## THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

ARY
BOARD AGENDA #_*B-3
AGENDA DATE July 30, 2013
4/5 Vote Required YES NO NO
Services the Board of Supervisors' Notice of ent Plan 2010-2013 for the California Child and
sign the Stanislaus County System Improvement lotice of Intent for submission to the California
·
iated with the Chairman of the Board of ement Plan (SIP) 2010-2013 Appendix D: Board agency had budgeted \$25 million to support is Adopted Proposed Budget for Fiscal Year rant funding with the local match comprised of heral Fund Match. There is no impact to the of Intent.
<b>No.</b> 2013-383
onded by Supervisor Withrow  irman Chiesa

Mustine Firmo

CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

ATTEST:

Approval to Submit to the California Department of Social Services the Board of Supervisors' Notice of Intent Related to the Stanislaus County System Improvement Plan 2010-2013 for the California Child and Family Services Program Page 2

#### **DISCUSSION:**

The Stanislaus County System Improvement Plan (SIP) 2010-2013 is a required component of the California Child and Family Services Review (C-CFSR), which resulted from Assembly Bill 636 (Steinberg). The purpose of the C-CFSR is to strengthen the accountability system used in California to monitor and assess the quality of services provided on behalf of maltreated children. It established core outcomes that are central to maintaining an effective system of child welfare services. The outcomes focus on the areas of child safety, permanency and well-being.

Stanislaus County receives instructions to complete and submit the Stanislaus County System Improvement Plan (SIP) to the California Department of Social Services. Included in the instructions is Appendix D: Board of Supervisors Notice of Intent for Child Abuse Prevention (CAPIT)/Community Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP)/Promote Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) funded contracts for Stanislaus County. This Notice of Intent requires the Chairman of the County Board of Supervisors to certify the County's intent to contract with public or private nonprofit agencies to provide services.

On September 28, 2010 the Board of Supervisors approved the Stanislaus County System Improvement Plan (SIP) 2010-2013. Upon the State's review of the Stanislaus County System Improvement Plan (SIP) 2010-2013 submission, the State discovered and notified the department of the missing required Appendix D.

In addition, the Department received All County Information Notice 1-16-12 that outlines changes to the schedule for completing the C-CFSR, which transitions each county to a five-year cycle (in place of the existing triennial cycle), thereby extending the existing SIP through August 2014.

A prerequisite of the SIP is the County Self-Assessment. To meet this requirement based on the new five year cycle, the County Self-Assessment will be developed and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval in August 2014 followed by the development and presentation for Board of Supervisors approval in January 2015 of the Stanislaus County System Improvement Plan (SIP) 2015-2020.

It is recommended to authorize the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to sign the Stanislaus County System Improvement Plan (SIP) 2010-2013 Appendix D: Board of Supervisors Notice of Intent Notice of Intent for submission to the California Department of Social Services.

#### **POLICY ISSUE:**

Approval to authorize the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to sign the Stanislaus County System Improvement Plan (SIP) 2010-2013 Appendix D: Board of Supervisors

Approval to Submit to the California Department of Social Services the Board of Supervisors' Notice of Intent Related to the Stanislaus County System Improvement Plan 2010-2013 for the California Child and Family Services Program Page 3

Notice of Intent Notice of Intent for submission to the California Department of Social Services supports the Board's priorities of A Safe Community, A Healthy Community, Effective Partnerships and Efficient Delivery of Public Services by ensuring compliance with California Child and Family Services Review and providing an analysis of the various outcomes, systemic factors and public/private service delivery systems in Stanislaus County that are essential to ensure that every child lives in a safe, stable, permanent home nurtured by healthy families and strong communities.

#### **STAFFING IMPACT:**

Existing County staff and community partners are committed to support the improvements outlined in the Stanislaus County System Improvement Plan (SIP) 2010-2013 and the subsequent extension through August 2014.

