative or Alternate Representative so that each GSP

Group shall have the number of validly designated Representatives and Alternate Representatives specified on Exhibit “A”.

5.1.5. Minutes of the Coordination Committee will be prepared and maintained as set forth in Section 5.5.4.

## **5.2 Coordination Committee Officers**

The Officers of the Coordination Committee will include a Chairperson, Vice Chairperson, Secretary, and Plan Manager. Except where the Parties have named such Officers pursuant to Section 4 of this Coordination Agreement, Officers shall be selected at the initial meeting of the Committee or as soon thereafter as reasonably can be accomplished.

### **5.2.1 Chairperson and Vice Chairperson**

a) A GSP Group Representative shall serve as Chairperson. The Vice Chairperson, who shall also be a GSP Group Representative, shall serve in the absence of the Chairperson. In the absence of both the Chairperson and Vice Chairperson, a meeting may be led by an Acting Chairperson selected on an ad hoc basis.

b) The positions of Chairperson and Vice Chairperson shall rotate among the GSP Groups on an annual basis according to alphabetical order, with the first rotation beginning on the date the first Chairperson is selected. The schedule for rotation among the GSP Groups will be set at the first meeting after the Chairperson is appointed and reviewed and adjusted annually. A GSP Group Representative may waive designation as Chairperson. In such a case the Chairperson office would rotate to the next designated entity.

### **5.2.2 Secretary**

The Coordination Committee shall select a Secretary to carry out the functions described in this subsection, to serve at the pleasure of the Coordination Committee. The Secretary shall be a public agency who may be, but need not be a Party to this Coordination Agreement. The San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority is hereby designated as the initial Secretary, to serve at the pleasure of the Coordination Committee.

a) The Secretary shall select an appointee to implement the Secretary’s responsibilities under this Coordination Agreement, for example, to coordinate meetings; prepare agendas; circulate notices and agendas; provide written notice to all Parties that the Coordination Committee has made a recommendation requiring approval by the Parties; prepare and maintain minutes of meetings of the Coordination Committee; receive notices on

behalf of the Coordination Committee and call to the Coordination Committee's attention the need for responding; and provide such other assistance in coordination as may be appropriate.

b) The Secretary shall assume primary responsibility for Brown Act compliance, including without limitation, the responsibility to: prepare an agenda and notice, publicly post, and distribute agendas to all GSP Group or Subgroup Representatives, the Parties, and any other interested persons who requests, in writing, such notices. The Agenda shall be of adequate detail to inform the public and the parties of the meeting and the matters to be transacted or discussed, and shall be posted in a public location and distributed to each of the parties to this Coordination Agreement at least seventy-two (72) hours prior to every regular meeting and at least twenty-four (24) hours prior to every special meeting.

### 5.2.3 Plan Manager

If the SLDMWA ceases to serve as Plan Manager as agreed under Section 4.1.2 of this coordination Agreement, then the Coordination Committee shall name a successor Plan Manager, who may be a consultant hired by the Secretary pursuant to the Coordination Agreement, the representative of an entity that has been selected as Secretary, or a public agency serving as or participating in a GSA that is a Party to this Coordination Agreement, and who shall serve as the point of contact for DWR as specified by SGMA. The San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority is hereby designated as the initial Plan Manager, to serve at the pleasure of the Coordination Committee.

a) The Plan Manager shall carry out the duties of a "plan manager" as provided in Title 23, division 2, Chapter 1.5, Subchapter 2, California Code of Regulations.

b) The Plan Manager has no authority to make policy decisions or represent the Coordination Committee without the specific direction of the Coordination Committee. The Plan Manager is obligated to disclose all substantive communications he/she transmits and receives in his/her capacity as Plan Manager to the Coordination Committee.

## **5.3 Coordination Committee Authorized Actions and Limitations**

### 5.3.1 Authorized Actions

The Coordination Committee is authorized to act upon the following enumerated items:

a) The Coordination Committee shall review, and consistent with the requirements of SGMA, approve the Technical Memoranda described in Sections 8-12 of this Coordination Agreement.

b) Once GSP Plans have been submitted to and approved by DWR, the Coordination Committee shall be responsible for ongoing review and updating of the Technical Memoranda as needed; assuring submittal of annual reports; providing five-year assessments and recommending any needed revisions to the Coordination Agreement; and providing review and assistance with coordinated projects and programs.

c) The Coordination Committee shall review and approve work plans, and in accordance with the budgetary requirements of the respective Parties, approve annual estimates of Coordinated Plan Expenses presented by the Secretary and any updates to such estimates; provided, that such estimates or updates with supporting documentation shall be circulated to all Parties for comment at least thirty (30) days in advance of the meeting at which the Coordination Committee will consider approval of the annual estimate.

d) Pursuant to Section 13, the Coordination Committee is authorized to approve changes to Exhibit “A” to this Coordination Agreement and to recommend amendments to terms of this Coordination Agreement.

e) The Coordination Committee shall assign work to subcommittees and workgroups as needed, provide guidance and feedback and ensure that subcommittees and workgroups prepare work products in a timely manner.

f) The Coordination Committee shall direct the Plan Manager in the performance of its duties under SGMA.

g) The Coordination Committee shall provide direction to its Officers concerning other administrative and ministerial issues necessary for the fulfillment of the above-enumerated tasks.

#### 5.3.2 Limitations

When the terms of this Coordination Agreement or applicable law require the approval of a Party, that approval shall be required and evidenced as indicated in Section 6 of this Agreement.

#### 5.4 Subcommittees and Workgroups

The Coordination Committee may appoint subcommittees, workgroups, or otherwise direct staff made available by the Parties. Such subcommittees or workgroups may include qualified individuals possessing the knowledge and expertise to advance the goals of the Coordination

Agreement on the topics being addressed by the subcommittee, whether or not such individuals are GSP Group Representatives or Alternate Representatives.

#### 5.4.1 Work of Subcommittees and Workgroups

Tasks assigned to subcommittees, workgroups, or staff made available by the Parties may include developing technical data, supporting information, and/or recommendations on matters including, but not limited to:

a) Developing a process to update the Coordination Committee on the activities of the respective Parties, including the development, planning, financing, environmental review, permitting, implementation, and long-term monitoring of the multiple GSPs in the Subbasin;

b) Subject to the oversight of the Coordination Committee, scheduling meetings of the subcommittee or workgroup as necessary to coordinate development and implementation of the Technical Memoranda and Coordination Agreement. Attendance at these meetings may be augmented to include staff or consultants of all Parties to ensure that the appropriate expertise is available;

c) Determining common methodologies for GSP development;

d) Developing a Subbasin-wide monitoring network;

e) Preparing a coordinated water budget;

f) Developing a coordinated data management system;

g) Providing an explanation of how the respective GSPs implemented together satisfy the requirements of SGMA and are in substantial compliance with SGMA; and

h) Such other tasks as may be referred by the Coordination Committee from time to time.

#### 5.4.2 Subcommittee Voting

One GSP Group Representative or Alternate Representative shall vote on behalf of the GSP Group at the subcommittee level; if no GSP Group Representative or Alternate Representative is present, one individual working on a subcommittee on behalf of the Parties in a GSP Group shall vote on behalf of the GSP Group. Subcommittees shall report voting results and provide

information to the Coordination Committee but shall not be entitled to make determinations or determinations that are binding on the Parties.

## **5.5 Coordination Committee Meetings**

### **5.5.1 Timing and Notice**

The Chairperson of the Coordination Committee, any two GSP Group Representatives, or the Secretary may call meetings of the Coordination Committee as needed to carry out the activities described in this Coordination Agreement. The Coordination Committee may, but is not required to, set a date for regular meetings for the purposes described in this Coordination Agreement. All Coordination Committee Meetings shall be held in compliance with the Ralph M. Brown Act (Government Code Section 54950 *et seq.*).

### **5.5.2 Quorum**

A majority of the GSP Group Representative(s) from every GSP Group listed on Exhibit “A” shall constitute a quorum of the Coordination Committee for purposes of holding a Coordination Committee meeting; provided, that the GSP Group Representative(s) from every GSP Group listed on Exhibit “A” must be present at a meeting for any Coordination Committee vote on a matter described in section 5.3.1 a) through 5.3 d) and 5.3.1 f) to take place. The GSP Group Alternate Representative(s) of each GSP Group shall be counted towards a quorum and as the voting representative(s) in the absence of the GSP Group Representative for which the GSP Group Alternate has been appointed. If less than a quorum is present, the GSP Group Representatives and Alternate Representatives may hear reports and discuss items on the agenda, but no action may be taken.

### **5.5.3 Open Attendance**

Members of the public, stakeholders, and representatives of the Parties who are not appointed as GSP Group Representatives may attend all meetings and shall be provided with an opportunity to comment on matters on the meeting agenda, but shall have no vote.

