# THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS BOARD ACTION SUMMARY

DEPT:	Public Works	BOARD AGENDA #: *C-1
		AGENDA DATE: August 2, 2016
SUBJEC	T:	
Districts: Deo Glor Hillcrest, McHenry	Airport Neighborhood, Almond Wood, Beria, Denair, Empire, Fairview, Fruit Yard Kenwood Park, Mancini Park Home	enefit Assessments for the Following Lighting eard Industrial, Country Club, Crows Landing, d, Gibbs Ranch, Gilbert Road, Golden State, es, Marshall, Monterey, North Oaks, North Galida, Schwartz Baize, Sunset Oaks, Sylvan
	ACTION AS FOLLOWS:	<b>No.</b> 2016-397
On motio	on of Supervisor Withrow	, Seconded by Supervisor _Çhieşa
and appr	oved by the following vote,	and Chairman Monteith
Excused	or Absent: Supervisors: None	
	_ Approved as recommended _ Denied	
	_ Approved as amended	
4)		
MOTION:		

ELIZABETH A. KING, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

File No.

# THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS AGENDA ITEM

DEPT: Public Works BOARD AGENDA #: \*C

Urgent O Routine 

AGENDA DATE: August 2, 2016

CEO CONCURRENCE: 4/5 Vote Required: Yes O No O

## SUBJECT:

Approval to Levy the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Benefit Assessments for the Following Lighting Districts: Airport Neighborhood, Almond Wood, Beard Industrial, Country Club, Crows Landing, Deo Gloria, Denair, Empire, Fairview, Fruit Yard, Gibbs Ranch, Gilbert Road, Golden State, Hillcrest, Kenwood Park, Mancini Park Homes, Marshall, Monterey, North Oaks, North McHenry, Olympic, Peach Blossom, Richland, Salida, Schwartz Baize, Sunset Oaks, Sylvan Village, and Tempo Park

## STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. Approve a resolution to levy the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 benefit assessments for the following Lighting Districts: Airport Neighborhood, Almond Wood, Beard Industrial, Country Club-Zone A, Country Club-Zone B, Crows Landing, Deo Gloria, Denair, Empire, Fairview, Fruit Yard, Gibbs Ranch, Gilbert Road, Golden State, Hillcrest, Kenwood Park, Mancini Park Homes, Marshall, Monterey, North Oaks, North McHenry, North McHenry #2, Olympic, Peach Blossom, Richland, Salida, Schwartz Baize, Sunset Oaks, Sylvan Village, and Tempo Park.
- 2. Direct the Auditor-Controller to add the assessments to the 2016-2017 tax roll.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

Lighting districts were formed with landowner elections for the purpose of providing street lighting services to the unincorporated areas of the County. Revenue received from ad valorem (according to value) property taxes was adequate until the passage of Proposition 13 when the resultant 55% reduction in revenue caused operation and maintenance services to suffer. In 1981, the Board of Supervisors adopted an ordinance permitting the levy of special assessments for lighting purposes, subject to voter approval. Pursuant to the Streets and Highways Code, Section 19000, et seq., the cost of conducting and maintaining the lighting district shall be assessed against the individual parcels of land within the district's boundaries.

In November 1996, Proposition 218 was passed by voters, requiring a majority vote for any increase in assessment amounts. Ballot procedures were held for the districts where an increase in assessment was anticipated. The ballot procedure also requested the approval of use of a formula whereby each year's assessment would be calculated as: Amount of Annual Assessment = (Estimated Operation & Maintenance Costs – Fund Balance from Previous Year – Estimated Property Tax Revenue) / Number of Benefiting Parcels or Equivalent Benefit Units in District. All but one of the Lighting Districts has the approved formula in place. Lighting districts formed prior to Proposition 13 have continued to receive property tax revenue, with direct assessments making up the difference between required funding and available property

Approval to Levy the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Benefit Assessments for the Following Lighting Districts: Airport Neighborhood, Almond Wood, Beard Industrial, Country Club, Crows Landing, Deo Gloria, Denair, Empire, Fairview, Fruit Yard, Gibbs Ranch, Gilbert Road, Golden State, Hillcrest, Kenwood Park, Mancini Park Homes, Marshall, Monterey, North Oaks, North McHenry, Olympic, Peach Blossom, Richland, Salida, Schwartz Baize, Sunset Oaks, Sylvan Village, and Tempo Park

taxes. Districts formed after the passage of Proposition 13 rely solely on direct assessment funding. The number of parcels in a lighting district can vary from as few as 1 to over 4,000. If the Board approves the levy assessments, funding in the districts that have approved the use of the formula will be adequate to provide uninterrupted operation and maintenance of the streetlights.