### **5.5.4 Minutes**

The Secretary’s appointee shall keep and prepare minutes of all Coordination Committee meetings. Notes of subcommittee and workgroup meetings shall be kept by the Secretary’s appointee or an assistant to the appointee. All minutes and subcommittee and workgroup meeting notes shall be maintained by the Secretary as Coordination Agreement records and shall be available to the Parties and the public upon request.



## **5.6 Voting by Coordination Committee**

5.6.1. Each GSP Group Representative shall be entitled to one vote at the Coordination Committee. It shall be up to the Parties in each GSP Group to determine how the GSP Group vote(s) will be cast.

5.6.2 Except as set forth in Section 5.6.3, the unanimous vote of the GSP Representatives from all GSP Groups is required on all items upon which the Coordination Committee is authorized to act as identified in Section 5.3.1 a) through 5.3.1 d) and 5.3.1 f); the vote of a majority of a quorum shall be required for all other matters on which the Coordination Committee is authorized to act.

### **5.6.3 Voting Procedures to Address Lack of Unanimity**

When it appears likely that the Coordination Committee will not be able to come to unanimous decision on any matter upon for which a unanimous decision is required, upon a majority vote of a quorum of the Coordination Committee, the matter may be subjected to the following additional procedures.

#### **a) Straw Polls**

Straw poll votes may be taken for the purpose of refining ideas and providing guidance to the Coordination Committee, subcommittees, or both.

#### **b) Provisional Voting**

Provisional votes may occur prior to final votes. This will be done when an initial vote is needed to refine a proposal but the GSP Group Representatives wish to consult with their respective GSP Group(s) before making a final vote.

c) A vote shall be delayed if any GSP Group Representative declares its intention to propose an alternative or modified recommended action, to be proposed at the next meeting, or as soon thereafter as the GSP Group Representative can obtain any further information or clarifying direction from its GSP Group or governing body, or both, as needed to proposed its alternative or modified recommended action.

d) If the process outlined in subsection 5.6.3(c) fails to result in a unanimous vote, any GSP Group Representative not voting in favor of the recommended action may request that the vote be delayed so that the Coordination Committee can obtain further information on the recommended action (for example, by directing a subcommittee established under this

Coordination Agreement), so the GSP Group Representative can obtain clarifying direction from its GSP Group or governing body, or both, as needed.

e) Each of the Parties acknowledges the limited time provided by SGMA to complete the GSP preparation process, and agrees to make its best efforts to cooperate through the Coordinating Committee in coming to require a unanimous vote.

## **SECTION 6 – APPROVAL BY INDIVIDUAL PARTIES**

**6.1** Where law or this Coordination Agreement require separate written approval by each of the Parties, such approval shall be evidenced in writing by providing the resolution, Motion, or Minutes of their respective Boards of Directors to the Secretary of the Coordination Committee.

## **SECTION 7 – EXCHANGE OF DATA AND INFORMATION**

### **7.1 Exchange of Information**

The Parties acknowledge and recognize pursuant to this Coordination Agreement that the Parties may need to exchange information amongst and between the Parties.

### **7.2 Procedure for Exchange of Information**

7.2.1 The Parties shall exchange public and non-privileged information through collaboration and/or informal requests made at the Coordination Committee level or through subcommittees designated by the Coordination Committee. However, to the extent it is necessary to make a written request for information to another Party, each Party shall designate a representative to respond to information requests and provide the name and contact information of the designee to the Coordination Committee. Requests may be communicated in writing and transmitted in person or by mail, facsimile machine, or other electronic means to the appropriate representative as named in this Coordination Agreement. The designated representative shall respond in a reasonably timely manner.

7.2.2 Nothing in this Coordination Agreement shall be construed to prohibit any Party from voluntarily exchanging information with any other Party by any other mechanism separate from the Coordination Committee.

7.2.3 The Parties agree that each GSP Group shall provide the data required to develop the Subbasin-wide coordinated water budget but unless required by law, will not be required to provide individual well or parcel-level information in order to preserve

confidentiality of individuals to the extent authorized by law, including but not limited to Water Code Section 10730.8, subdivision (b).

7.2.4 To the extent that a court order, subpoena, or the California Public Records Act is applicable to a Party, such Party in responding to a request made pursuant to that Act for release of information exchanged from another Party shall notify each other Party in writing of its proposed release of information in order to provide the other Parties with the opportunity to seek a court order preventing such release of information.

## **SECTION 8 – METHODOLOGIES AND ASSUMPTIONS**

### **8.1 SGMA Coordination Requirements**

Pursuant to SGMA, this Coordination Agreement must ensure that the individual GSPs utilize the same data and methodologies for developing assumptions used to determine: 1) groundwater elevation; 2) groundwater extraction data; 3) surface water supply; 4) total water use; 5) changes in groundwater storage; 6) water budgets; and 7) sustainable yield.

### **8.2 Pre-GSP Coordination**

Prior to the individual development of GSPs, the Parties agree to develop agreed-upon methodologies and assumptions for 1) groundwater elevation; 2) groundwater extraction data; 3) surface water supply; 4) total water use; 5) changes in groundwater storage; 6) water budgets; and 7) sustainable yield. This development may be facilitated through the Coordination Committee's delegation to a sub-committee or workgroup of the technical staff provided by some or all of the Parties. The basis upon which the methodologies and assumptions will be developed includes existing data/information, best management practices, and/or best modeled or projected data available and may include consultation with the DWR as appropriate.

### **8.3 Technical Memoranda Required**

The data and methodologies for assumptions described in Water Code section 10727.6 and title 23, California Code of Regulations, section 357.4 to prepare coordinated plans shall be set forth in Technical Memoranda prepared by the Coordination Committee for each of the elements discussed in Sections 9, 10, 11, and 12 of this Coordination Agreement. The Technical Memoranda shall be subject to the unanimous approval of the Coordination Committee and once approved, shall be attached to and incorporated by reference into this Coordination Agreement without

formal amendment of the Coordination Agreement being required. The Parties agree that they shall not submit this Coordination Agreement to DWR until the Technical Memoranda described herein have been added to the Coordination Agreement. The Technical Memoranda created pursuant to this Agreement shall be utilized by the Parties during the development and implementation of their GSPs in order to assure coordination of the GSPs in compliance with SGMA.

## **SECTION 9 – MONITORING NETWORK**

**9.1** In accordance with SGMA, the Parties hereby agree to coordinate the development and maintenance of a monitoring network at a Subbasin level through the coordination of the respective monitoring networks established pursuant to the GSPs in which each of the Parties hereto are participating. The Subbasin monitoring network description shall include monitoring objectives, protocols, and data reporting requirements specific to enumerated sustainability indicators. Each GSP Group's network shall facilitate the collection of data in order to characterize groundwater and related surface water conditions in the Subbasin and evaluate changing conditions that occur from implementation of the individual GSPs. Each Party's GSP will describe the monitoring network's objectives for the Subbasin, including an explanation of network development and implementation to monitor groundwater and related surface conditions, and the interconnection of surface water and groundwater.

**9.2** Each GSP Group shall provide the Coordination Committee all relevant data and information for their respective representative monitoring sites established in accordance with Title 23, California Code of Regulations, section 354.36, as amended from time to time.

## **SECTION 10 – COORDINATED WATER BUDGET**

**10.1** In accordance with SGMA, the Parties hereby agree to prepare a single coordinated water budget for the Subbasin as described in this subsection for use in the respective GSP in which each of the Parties hereto are participating. The water budget will provide an estimate of the total annual volume of groundwater and surface water entering and leaving the Subbasin, including historical, current and projected water budget conditions, and the change in the volume of water stored and the safe yield for differing aquifers.

**10.2** To the extent feasible, the Parties will consider the best available information and best available science to quantify the water budget for the Subbasin in order to provide an

understanding of historical and projected hydrology, water demand, water supply, land use, population, climate change, sea level rise, groundwater and surface water interaction, and subsurface groundwater flow.

## **SECTION 11 – COORDINATED DATA MANAGEMENT SYSTEM**

**11.1** The Parties will develop and maintain a coordinated data management system that is capable of storing and reporting information relevant to the reporting requirements and/or implementation of the GSPs and monitoring network of the Subbasin.

**11.2** The Parties also will develop and maintain separate data management systems. Each separate data management system developed for each GSP will store information related to implementation of each individual GSP, monitoring network data and monitoring sites requirements, and water budget data requirements. Each system will be capable of reporting all pertinent information to the Coordination Committee. After providing the Coordination Committee with data from the individual GSPs, the Coordination Committee will ensure the data is stored and managed in a coordinated manner throughout the Subbasin and reported to DWR annually as required.

## **SECTION 12 – ADOPTION AND USE OF THE COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

### **12.1 Coordination of GSPs**

Each Party is responsible to ensure that its own GSP complies with the statutory requirements of SGMA, including but not limited to the filing deadline. The Parties to this Coordination Agreement intend that their individual GSPs be coordinated together in order to satisfy the requirements of SGMA and to be in substantial compliance with the California Code of Regulations. The collective GSPs will satisfy the requirements of sections 10727.2 and 10727.4 of the Water Code by providing a description of the physical setting and characteristics of the separate aquifer systems within the Subbasin, the measurable objectives for each such GSP, interim milestones, and monitoring protocols that together provide a detailed description of how the Basin as a whole will be sustainably managed.

### **12.2 GSP and Coordination Agreement Submission**

The Parties agree to submit their respective GSPs to DWR through the Coordination Committee and Plan Manager, in accordance with all applicable requirements. Subject to the subsequent attachment of the Technical Memoranda described in Sections 8-12, the Parties intend that this Coordination Agreement fulfill the requirements of providing an explanation of how the GSPs implemented together satisfy the requirements SGMA for the entire Subbasin.

## **SECTION 13 – MODIFICATION AND TERMINATION OF THE COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

### **13.1 Modification or Amendment of Exhibit “A”**

The Parties agree that Exhibit “A,” except for the withdrawal or addition of Parties to this Agreement, may be updated by unanimous vote of the Coordination Committee from time to time. Upon such modification, the updated Exhibit “A” shall be attached to this Agreement as a replacement to the previously existing Exhibit “A.” Upon such attachment, the updated “Exhibit “A” shall become a part of this Coordination Agreement without further Amendment of the Coordination Agreement being required. The Secretary shall provide notice of such change to all Group Contacts.

#### **13.1.1 Addition of a Party**

A Party may be added to this Coordination Agreement only upon its execution of a counterpart of this Agreement and its provision of any additional documentation required by Sections 3.1.2 a) through 3.1.2 d) of this Coordination Agreement. No Party may be added that is not within the Delta-Mendota Subbasin or that fails to execute an agreement to share in Coordinated Plan Expenses, unless such payment is waived by consent of all Parties.

### **13.2 Modification or Amendment of Coordination Agreement**

Except as provided in Sections 13.1 and 13.3, the Parties hereby agree that this Coordination Agreement may be supplemented, amended, or modified only by a writing signed by all Parties.

### **13.3 Amendment for Compliance with Law**

Should any provision of this Coordination Agreement be determined to be not in compliance with legal requirements under circumstances where amendment of the Agreement to include a provision addressing the legal requirement will cure the non-compliance, the Parties agree to promptly prepare and approve such amendment.

## **SECTION 14 – WITHDRAWAL, TERM, AND TERMINATION**

### **14.1 Withdrawal**

Subject to the requirements identified in SGMA and the any coordination guidelines or regulations issued by DWR, a Party may unilaterally withdraw from this Coordination Agreement without causing or requiring termination of this Coordination Agreement, effective upon thirty (30) days written notice to the Secretary and all other Parties. The Plan Coordinator shall report any such withdrawal to DWR within five (5) days of receipt of the written notice.

14.1.1 Any Party who withdraws shall remain obligated for Coordinated Plan Expenses as provided in a separate Cost Sharing Agreement. If no separate Cost Sharing Agreement is then in effect or enforceable against the withdrawing Party, the Party is obligated to pay its share of all debts, liabilities, and obligations the Party incurred or accrued under the Coordination Agreement prior to the effective date of such withdrawal, as established under its separate GSP Group agreement concerning such share of obligations.

14.1.2 Upon withdrawal, a Party agrees that it has a continuing obligation to comply with SGMA and any coordination guidelines or regulations issued by DWR, which require a coordination agreement if there are multiple GSPs in the Subbasin. This obligation shall survive the withdrawal from this Coordination Agreement and is for the express benefit of the remaining Parties.

14.1.3 In the event any GSP Group Representative(s) prevents/prevent a required unanimous vote of the Coordination Committee after following all procedures described in 5.3.1 or Section 15 of this Agreement, the Parties in such GSP Group agree to provide notice that such GSP Group has unilaterally withdrawn from this Agreement in accordance with this Section.

### **14.2 Term**

As modified pursuant to Section 13 and unless terminated in accordance with Section 14.2.3, this Coordination Agreement shall continue for a term that is coterminous with the requirements of SGMA for the existence of a Coordination Agreement.

### **14.3 Termination**

This Coordination Agreement may be terminated or rescinded and the coordinated implementation of GSPs terminated by unanimous written consent of all the Parties. Nothing

in this Coordination Agreement shall prevent the Parties from entering into another coordination agreement for coordination with any other subbasin.

## **SECTION 15 – PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING CONFLICTS**

In the event of any dispute arising from or relating to this Agreement, the disputing Party shall, within thirty (30) calendar days of discovery of the events giving rise to the dispute, notify all Parties to this Agreement in writing of the basis for the dispute. Within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of said notice, all interested Parties shall meet and confer in a good-faith attempt to informally resolve the dispute. All disputes that are not resolved informally shall be settled by arbitration. Within ten (10) days following the failed informal proceedings, each interested Party shall nominate and circulate to all other interested Parties the name of one arbitrator. Within ten (10) days following the nominations, the interested Parties shall rank their top three among all nominated arbitrators, awarding three points to the top choice, two points to the second choice, one point to the third choice and zero points to all others. Each interested Party shall forward its tally to the Secretary, who shall tabulate the points and notify the interested Parties of the arbitrator with the highest cumulative score, who shall be the selected arbitrator. The Secretary may also develop procedures for approval by the Parties, for selection in the case of tie votes or in order to replace the selected arbitrator in the event such arbitrator declines to act. The arbitration shall be administered in accordance with the procedures set forth in the California Code of Civil Procedure, section 1280, et seq., and of any state or local rules then in effect for arbitration pursuant to said section. Upon completion of arbitration, if the controversy has not been resolved, any Party may exercise all rights to bring a legal action relating to the controversy.

## **SECTION 16 – GENERAL PROVISIONS**

### **16.1 Authority of Signers**

The individuals executing this Coordination Agreement represent and warrant that they have the authority to enter into this Coordination Agreement and to legally bind the Party for whom they are signing to the terms and conditions of this Coordination Agreement.

### **16.2 Governing Law**

The validity and interpretation of this Coordination Agreement will be governed by the laws of the State of California without giving effect to the principles of conflict of laws, with venue for all purposes to be proper only in the County of Merced, State of California.



**16.3 Severability**

Except as provided for cure by amendment in Section 13.3, if any term, provision, covenant, or condition of this Coordination Agreement is determined to be unenforceable by a court of competent jurisdiction, it is the Parties’ intent that the remaining provisions of this Coordination Agreement will remain in full force and effect and will not be affected, impaired, or invalidated by such a determination.

**16.4 Counterparts**

This Coordination Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts, each of which will be an original, but all of which will constitute one and the same agreement.


**16.5 Good Faith**

The Parties agree to exercise their best efforts and utmost good faith to effectuate all the terms and conditions of this Coordination Agreement and to execute such further instruments and documents as are reasonably necessary, appropriate, expedient, or proper to carry out the intent and purposes of this Coordination Agreement.

**SECTION 17 – SIGNATORIES**

**PARTIES:**

<b>PATTERSON IRRIGATION DISTRICT GSA</b>			
<b>Patterson Irrigation District</b>	<b>Date:</b>	<b>Twin Oaks Irrigation Company</b>	<b>Date:</b>
Signature		Signature	
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
<b>WEST STANISLAUS IRRIGATION DISTRICT GSA 1</b>			
<b>West Stanislaus Irrigation District</b>	<b>Date:</b>		
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
<b>WEST STANISLAUS IRRIGATION DISTRICT GSA 2</b>			
<b>West Stanislaus Irrigation District</b>	<b>Date:</b>		
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
<b>DM II GSA</b>			
<b>Del Puerto Water District</b>	<b>Date:</b>	<b>Oak Flat Water District</b>	<b>Date:</b>
Signature		Signature	
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	

<b>CITY OF PATTERSON GSA</b>			
City of Patterson		Date:	
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
<b>NORTHWESTERN DELTA-MENDOTA GSA</b>			
County of Merced		Date:	County of Stanislaus
Signature		Signature	Date: 10/9/18
Name of Representative:		Jim DeMartini, Chariman:	
APPROVED AS TO FORM John P. Doering County Counsel Stanislaus County		BY: 	Date: 10/7/18
<b>CENTRAL DELTA-MENDOTA REGION MULTI-AGENCY GSA</b>			
San Luis Water District		Date:	Panoche Water District
Signature		Signature	Date:
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
Tranquillity Irrigation District		Date:	Fresno Slough Water District
Signature		Signature	Date:
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
Eagle Field Water District		Date:	Pacheco Water District
Signature		Signature	Date:
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
Santa Nella County Water District		Date:	Mercy Springs Water District
Signature		Signature	Date:
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
County of Merced		Date:	County of Fresno
Signature		Signature	Date:
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
<b>ORO LOMA WATER DISTRICT GSA</b>			
Oro Loma Water District		Date:	
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
<b>WIDREN WATER DISTRICT GSA</b>			
Widren Water District		Date:	
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
<b>SAN JOAQUIN RIVER EXCHANGE CONTRACTORS GSA</b>			
Central California Irrigation District		Date:	Columbia Canal Company
Signature		Signature	Date:

Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
Firebaugh Canal Company	Date:	San Luis Canal Company	Date:
Signature		Signature	
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
TURNER ISLAND WATER DISTRICT -2 GSA			
Turner Island Water District	Date:		
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
CITY OF MENDOTA GSA			
City of Mendota	Date:		
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
CITY OF FIREBAUGH GSA			
City of Firebaugh	Date:		
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
CITY OF LOS BANOS GSA			
City of Los Banos	Date:		
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
CITY OF DOS PALOS GSA			
City of Dos Palos	Date:		
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
CITY OF GUSTINE GSA			
City of Gustine	Date:		
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
CITY OF NEWMAN GSA			
City of Newman	Date:		
Signature			
Name of Representative:			
CITY OF MADERA GSA			
City of Madera	Date:		
Signature			
Name of Representative:			

<b>COUNTY OF MERCED DELTA-MENDOTA GSA</b>			
<b>County of Merced</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
<b>Signature</b> _____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			
<b>GRASSLAND WATER DISTRICT GSA</b>			
<b>Grassland Water District</b>		<b>Date:</b>	<b>Grassland Resource Conservation District</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____		<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>		<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>FARMERS WATER DISTRICT GSA</b>			
<b>Farmers Water District</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
<b>Signature</b> _____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			
<b>FRESNO COUNTY GSA</b>			
<b>County of Fresno</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
<b>Signature</b> _____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			
<b>ALISO WATER DISTRICT GSA</b>			
<b>Aliso Water District</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
<b>Signature</b> _____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			

**EXECUTING NOT AS A PARTY:**

<b>SAN LUIS &amp; DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY</b>	
<b>San Luis &amp; Delta-Mendota Water Authority</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>	

**EXHIBIT “A” – Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) Groups**

	<b>Groundwater Sustainability Plan Group &amp; Representation on Coordination Committee</b>	<b>Group Contact Agency</b>	<b>Participation Percentage</b>
<b>1</b>	<p><b>Northern / Central Delta-Mendota Region – 2 Representatives</b></p> <p>Central DM Subgroup – 1 Member representing the following:</p> <p>    Central Delta-Mendota Multi-Agency GSA</p> <p>    Oro Loma Water District GSA</p> <p>    Widren Water District GSA</p> <p>Northern DM Subgroup – 1 Member representing the following:</p> <p>    City of Patterson GSA</p> <p>    DM-II GSA</p> <p>    Northwestern Delta-Mendota GSA</p> <p>    Oak Flat Water District GSA</p> <p>    Patterson Irrigation District GSA</p> <p>    West Stanislaus Irrigation District GSA</p>	West Stanislaus Irrigation District	16.7%
<b>2</b>	<p><b>San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors – 2 Representatives</b></p> <p>City of Dos Palos GSA</p> <p>City of Firebaugh GSA</p> <p>City of Gustine GSA</p> <p>City of Los Banos GSA</p> <p>City of Mendota GSA</p> <p>City of Newman GSA</p> <p>Madera County GSA</p> <p>Merced County Delta Mendota GSA</p> <p>San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors GSA</p> <p>Turner Island Water District-2 GSA</p>	San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors	16.7%
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Farmers Water District – 1 Representative</b></p>	Farmers Water District	16.7%


	Farmers Water District GSA		
<b>4</b>	<b>Aliso Water District – 1 Representative</b> Aliso Water District GSA	Aliso Water District	16.7%
<b>5</b>	<b>Grassland Water District – 1 Representative</b> Grassland Water District GSA Grassland WD and Grassland Resource Conservation District Merced County Delta-Mendota GSA	Grassland Water District	16.7%
<b>6</b>	<b>Fresno County Management Area A &amp; B – -1 Representatives</b> Fresno County Management Area A GSA Fresno County Management Area B GSA	Fresno County	16.7%

## APPENDIX – SGMA DEFINITIONS

1. “**Agency**” or “**GSA**” shall mean a groundwater sustainability agency as defined in SGMA.
2. “**Coordination Agreement**” shall mean this Coordination Agreement, unless indicated otherwise.
3. “**Annual Report**” shall mean the report required by Water Code Section 10728 and SGMA Regulations Section 356.2.
4. “**Basin**” shall mean the Delta-Mendota subbasin and defined in Bulletin 118 as Basin 5- 22.07; for purposes of the Coordination Agreement, “Basin” and “Subbasin shall have the same meaning.
5. “**Basin Setting**” shall mean the information about the physical setting, characteristics, and current conditions of the basin as described by the Agency in the hydrogeologic conceptual model, the groundwater conditions, and the water budget, pursuant to California Code of Regulations, title 23, sections 354.12-354.20.
6. “**CASGEM**” shall mean the California Statewide Groundwater Elevation Monitoring Program developed by the DWR.
7. “**DWR**” shall mean the Department of Water Resources.
8. “**Groundwater**” shall mean the water beneath the surface of the earth within the zone below the water table in which the soil is completely saturated with water, but does not include water that flows in known and definite channels.
9. “**Groundwater flow**” shall mean the volume and direction of groundwater movement into, out of, or throughout a basin.
10. “**Interconnected surface water**” shall mean the surface water that is hydraulically connected at any point by a continuous saturated zone to the underlying aquifer and the overlying surface water is not completely depleted.
11. “**Measureable objectives**” shall mean specific, quantifiable goals for the maintenance or improvement of specified groundwater conditions that have been included in an adopted GSP to achieve the sustainability goal for the basin.

12. **“Principal Aquifers”** shall mean aquifers or aquifer systems that store, transmit, and yield significant or economic quantities of groundwater to wells, springs, or surface water systems.
13. **“Representative Monitoring”** shall mean a monitoring site within a broader network of sites that typifies one or more conditions within the basin or an area of the basin.
14. **“Sustainability Indicator”** shall mean any of the effects caused by groundwater conditions occurring throughout the basin that, when significant and unreasonable, cause undesirable results.
15. **“Water Source Type”** shall mean the source from which water is derived to meet the applied beneficial uses, including groundwater, precipitation, recycled water, reused water, and surface water sources.
16. **“Water Use Sector”** shall mean categories of water demand based on the general land uses to which the water is applied, including urban, industrial, agricultural, managed wetlands, managed recharge, and native vegetation.





**Key:**  
 1 Participation in North-Central Delta-Mendota Region GSP through Activity Agreement with the Authority  
 2 Participation in North-Central Delta-Mendota Region GSP through Memorandum of Agreement with the Authority.  
 3 Communications Workgroup Member  
 4 Technical Workgroup Member  
 [Person Icon] Delta-Mendota Subbasin Coordination Committee Voting Member

## **COST SHARING AGREEMENT**

### **Delta-Mendota Subbasin Coordination**

This Cost Sharing Agreement (“**Agreement**”) is made effective as of \_\_\_\_\_, 2018 by and among the groundwater sustainability agencies within the Delta-Mendota Subbasin and the San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority (“**SLDMWA**”). The entities listed above may be referred to herein individually as a “**Party**” or jointly as “**Parties**.” For purposes of this Agreement, the “**Effective Date**” shall be the date the last Party executes this Agreement.

#### **RECITALS**

- A. The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (“**SGMA**”) requires all groundwater subbasins designated as high or medium priority to manage groundwater in a sustainable manner.
- B. The Delta-Mendota Subbasin (Basin Number 5-22.07, DWR Bulletin 118) within the San Joaquin Valley Groundwater Basin (“**Subbasin**”), has been designated as a high-priority basin by the California Department of Water Resources (“**DWR**”).
- C. The Delta-Mendota Subbasin includes multiple groundwater sustainability agencies (“**GSA**s”) that intend to manage the Subbasin through the development and implementation of multiple different groundwater sustainability plans (“**GSP**s”).
- D. The GSA parties to this Agreement (“**GSA Parties**”) have organized into groundwater sustainability plan (“**GSP**”) groups (“**GSP Groups**”) and have agreed to be represented by “**GSP Group Representatives**,” on terms to be developed and implemented by separate agreements between each GSP Group and the parties within such GSP Group.
- E. SGMA allows local agencies to engage in the sustainable management of groundwater, but requires GSAs in all basins that are managed by more than one GSP to enter into a Coordination Agreement to coordinate the multiple GSPs to sustainably manage the Subbasin pursuant to SGMA.
- F. The GSP Groups desire to dictate terms describing the mechanisms for the sharing of the costs associated with the coordination activities described in below and in a Delta-Mendota Subbasin Coordination Agreement (“**Coordination Agreement**”) that the Parties plan to execute. The Coordination Agreement will establish a Coordination Committee (“**Coordination Committee**”) to provide the forum for the parties to accomplish the coordination obligation of SGMA and will enumerate the Coordination Committee’s responsibilities. The Coordination Agreement will also establish the roles of Secretary and Plan Manager and enumerate their respective responsibilities.
- G. The SLDMWA has been assisting the GSP Groups with SGMA compliance, and will act as the initial Secretary of the Coordination Committee (“**Secretary**”) and the initial Plan Manager with respect to the Coordination Agreement (“**Plan Manager**”). As part of that effort, the SLDMWA and/or its agents agrees to undertake all activities required of it under the

Coordination Agreement, so long as each GSP Group reimburses the SLDMWA for that GSP Group's apportioned share of the "**Coordinated Plan Expenses**," described in Section 2 below.