The proposed assessments are provided in Exhibit "A" Schedule of Lighting District Assessments. There are no changes in the lighting districts` assessments from the prior year. The attached schedule provides information on the projected fund balance as of June 30, 2016, annual budget, and the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 proposed assessment.

Fiscal management of the lighting districts continues to be challenging. While utility costs and routine maintenance are predictable, occurrences of accidents and vandalism are random and costly. Unfortunately, a single incident involving accidents or vandalism can result in costs of \$1,500 to \$3,000 per occurrence. To ensure fiscal stability the calculation for the proposed assessments includes funding for potential major repairs. The amount added to the assessment is based on historical occurrences of damage, the number of lights within the district and the size of the district. A small district of 6 lights may have a reserve of \$500, whereas a large district of 500 lights may have a reserve of \$6,500. The impact to the individual parcels varies in conjunction with distribution of cost to the number of parcels being charged. For example, Golden State Lighting District has only 7 parcels, but in the past has experienced over \$3,000 of repairs due to wire theft. This cost must be recovered through increased assessments. In comparison, Salida Lighting District has over 4,200 parcels, therefore the per parcel impact for a \$3,000 expense is substantially less.

The 6-month dry period funding in Exhibit "A" refers to the period of time from July 1<sup>st</sup> through December 10<sup>th</sup>. The fiscal year is the 12-month period from July 1<sup>st</sup> through June 30<sup>th</sup> of the following year. Special district assessments are received as property taxes are paid. The first installment of the annual assessment is not collected until December, creating a 6-month dry period in receiving the money necessary to maintain the various services provided. Therefore, it is necessary to carry a fund balance forward to cover six months of expenses.

Districts that have experienced unusual circumstances that may have impacted the proposed assessment or service levels are detailed as follows:

On March 1, 2011, a Public Hearing was held to conduct a ballot procedure to change the assessment methodology in the North McHenry Lighting District to include the use of a formula. On March 8, 2011, ballot results were returned and accepted as the majority vote in opposition to the new formula. Therefore, the assessment cannot be changed from that of the previous year. The existing assessment does not provide sufficient revenue to operate the district at the historical service levels, creating a deficit. Due to the \$9,620 deficit in North McHenry Lighting District's fund balance, 51 lights (approximately 60%) were de-energized on or about April 1, 2011. The savings from the lowered utility costs will be applied to the fund balance deficit until the deficit is eliminated, at which time service levels will be re-evaluated.

Approval to Levy the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Benefit Assessments for the Following Lighting Districts: Airport Neighborhood, Almond Wood, Beard Industrial, Country Club, Crows Landing, Deo Gloria, Denair, Empire, Fairview, Fruit Yard, Gibbs Ranch, Gilbert Road, Golden State, Hillcrest, Kenwood Park, Mancini Park Homes, Marshall, Monterey, North Oaks, North McHenry, Olympic, Peach Blossom, Richland, Salida, Schwartz Baize, Sunset Oaks, Sylvan Village, and Tempo Park

On May 3, 2016, a Public Hearing was held to conduct a ballot procedure to consider the formation of the Fruit Yard Lighting District and levy of an Annual Assessment. On May 4, 2016, ballot results were returned and accepted as the majority vote in support of the formation of the lighting district. Beginning balance for Fiscal Year 2016-2017 is \$525, which is the initial start-up costs paid by the property owner. The Assessment methodology and balance to levy in the amount of \$350 was approved by Board of Supervisors.

## **POLICY ISSUE:**

Pursuant to the Streets and Highways Code, Section 19000, et seq., the cost of conducting and maintaining the lighting district shall be assessed against the individual parcels of land within the district's boundaries.