- H. The Parties desire to enter into this Agreement to refine the Parties' informal agreements prior to the date of execution and to accomplish all of the foregoing matters on the terms and conditions set forth herein.

NOW, THEREFORE, based on the Recitals set forth above and on the terms and conditions set forth herein, the Parties agree as follows:

### **AGREEMENT**

1. Administrative Coordination. For so long as desired by the Coordination Committee, the SLDMWA will be responsible for undertaking all activities required of it under the Coordination Agreement including, but not limited to: intrabasin coordination; activities required in its role as Secretary; activities required in its role as Plan Manager; and entering into professional services agreement(s) and any supplemental agreements required for the consultant work necessary to meet the objectives of the Coordination Agreement.
2. Coordinated Plan Expenses. The Parties agree that **Coordinated Plan Expenses** incurred under the Coordination Agreement shall mean any expenses incurred by the Secretary and Plan Manager at the direction of the Coordination Committee within approved annual cost estimates pursuant to Section 5 of this Cost Sharing Agreement for purposes of developing and implementing the Coordination Agreement, including actual expenses incurred in executing obligations under the Coordination Agreement for intrabasin and interbasin coordination beginning in August 2017. The GSA Parties agree to make payments for Coordinated Plan Expenses through their GSP Groups, described in Section 6 below.
3. Participation Percentages. The Parties acknowledge and agree that the participation percentages in Exhibit "A" ("**Participation Percentages**") shall be utilized to determine the share of Coordinated Plan Expenses allocated to each GSP Group.
  - a. Initial Participation Percentages. Coordinated Plan Expenses will be paid proportionally by each GSP Group through the Responsible Agency to Invoice ("**Responsible Agency**") identified on Exhibit "A," pursuant to each GSP Group's respective Participation Percentage, which is initially set in equal percentages, as indicated in Exhibit "A."
  - b. Updated Participation Percentages. Participation Percentages may be evaluated by the Coordination Committee from time to time, including to consider new information concerning the relative contribution or responsibility of each GSP Group towards achieving the Subbasin-wide sustainability goal of their coordinated GSPs.
  - c. Ongoing Documentation of Participation Percentages. The most current Participation Percentages of each GSP Group shall be dated and attached as Exhibit "A" to this Agreement, effective upon the date approved by the Parties under delegated authority

by their respective GSP Groups, without any further Amendment to this Agreement being required.

4. Obligations Outside of Cost Sharing Agreement. It is the responsibility and obligation of each GSA Party under this Agreement that is part of a multi-party GSP Group to provide documentation to the Secretary and the Coordination Committee establishing that such GSP Group has a binding agreement or mechanism assuring that the GSP Group will pay its Participation Percentage set forth on Exhibit “A,” as said Exhibit “A” may be modified or amended from time to time (pursuant to a modification or amendment of this Agreement under Section 14, below), including documentation of provisions regarding the default or withdrawal of any GSA Party within such GSP Group. Provided, that the Secretary shall not be obligated to evaluate or provide an opinion on the legal sufficiency of the documentation.
5. Cost Estimates. The SLDMWA will obtain and provide the GSP Groups, through the GSP Group Representatives on the Coordination Committee, with a written estimate (“**Estimate**”) of the cost of each task required for executing its obligations under the Coordination Agreement prior to March 1 each year, and as new tasks arise. Each Estimate will be subject to approval by the Coordination Committee, pursuant to the Coordination Agreement. The SLDMWA shall account for Coordinated Plan Expenses in accordance with standard public agency accounting procedures and shall invoice amounts to be collected from the GSP Groups in accordance with Section 6 below. All costs related to workgroups shall be the responsibility of each Party providing the workgroup participant.
6. Invoicing and Payment. The SLDMWA shall bill the GSP Groups, through the Responsible Agency identified on Exhibit “A,” for all Coordinated Plan Expenses based upon their respective Participation Percentages, upon receipt of each individual invoice. Payment is due from each Responsible Agency thirty (30) days following receipt of the invoice by the Responsible Agency. Amounts in arrears for more than thirty (30) days shall earn interest at the applicable legal rate. Each Responsible Agency is responsible to collect payment from members of its GSP Group, if any.
7. Reporting. The SLDMWA shall present a cumulative Coordinated Plan Expense report to the GSP Groups on a monthly basis, through the Responsible Agency identified on Exhibit “A.” Each Invoice, described in Section 6 above, shall be accompanied by a Coordinated Plan Expenses report (“**Report**”). The Report shall consist of a cumulative itemized statement of all costs and expenses incurred pursuant to the Coordination Agreement and any disbursement of funds received by the SLDMWA under this Agreement.
8. Records. The SLDMWA shall maintain separate records regarding Coordinated Plan Expenses, including records of billing and payment and other documents related to the execution of its obligations under the Coordination Agreement. The Parties and their designated agents shall have the right to inspect all records maintained by the SLDMWA associated with this Cost Sharing Agreement at any time within normal business hours, with fifteen (15) business days’ advance notice to the SLDMWA in writing.

9. Notice. Whenever notice is required to be in writing, it shall be provided to the GSP Groups, through the Responsible Agency identified on Exhibit “A.” Notice shall be provided to the SLDMWA at the following address:

San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority  
P.O. Box 2157  
Los Banos, CA 93635  
Attn: Andrew Garcia  
E-mail: [andrew.garcia@sldmwa.org](mailto:andrew.garcia@sldmwa.org)

If sent by United States Mail, notice will be considered to have been given forty-eight (48) hours after it has been deposited in the United States Mail, addressed as set forth above, with postage prepaid. If sent by overnight delivery service, notice will be considered to have been given twenty-four (24) hours after it has been deposited with the overnight delivery service. Any GSP Group may change the Responsible Agency for notice or that Responsible Agency’s address for these purposes by giving written notice of the change to all other Parties. The SLDMWA may also change its address or contact by giving written notice of the change to all other Parties.

10. Law Governing. This Agreement is made in the State of California under the constitution and laws of the State of California and is to be so construed.
11. Section Headings. All section headings in this Agreement are for convenience of reference only and are not to be construed as modifying or governing this language in the section referred to or to define or limit the scope of any provision of this Agreement.
12. Entire Agreement. This Agreement (including the preamble and Recitals) constitutes the entire Agreement between the Parties and supersedes prior agreements or discussions relating to the matters set forth herein, if any, both written and oral.
13. Severability. If any provision of this Agreement is held to be invalid or unenforceable, the remaining provisions of this Agreement shall remain in full force and effect.
14. Modification or Amendment. The Parties hereby agree that, this Agreement may be supplemented, amended, or modified only by the mutual written agreement of the Parties. No supplement, amendment, or modification of this Agreement shall be binding unless it is in writing and signed by all Parties.
15. Withdrawal.
- a. Withdrawal by a GSA Party. A GSA Party may withdraw from this Agreement without causing or requiring termination of this Agreement, effective upon thirty (30) days written notice to all other Parties. Any GSA Party who withdraws shall remain obligated to pay its share of all Coordinated Plan Expenses accrued prior to the effective date of such withdrawal. The SLDMWA will notify DWR within thirty (30) days of any GSA Party’s withdrawal from this Agreement.



- b. Withdrawal by the SLDMWA. The SLDMWA may withdraw from this Agreement effective: (1) upon notification by the Coordination Committee that the SLDMWA's services are no longer required as Secretary and Plan Manager; or (2) upon sixty (60) days written notice by the SLDMWA to the GSA Parties. In the event the SLDMWA withdraws from this Agreement, such withdrawal shall terminate this Agreement, unless the Coordination Committee names a successor Secretary and Plan Manager pursuant to the Coordination Agreement, and the Parties and such successor entity or entities agree to continue the Agreement with the successor Secretary and Plan Manager agreeing to assume the role of the SLDMWA. If the Agreement continues between the GSA Parties and a successor to the SLDMWA, the SLDMWA agrees to reasonably cooperate in the transition to its successor; provided, the SLDMWA shall not be liable for performance of duties under this Agreement following the Coordination Committee's notice or the sixty (60)-day notice period set forth in this subsection, whichever is applicable.
16. Term. As modified pursuant to Section 14, this Agreement shall continue for a term coterminous with the requirements of SGMA.
17. Indemnification. The Parties agree that the GSA Parties shall, in proportion to the respective Participation Percentages of their GSP Groups, hold the SLDMWA free and harmless from and indemnify the SLDMWA against any and all costs, losses, damages, claims, and liabilities arising from this Agreement, unless such costs, losses, damages, claims, or liabilities are attributable to the sole negligence or willful misconduct of the SLDMWA. The Parties acknowledge that each GSP Group intends to pay only its share of Coordinated Plan Expenses, but acknowledge that the GSP Group may be required to pay an adjusted Participation Percentage (pursuant to a modification or amendment of this Agreement under Section 14, above) to meet its obligation to the SLDMWA and seek its remedy against any defaulting GSP Group.
18. Construction of Agreement. The Parties acknowledge that each has informed and able counsel to advise it concerning the terms of this Agreement, and agree that no Party shall be deemed the drafting Party in any dispute involving construction of the terms of the Agreement.
19. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but all of which, together, shall constitute one and the same instrument.
20. No Partnership. The Parties hereto do not intend to create a partnership for federal income tax purposes or state law purposes, and nothing herein shall be construed to create such a partnership. The provisions set forth in this Agreement, and the respective obligations of each Party hereto, shall be construed consistently with such intent.
21. Procedures for Resolving Conflicts. In the event of any dispute arising from or relating to this Agreement, the disputing Party shall, within thirty (30) calendar days of discovery of the events giving rise to the dispute, notify all Parties to this Agreement in writing of the basis for the dispute. Within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of said notice, all interested Parties shall meet and confer in a good-faith attempt to informally resolve the dispute. All disputes that are not resolved informally shall be settled by non-binding arbitration. Within ten (10) days

following the failed informal proceedings, each interested Party shall nominate and circulate to all other interested Parties the name of one arbitrator. Within ten (10) days following the nominations, the interested Parties shall rank their top three among all nominated arbitrators, awarding 3 points to the top choice, 2 points to the second choice, 1 point to the third choice, and zero points to all others. Each interested Party shall forward its tally to the SLDMWA, who shall tabulate the points and notify the interested Parties of the name of the arbitrator with the highest cumulative score, who shall be the selected arbitrator. The SLDMWA may also develop procedures for approval by the Parties, for selection in the case of tie votes or in order to replace the selected arbitrator in the event such arbitrator declines or ceases to act. The arbitration shall be administered in accordance with the procedures set forth in the California Code of Civil Procedure, section 1280, et seq., and of any state or local rules then in effect for arbitration pursuant to said section. Upon completion of arbitration, if the controversy has not been resolved, any Party may exercise all rights to bring a legal action relating to the controversy. Any dispute resolution or arbitration under this Section, however, will not terminate the Parties' obligations under Sections 2, 4, and 6 nor the Parties' obligations under Section 16.

22. **Authorized Signature.** Each Party represents that the individual signing this Agreement on its behalf is duly authorized to execute this Agreement and will legally bind that Party to the terms of this Agreement.

**IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have executed this Agreement.**

<b>PATTERSON IRRIGATION DISTRICT GSA</b>			
<b>Patterson Irrigation District</b>	<b>Date:</b>	<b>Twin Oaks Irrigation Company</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b>		<b>Signature</b>	
_____		_____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>		<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>WEST STANISLAUS IRRIGATION DISTRICT GSA 1</b>			
<b>West Stanislaus Irrigation District</b>	<b>Date:</b>		
<b>Signature</b>			
_____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			
<b>DM II GSA</b>			
<b>Del Puerto Water District</b>	<b>Date:</b>	<b>Oak Flat Water District</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b>		<b>Signature</b>	
_____		_____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>		<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>CITY OF PATTERSON GSA</b>			
<b>City of Patterson</b>	<b>Date:</b>		
<b>Signature</b>			
_____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			

<b>NORTHWESTERN DELTA-MENDOTA GSA</b>			
County of Merced	Date:	County of Stanislaus	Date: 10/9/18
Signature		Signature 	
Name of Representative:		Jim DeMartini, Chairman	
		APPROVED AS TO FORM: John P. Doering County Counsel, Stanislaus County	
		BY: 	Date: 11/3/18
		County Counsel:	

<b>CENTRAL DELTA-MENDOTA REGION MULTI-AGENCY GSA</b>			
San Luis Water District	Date:	Panoche Water District	Date:
Signature		Signature	
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
Tranquillity Irrigation District	Date:	Fresno Slough Water District	Date:
Signature		Signature	
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
Eagle Field Water District	Date:	Pacheco Water District	Date:
Signature		Signature	
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
Santa Nella County Water District	Date:	Mercy Springs Water District	Date:
Signature		Signature	
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	
County of Merced	Date:	County of Fresno	Date:
Signature		Signature	
Name of Representative:		Name of Representative:	

<b>ORO LOMA WATER DISTRICT GSA</b>	
Oro Loma Water District	Date:
Signature	
Name of Representative:	

<b>WIDREN WATER DISTRICT GSA</b>	
Widren Water District	Date:
Signature	
Name of Representative:	

<b>SAN JOAQUIN RIVER EXCHANGE CONTRACTORS GSA</b>	
San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors GSA	Date:
Signature	
Name of Representative:	



<b>TURNER ISLAND WATER DISTRICT -2 GSA</b>	
<b>Turner Island Water District</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>CITY OF MENDOTA GSA</b>	
<b>City of Mendota</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>CITY OF FIREBAUGH GSA</b>	
<b>City of Firebaugh</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>CITY OF LOS BANOS GSA</b>	
<b>City of Los Banos</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>CITY OF DOS PALOS GSA</b>	
<b>City of Dos Palos</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>CITY OF GUSTINE GSA</b>	
<b>City of Gustine</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>CITY OF NEWMAN GSA</b>	
<b>City of Newman</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>COUNTY OF MADERA -3 GSA</b>	
<b>County of Madera</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>MERCED COUNTY DELTA-MENDOTA GSA</b>	
<b>County of Merced</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>	

<b>GRASSLAND GSA</b>			
<b>Grassland Water District</b>	<b>Date:</b>	<b>Grassland Resource Conservation District</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Signature</b> _____		<b>Signature</b> _____	
<b>Name of Representative:</b>		<b>Name of Representative:</b>	
<b>MERCED COUNTY DELTA-MENDOTA GSA</b>			
<b>County of Merced</b>	<b>Date:</b>		
<b>Signature</b> _____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			
<b>FARMERS WATER DISTRICT GSA</b>			
<b>Farmers Water District</b>	<b>Date:</b>		
<b>Signature</b> _____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			
<b>FRESNO COUNTY MANAGEMENT AREA A+B GSAs</b>			
<b>Fresno County</b>	<b>Date:</b>		
<b>Signature</b> _____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			
<b>ALISO WATER DISTRICT GSA</b>			
<b>Aliso Water District</b>	<b>Date:</b>		
<b>Signature</b> _____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			
<b>SAN LUIS &amp; DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY</b>			
<b>San Luis &amp; Delta-Mendota Water Authority</b>	<b>Date:</b>		
<b>Signature</b> _____			
<b>Name of Representative:</b>			

**EXHIBIT A – GSP Groups and Responsible Agencies to Invoice**

	<b>Groundwater Sustainability Plan Group</b>	<b>Responsible Agency to Invoice / Address</b>	<b>Participation Percentage</b>
<b>1</b>	<p><b>Northern / Central Delta-Mendota Region – 2 Representatives</b>                      Central DM Subgroup – 1 Member representing the following:                          Central Delta-Mendota Multi-Agency GSA                          Oro Loma Water District GSA                          Widren Water District GSA                      Northern DM Subgroup – 1 Member representing the following:                          City of Patterson GSA                          DM-II GSA                          Northwestern Delta-Mendota GSA                          Patterson Irrigation District GSA                          West Stanislaus Irrigation District-GSA 1</p>	<p>San Luis &amp; Delta-Mendota Water Authority (for invoices)                      P.O. Box 2157                      Los Banos, CA 93635                      Attn: Andrew Garcia</p> <p>West Stanislaus Irrigation District (for other notices)                      116 E Street                      P.O. Box 37                      Westley, CA 95387                      Attn: Robert Pierce</p>	16.7%
<b>2</b>	<p><b>San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors – 2 Representatives</b>                      City of Dos Palos GSA                      City of Firebaugh GSA                      City of Gustine GSA                      City of Los Banos GSA                      City of Mendota GSA                      City of Newman GSA                      Madera County GSA                      Merced County – Delta Mendota GSA                      San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors GSA                      Turner Island Water District-2 GSA</p>	<p>San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors                      541 H Street                      P.O. Box 2115                      Los Banos, CA 95363                      Attn: Steve Chedester</p>	16.7%
<b>3</b>	<p><b>Farmers Water District – 1 Representative</b>                      Farmers Water District GSA</p>	<p>Farmers Water District                      4460 W. Shaw Ave., #219                      Fresno, CA 93722                      Attn: Jim Stillwell</p>	16.7%
<b>4</b>	<p><b>Aliso Water District – 1 Representative</b>                      Aliso Water District GSA</p>	<p>Aliso Water District                      10302 Avenue 7-1/2                      Firebaugh, CA 93622                      Attn: Roy Catania</p>	16.7%
<b>5</b>	<p><b>Grassland Water District – 1 Representative</b>                      Grassland Water District GSA                          Grassland WD and Grassland Resource Conservation District                      Merced County Delta-Mendota GSA</p>	<p>Grassland Water District                      200 W. Willmont Ave.                      Los Banos, CA 93635                      Attn: Ricardo Ortega</p>	16.7%