## **FISCAL IMPACT:**

Total funding expected to be generated from the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Lighting District assessments is approximately \$339,186. The assessment revenue will provide sufficient funding for all projected energy and maintenance costs of streetlights for each of the individual lighting districts.

Cost of recommended action:

Source(s) of Funding:

Lighting Districts Assessment

Funding Total:

Net Cost to County General Fund

Fiscal Year:

\$ 339,186

\$ 339,186

\$ 2016-2017

No

Fund Balance as of

## **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' PRIORITY:**

**Budget Adjustment/Appropriations needed:** 

The recommended actions are consistent with the Board's priorities of providing A Safe Community and A Well Planned Infrastructure System by ensuring lighting services to the respective districts.

### STAFFING IMPACT:

Existing Public Works and Parks Department staff will provide maintenance and administrative services to the special districts.

Approval to Levy the Fiscal Year 2016-2017 Benefit Assessments for the Following Lighting Districts: Airport Neighborhood, Almond Wood, Beard Industrial, Country Club, Crows Landing, Deo Gloria, Denair, Empire, Fairview, Fruit Yard, Gibbs Ranch, Gilbert Road, Golden State, Hillcrest, Kenwood Park, Mancini Park Homes, Marshall, Monterey, North Oaks, North McHenry, Olympic, Peach Blossom, Richland, Salida, Schwartz Baize, Sunset Oaks, Sylvan Village, and Tempo Park

# **CONTACT PERSON:**

Matt Machado, Public Works Director

Telephone: (209) 525-4153

# ATTACHMENT(S):

- 1. Exhibit "A" Schedule of Lighting Districts Assessments
- 2. Lighting District Resolution

# ATTACHMENT 1 EXHIBIT "A" SCHEDULE OF LIGHTING DISTRICTS ASSESSMENTS

#### Stanislaus County

#### SCHEDULE OF LIGHTING DISTRICT ASSESSMENTS

Fiscal Year 2016-2017 **EXHIBIT A** Balance "Dry Tax Assessment Revenue Needed Prior Year Assessment EBU/Parcels Fund Year Assessment Budget Yea 2016-2017 Lights Code Property 1 Revenue 6-Month " Period" Funding Use of Fu Balance 4-Year Average Budget Fund Fund Tax ₹ Lighting District Name 1850 Airport Neighborhood 57000 108 44.986 29.900 14,950 (15,450)(6.496)21.655 485 44.65 44.65 46.42 1851 Almond Wood 57025 72 14.901 11,142 5.571 (5,821)0 10,778 282 38.22 38.22 37.95 1970 Beard Industrial 57320 24 13,013 6,244 3,122 (3,122)0 6,244 92.61 67.42 67.42 107.38 Country Club A 1852 57350 15 12,364 4,581 2,291 (2,291)(1,452)3,129 133 23.53 23.53 21.10 1853 Country Club B 57325 4 1,836 1,046 523 (523)1,046 38 27.53 27.53 33.45 Crows Landing 1854 57375 18 12,324 4.091 2.046 (2,346)(1,453)2,309 14.34 14.34 12.32 161 1856 Denair 57450 197 114,636 45,443 22,722 (12,662)(4,415)38.01 51,168 1,343 38.10 37.62 1855 Deo Gloria 57400 27 11.509 5,274 2,637 (2.856)0 5,055 103 49.08 49.08 48.11 1857 Empire 57475 126 52.154 31,024 15.512 (16,262)(10,637)19,653 971 20.24 20.24 18.87 1858 Fairview 57500 38 25,380 9,825 (3,533)4.913 (4,913)6,292 250 25.17 25.17 26.49 Fruit Yard 1974 57515 2 450 525 225 (100)0 350 122 2.87 0.00 0.00 1860 Gibbs Ranch 57525 14 12,766 3.220 1.610 (1,610)0 3,220 17 189.41 189.41 245.91 1859 Gilbert 57550 2 1,556 470 235 (235)9 0 470 52.22 52.22 56.43 1861 Golden State Zone A 57575 4 2,529 3.595 1,265 (1,265)0 2,023 505.85 505.80 606.32 1861 Golden State Zone B 57575 1,827 479 240 (239)0 479 119.80 119.80 235.69 1862 Hillcrest 57625 81 48,905 16,135 8,068 (8.068)0 16,134 61.58 61.58 71.59 262 1973 Kenwood Park 57680 14 4,977 3,283 1,642 (1,542)0 51 3,383 66.33 66.33 67.91 Mancini Park 57700 1863 40 12,791 8,139 4,070 (4,720)(308)7,181 199 36.09 36.09 31.15 1972 | Marshall 57710 5 4,372 2,747 1.374 (2,124)0 1,997 34.51 57.87 57.87 62.82 1864 Monterey 57725 11 7,062 3,190 1,595 (1.678)(773)2.334 74 31.54 25.83 31.54 North McHenry #2 1971 57752 8 2.729 1.851 926 (1,396)0 1,381 1,381.00 1,381.00 1,348.38 1865 North McHenry\* 57750 28 (9,620)1,828 9,165 3,656 0 30.44 7,184 231 30.44 30.44 1866 North Oaks 57775 23 8,159 4,493 2,247 (2,247)4,493 120 37.44 37.44 37.07 1867 Olympic 57800 53 28,480 16,818 8,409 (9,409)(3,934)11,884 328 36.23 36.23 35.60 1869 Peach Blossom 57050 5 2.690 1,214 607 (657)1,164 12 97.00 97.00 94.50 1871 Richland 57875 21 12,907 7,586 3,793 (4,193)(2,291)4,895 155 31.58 31,58 28.13 1872 Salida 57950 718 214,740 127,651 63,826 (63,826)(8,904)118,837 4,361 27.25 27.25 27.62 1876 Schwartz-Baize 57975 1 1,188 380 190 (190)380 95.00 95.00 118.69 Sunset Oaks 1873 58025 86 26,844 17,729 8,865 (9.365)(5.390)11.840 360 32.89 32.89 29.48 Sylvan Village 58050 1874 10 16.983 6,104 3.052 (3,052)(1,951)4,153 66 62.92 62.92 62.56 1875 Tempo Park 58075 62 17,394 10,579 5,290 (4,640)(3,155)329 24.54 8,074 24.54 24.03 723,973 387,273 (177,630)(54,692)339,186 3,629.86 No formula in place for N. McHenry Lighting District-Assessment limited to \$30.44