6	<b>Fresno County Management Area A &amp; B – 1 Representative</b> Fresno County Management Area A GSA Fresno County Management Area B GSA	County of Fresno Department of Public Works and Planning 2220 Tulare St., 6th Floor Fresno, CA 93721 Attn: Division of Water and Natural Resources	16.7%
---	---	--	-------

**SECOND AMENDMENT TO NORTHERN DELTA-MENDOTA REGION  
SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ACT SERVICES ACTIVITY  
AGREEMENT AND CONSENT OF SS-MOA PARTICIPANTS**

**1. RECITALS**

A. The San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority (“Authority”) and its members Del Puerto Water District, Patterson Irrigation District, and West Stanislaus Irrigation District, have executed that certain Northern Delta-Mendota Region Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (“SGMA”) Services Activity Agreement (the “Activity Agreement Members” and “Activity Agreement”), made effective as of February 24, 2017, and that certain First Amendment, made effective as of April 30, 2017 (the “First Amendment”).

B. Oak Flat Water District, the City of Patterson, the County of Merced and the County of Stanislaus, non-members of the Authority, have executed Memoranda of Agreement to participate in the Activity Agreement as SS-MOA Participants.

C. Section 3 of the Activity Agreement identifies a purpose of the Activity Agreement as to provide the contractual basis for the Activity Agreement Members to utilize the resources of the Authority to assist with “coordination with other such plans within the Delta-Mendota Subbasin and adjoining subbasins as required by SGMA.”

D. Section 4.2 of the Activity Agreement lists an authorized activity of the Authority under the Activity Agreement as “[t]o provide services to facilitate coordination among the GSAs in other portions of the DM Subbasin, and GSAs in other subbasins to assist in the development or implementation of intra-basin or inter-basin Coordination Agreements required by SGMA.”

E. Section 5.1 of the Activity Agreement authorizes the Activity Agreement Members “[t]o cooperate, act in conjunction with, and contract with the United States, the State of California, or any agency thereof, Stanislaus and Merced Counties, and other Members, SS-MOA Participants, and GSAs, or any of them, in the full exercise of the powers of the Activity Participants for purposes of assisting in meeting the procedural requirements for forming a GSA, if so requested, and preparation, adoption and implementation of the Northern DM Region GSP and any Coordination Agreements required by SGMA.”

F. Representatives selected by the Activity Agreement Members and the SS-MOA Participants comprise the Activity Agreement Management Committee.

G. The Activity Agreement Members and the SS-MOA Participants collectively comprise five GSAs: (1) Patterson Irrigation District GSA, (2) West Stanislaus ID GSA-1, (3) DM-II GSA, (4) City of Patterson GSA, and (5) Northwestern Delta-Mendota GSA, which if comprised of more than one agency, have entered into memoranda of understanding to establish their respective GSA's. Separately, these five GSAs (the "Northern Delta-Mendota Region GSAs") are proposed parties to that certain Coordination Agreement for GSAs within the Delta-Mendota Subbasin established to meet the coordination obligations of SGMA.

H. The Coordination Agreement provides mechanisms for coordination among six (6) GSP Groups; the Northern Delta-Mendota Region GSAs are being combined into a single GSP Group with GSAs in the Central Delta-Mendota Region (the "Northern/Central DM GSP Group").

I. The Coordination Agreement provides for a Coordination Committee.

J. The Coordination Agreement authorizes two (2) Coordination Committee Members ("GSP Group Representatives") and two (2) Coordination Committee Alternate Members ("Alternates") from the Northern/Central Delta-Mendota Region GSAs to represent the Northern/Central DM GSP Group, which are intended to be selected so that one (1) GSP Group Representative and (1) Alternate represent the Northern DM Region GSAs and (1) GSP Group Representative and (1) Alternate represent the Central DM Region GSAs; the GSP Group Representative and Alternate from the Northern DM GSAs being those individuals designated in Exhibit "A" to this Second Amendment.

K. The Coordination Agreement requires each party to the Coordination Agreement to document: (1) its arrangements for how its GSP Group Representative and Alternate are selected and how required actions of the GSA within its respective GSP Group are identified and implemented; (2) the authorization of such GSP Group Representative(s) and Alternate(s); and (3) the mechanism for sharing Coordination Agreement Expenses ("Coordinated Plan Expenses") among the parties in the GSP Group.

L. The Activity Agreement Members desire through this Second Amendment to amend the Activity Agreement by attaching agreed-upon procedures to accomplish the purposes set forth in Recital I above.

M. The Activity Agreement authorizes Amendments, in Section 19.1, Amendments, which provides: “This Agreement may be amended in writing by the Authority and the Activity Agreement Members, with approval from the SS-MOA Participants.”

**2. AGREEMENT**

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the true and correct facts recited above, the Activity Agreement Members and the Authority agree as follows:

A. The Activity Agreement Members hereby adopt those processes and procedures as set forth on “Exhibit A” to this Second Amendment, which is attached hereto and by reference incorporated herein.

B. The terms of the Activity Agreement as modified by the First Amendment and this Second Amendment remain in full force and effect.

C. This Second Amendment shall become effective once it has been executed by an authorized representative of the Water Authority and a majority of the Activity Agreement Members with the consent of the SS-MOA Participants, which consent may be accomplished by execution of the form attached hereto as Exhibit “B.”

**SAN LUIS & DELTA-MENDOTA WATER AUTHORITY**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**ACTIVITY AGREEMENT MEMBERS**

Agency Name: **DEL PUERTO WATER DISTRICT**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Agency Name: **PATTERSON IRRIGATION DISTRICT**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Agency Name: **WEST STANISLAUS IRRIGATION DISTRICT**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_



## **EXHIBIT “A”**

### **PROCESSES AND PROCEDURES FOR PARTICIPATION IN DELTA-MENDOTA SUBBASIN COORDINATION AGREEMENT**

#### **NORTHERN/CENTRAL DM REGION GSP GROUP**

1. The Northern Delta-Mendota Management Committee is authorized to appoint the Northern Delta-Mendota Region’s Coordination Committee Member (“GSP Group Representative”) and Coordination Committee Alternate Member (“Alternate”) to the Coordination Committee established under the Delta-Mendota Subbasin Coordination Agreement, upon a unanimous vote of the Management Committee and is further authorized to fill vacancies that may develop in such positions from time to time.
2. The GSP Group Representative and Alternate will each serve two-year terms, with the opportunity to serve consecutive terms if so authorized by the Management Committee.
3. The Northern Delta-Mendota Management Committee is authorized to determine, subject to the terms of the Northern Delta-Mendota Region Activity Agreement, how required actions of the Northern Delta-Mendota Region GSAs within its respective GSP Group are identified and implemented and to provide direction based on that determination to the Northern Delta-Mendota GSP Group Representative and Alternate for a vote consistent with the direction. The vote required for Management Committee approval of any determination or direction authorized by this paragraph shall be the affirmative vote of 75% of the Management Committee Members and Voting Alternates who are present at the Management Committee Meeting; no vote may be taken unless at least a quorum of Members and Voting Alternates is present.
4. GSP Group Representatives and Alternates representing the Northern Delta-Mendota Region are hereby authorized to bind the Northern Delta-Mendota Region GSAs, e.g. in approving annual work plans and estimated expenses and Technical Memoranda, to the extent established in the Coordination Agreement following consultation with the Northern Delta-Mendota Management Committee and any required votes of the Activity Agreement Members and/or SS-MOA Participants.
5. The Northern Delta-Mendota Management Committee agrees to the designation of Vince Lucchesi as the initial Northern/Central DM Region GSP Group Representative and Walter Ward as the initial Alternate.
6. The GSP Group Representative and/or Alternate will report all Coordination Committee decision points (both upcoming and completed) to the Management Committee in a timely fashion.