# ATTACHMENT 2 LIGHTING DISTRICT RESOLUTION

# THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Date: August 2, 2016		2016-397
On motion of Supervisor	Withrow vote,	Seconded by Supervisor Chiesa
Ayes: Supervisors:	O'Brien, C	hiesa, Withrow, DeMartini, and Chairman Monteith
Noes: Supervisors:	None	
Excused or Absent: Superviso	ors: None	
Abstaining: Supervisor:	None	
THE FOLLOWING RESOL	UTION WAS ADOP	ren: Item # <u>*C-1</u>

# A RESOLUTION ORDERING THE LEVY AND COLLECTION OF CHARGES WITHIN STANISLAUS COUNTY LIGHTING DISTRICTS

WHEREAS, the Stanislaus County Lighting Assessment Districts as listed on the attached schedule of Lighting District Assessments, hereinafter referred as "Lighting Districts" have by previous resolutions or other proceedings declared their intent to levy charges or assessments for the purpose of financing street light operation and maintenance under the provisions of the Code Section 19000 et seq. of the Streets and Highways Code; and,

WHEREAS, the charges against the real property are not levied with regard to property values but rather according to the benefit received by the service provided; and,

WHEREAS, the Lighting Districts have determined and certify that the charges are either exempt from or in compliance with all the provisions of Proposition 218, which was passed by the voters in November 1996; and,

WHEREAS, the Lighting Districts have further determined the charges are in compliance with all laws pertaining to the levy of such charges.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus does hereby order the levy and collection of such charges within the Stanislaus County Lighting Assessment Districts as listed in the attached Schedule of Lighting District Assessments for the 2016-2017 fiscal year, and in each subsequent fiscal year in which the charges may validly be levied; that a certified copy of this resolution and attached documentation shall be delivered to the Auditor-Controller of the County of Stanislaus for the placement of such charges on the 2016-2017 County Tax Roll, and in each subsequent fiscal year in which the charges may validly be levied.

ATTEST: ELIZABETH A. KING, Clerk Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors, State of California

Elizabeth HKing

File No.