7. The Activity Agreement Members and SS-MOA Participants in the Northern Delta-Mendota Region together agree to pay 50% of Coordinated Plan Expenses assigned to the Northern/Central GSP Group under the Coordination Agreement and further agree that with respect to Activity Agreement Members to the Northern Delta-Mendota Region Activity Agreement and SS-MOA Participants, such Coordinated Plan Expenses shall be shared as follows:

<b>Agency Name</b>	<b>Participation Status</b>	<b>Equal Percentage Share*</b>	<b>Acreage Percentage Share</b>
DM-II (GSA)	--	20%	35.61%
Del Puerto Water District	Activity Agreement Member	--	
Oak Flat Water District	SS-MOA Participant	--	
City of Patterson (GSA)	SS-MOA Participant	20%	3.83%
Patterson Irrigation District (GSA) [Twin Oaks Irrigation District]	Activity Agreement Member	20%	9.80%
West Stanislaus Irrigation District [City of Grayson, Westley CSD]	Activity Agreement Member	20%	13.44%
Northwestern Delta-Mendota (GSA)	--	20%	37.32%
County of Merced	SS-MOA Participant	--	
County of Stanislaus (Crows Landing Community Services District, Blewett Mutual Water Company, El Solyo Water District, Eastin Water District, White Lakes Mutual Water Company, Stevinson Water District, California Department of Fish and Wildlife (China Island))	SS-MOA Participant	--	

	<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	100%
--	--------------	------	------

\*- Participation Percentage for each GSA is based on the following approach: Annual Authority Administrative and legal costs are shared equally among all Activity Participants; annual costs for "Other Professional Services" are shared as a percentage calculated by dividing the Activity Participant's service area acreage by the total service area acreage of all Activity Participants.

8. If an Activity Agreement Member or SS-MOA Participant fails to pay its share of Coordinated Plan Expenses, the remaining Activity Agreement Members and SS-MOA Participants agree to pay adjusted Coordinated Plan Expenses. Any adjustments will be made proportionally to each percentage identified in the table above, and documented in a dated Attachment to this Exhibit; provided, that the agencies in the Northern DM GSP Group may decide upon a different adjustment among themselves documented in a dated attachment to this Exhibit.

**Dated Effective as of: \_\_\_\_\_, 2018**

**EXHIBIT “B”**

**SS-MOA PARTICIPANT CONSENT TO SECOND AMENDMENT  
TO THE NORTHERN DELTA-MENDOTA REGION  
SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ACT  
SERVICES ACTIVITY AGREEMENT**

The undersigned duly authorized representatives of the SS-MOA Participants that have entered into a Memorandum of Agreement for Northern Delta-Mendota Region Sustainable Groundwater Management Act Services with the San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority hereby consent on behalf of such SS-MOA Participants to the Second Amendment to the Northern Delta-Mendota Region Sustainable Groundwater Management Act Services Activity Agreement.

**SS-MOA PARTICIPANTS**

Agency Name: **CITY OF PATTERSON**

By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Agency Name: **OAK FLAT WATER DISTRICT**


By: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: \_\_\_\_\_


Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Agency Name: **COUNTY OF STANISLAUS**

By:   
Name: Jim DeMartini  
Chair of the Board of Supervisors  
Title: County of Stanislaus, California  
Date: October 9, 2018

**APPROVED AS TO FORM**  
John P. Doering  
County Counsel  
Stanislaus County, California

BY:   
Asst. County Counsel  
DATE: 10/3/18

Agency Name: **COUNTY OF MERCED**

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Title: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2018-0496

Date: October 9, 2018

On motion of Supervisor Chiesa and approved by the following vote,  
Seconded by Supervisor Withrow

Ayes: Supervisors: Olsen, Chiesa, Withrow, Monteith, and Chairman DeMartini

Noes: Supervisors: None

Excused or Absent: Supervisors: None

Abstaining: Supervisor: None

THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION WAS ADOPTED:

Item # 5.B.1

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE EXECUTION OF THE DELTA-MENDOTA SUBBASIN  
COORDINATION AGREEMENT UNDER THE SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER  
MANAGEMENT ACT, AUTHORIZING COST SHARING AGREEMENT, CONFIRMING  
AUTHORIZATION FOR GSP GROUP REPRESENTATION AND ACTIONS, AND  
AUTHORIZING RELATED ACTIONS**

Whereas, in August 2014 the California Legislature passed comprehensive groundwater legislation creating the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act of 2014 ("SGMA"), through which the Legislature intended "to provide local groundwater agencies with the authority and technical and financial assistance necessary to sustainably manage groundwater" [California Water Code Section 10720(d)]; and

Whereas, SGMA anticipates that each affected groundwater basin or subbasin will be regulated separately by one or more Groundwater Sustainability Agencies ("GSAs") and that groundwater sustainability under the Act shall be achieved through Groundwater Sustainability Plans ("GSPs") developed by one or more Groundwater Sustainability Agencies and through coordination of such plans within a basin or subbasin; and

Whereas, the Delta-Mendota Subbasin includes multiple individual and multi-agency groundwater sustainability agencies, including participation by the Counties of Merced, Fresno, and Stanislaus, that intend to manage the Delta-Mendota Subbasin through the development and implementation of multiple different groundwater sustainability plans; and

Whereas, the Delta-Mendota Subbasin GSAs and individual parties to multiple agency Delta-Mendota Subbasin GSA's have developed a proposed Delta-Mendota Subbasin Coordination Agreement (the "DM Coordination Agreement") to coordinate the multiple groundwater sustainability plans to sustainably manage the Subbasin pursuant to SGMA; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus (the "Agency") has considered that certain form of Delta-Mendota Subbasin Coordination Agreement (the "DM Coordination Agreement"), a copy of which has been presented to the Board and is on file with the Clerk hereof; and

Whereas, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus (the "Agency") also has considered that certain form of Cost Sharing Agreement Delta-Mendota Subbasin Coordination (the "Cost Sharing Agreement"), a copy of which has been presented to the Board and is on file with the Clerk hereof; and

Whereas, in order to efficiently coordinate among the large number of GSPs in the Subbasin, the parties to the DM Coordination Agreement intend to organize themselves into groups of GSAs participating in a single GSP, the participants in each GSP Group being identified in Exhibit "A" to the DM Coordination Agreement (each a "GSP Group" and in the plural, the "GSP Groups"); and

Whereas, in GSP Groups with more than one participating agency, the DM Coordination Agreement provides for fewer than one representative per agency to represent the GSP Group on the DM Coordination Agreement Coordination Committee and requires separate agreements among the agencies within GSP Groups or Sub-Groups containing more than one entity to be developed and implemented by the agencies within such GSP Group or sub-Groups to document authorizations and procedures relating to the DM Coordination Committee; and

Whereas, by adopting this Resolution this Agency confirms its agreement to be represented as part of the North-Central GSP Group by the Coordination Committee Member and Alternate Member that is selected by the Management Committee of the Northern DM Region SGMA Services Activity Agreement to represent this agency's GSA as part of the North-Central GSP Group; and

Whereas, by adopting this Resolution this agency further desires to document the authority of its respective North-Central GSP Group representative(s) under the Coordination Agreement to take all actions which the Coordination Committee Members are authorized to take by the terms of the DM Coordination Agreement; and

Whereas, by adopting this Resolution, this agency confirms that it has agreed that its GSP Group shall pay the GSP Group's Participation Percentage as set forth on Exhibit "A" to the DM Coordination Agreement;

Whereas, this Agency intends to document the separate agreement among agencies in its GSP Group in satisfaction of the requirements of the Coordination Agreement by providing to the Coordination Committee an executed copy of the Second Amendment to the Northern DM Region Activity Agreement as soon as such Second Amendment has been finalized and executed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors:

Section 1. The matters stated in the recitals above are true and correct, and the Board so finds, orders, and determines.

Section 2. The Board hereby authorizes the Chairman to execute the DM Coordination Agreement in substantially the form presented to the Board, subject to such additions, deletions, and other revisions as the executing officers shall approve prior to execution, and subject to the approval of County Counsel.

Section 3. The Board hereby authorizes the Chairman to execute the Cost Sharing Agreement in substantially the form presented to the Board, subject to such additions, deletions, and other revisions as the executing officers shall approve prior to execution, and subject to the approval of County Counsel.

Section 4. The County confirms its agreement to be represented as part of the North-Central GSP Group by the Coordination Committee Member and Alternate Member that is selected by the Management Committee of the Northern DM Region SGMA Services Activity Agreement to represent this agency's GSA as part of the North-Central GSP Group and hereby authorizes such individual Coordination Committee Member and Alternate Member to take the actions authorized under the DM Coordination Agreement on behalf of this Agency as part of its respective GSP Group; subject to replacement of such appointees in accordance with further direction from the Management Committee of the Northern DM Region SGMA Services Activity Agreement.

Section 5. Stanislaus County acknowledges that its GSP Group is obligated pay such GSP Group's Participation Percentage as set forth on Exhibit "A" to the DM Coordination Agreement, and that the County shall be liable under a separate agreement for its allocated portion thereof, as such Participation Percentage may be modified from time to time.

Section 6. The Board Chairman, or other Agency employee or consultant as the Board Chairman may designate, is further authorized and directed to take such additional steps, and to execute such additional documents, as may be required or reasonably necessary to the completion of actions specifically authorized by this Resolution, subject to the budgets and approvals as set forth in the respective documents.

ATTEST: ELIZABETH A. KING, Clerk  
Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors,  
State of California



---

File No. **GSA3-3**