#### **CONTACT PERSON:**

Kathryn Harwell, Director. Telephone: (209) 558-2500

### Appendix D: BOS Notice of Intent

STATE OF CALIFORNIA – HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

Vito Chiesa

Print Name

Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Title

### NOTICE OF INTENT CAPIT/CBCAP/PSSF PLAN CONTRACTS FOR <u>STANISLAUS</u> COUNTY

PERIOD OF PLAN (MM/DD/YY): 9/18/10 THROUGH (MM/DD/YY) 8/30/14
The undersigned confirms that the county intends to contract, or not contract with public or private nonprofit agencies, to provide services in accordance with Welfare and Institutions Code (W&I Code Section 18962(a)(2)).
In addition, the undersigned assures that funds associated with Child Abuse Prevention, Intervention and Treatment (CAPIT), Community Based Child Abuse Prevention (CBCAP), and Promoting Safe and Stable Families (PSSF) will be used as outlined in statute.
The County Board of Supervisors designates <u>Community Services Agency</u> as the public agency to administer CAPIT and CBCAP.
W&I Code Section 16602 (b) requires that the local Welfare Department shall administer PSSF. The County Board of Supervisors designates  Community Services Agency as the public agency to administer PSSF.
Please enter an X in the appropriate box.
The County intends to contract with public or private nonprofit agencies to provide services.
The County does not intend to contract with public or private nonprofit agencies to provide services and will subcontract with County to provide administrative oversight of the projects.
In order to receive funding, please sign and return the Notice of Intent with the County's System Improvement Plan:
California Department of Social Services Office of Child Abuse Prevention 744 P Street, MS 8-11-82 Sacramento, California 95814
Ush Cem. July 30, 2013
County Board of Supervisors Authorized Signature Date



# STATE OF CALIFORNIA—HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY **DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES**

744 P Street • Sacramento, CA 95814 • www.cdss.ca.gov



	REASON FOR THIS TRANSMITTAL
May 3, 2012	[ ] State Law Change [ ] Federal Law or Regulation
ALL COUNTY INFORMATION NOTICE NO. I-16-12	Change [ ] Court Order [ ] Clarification Requested by One or More Counties [ x Ilnitiated by CDSS

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

ALL CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS

ALL CHILD WELFARE SERVICES PROGRAM MANAGERS

ALL TITLE IV-E AGREEMENT TRIBES

SUBJECT: CALIFORNIA'S CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW

(C-CFSR) FIVE-YEAR SCHEDULE

REFERENCE: ALL-COUNTY LETTER (ACL) 04-05

ALL COUNTY INFORMATION NOTICE I-50-06 ALL COUNTY INFORMATION NOTICE I-46-07

ALL COUNTY INFORMATION NOTICE I-52-09 and I-52-09E

The purpose of this information notice is to provide counties with the California's Child and Family Services Report (C-CFSR) Statewide Five-Year Calendar.

#### **Background**

Assembly Bill 636 (Steinberg), Chapter 678, Statutes of 2001, enacted the Child Welfare Services Outcomes and Accountability Act of 2001. This law required the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) to establish the C-CFSR process. The C-CFSR commenced in January 2004 with implementation instructions provided to local child welfare and probation agencies through issuance of ACL-04-05.

The purpose of the C-CFSR is to significantly strengthen the accountability system used in California to monitor and assess the quality of services provided on behalf of maltreated children. As such, the C-CFSR operates on a philosophy of continuous quality improvement, interagency partnerships, community involvement and public reporting of program outcomes.

Within the last year, CDSS has undertaken efforts to redesign the C-CFSR process to improve the effectiveness of California's quality assurance system. A workgroup,

comprised of representatives from CDSS' Children's Services Outcomes and Accountability Bureau (CSOAB), the Office of Child Abuse Prevention (OCAP), County Welfare Directors Association (CWDA), Chief Probation Officers of California (CPOC), Center for Social Services Research, UC Berkeley and representatives from several California county child welfare and probation agencies, has been meeting to redesign the C-CFSR process. One of the objectives of this redesign is to ensure consistency with recommendations provided by the National Association of Public Child Welfare Administrators and American Public Health Association to the federal Administration for Children and Families in 2011 on the federal Child and Family Services Review (CFSR) processes.

One goal of the redesign was to transition each county to a five-year cycle in place of the existing triennial cycle. The Five-Year C-CFSR system will provide counties time to plan, implement and effectively evaluate whether the identified strategies result in the desired impact on the targeted outcome. Furthermore, this timeframe will support continuous system improvement by providing counties with the ability to add new strategies and delete those that are not as effective on an annual basis, through System Improvement Plan progress reports instead of on a fixed three year cycle. The shift to a five year process will place the state in a better position to conform to the federal CFSR changes currently being discussed nationally.

A second goal of the redesign was to shift the Peer Quality Case Review (PQCR) activity from being a standalone component of the system. Under the Five-Year C-CFSR system the peer review activity will occur as part of the county's assessment process. The information gleaned from the peer review and focus groups will further inform the county assessment and will no longer require a separate report to the state.

Another process change will be a case review during the County Self Assessment (CSA) planning and development process conducted by CDSS staff, utilizing standardized case review tools. The purpose of the case review is to assess child welfare and probation practice, including the application of federal and state policies and procedures, and the use of evidence-based practices to gain an understanding of the relationship between these and the success and/or barriers to improving outcome measures. The aggregate data obtained during the case review will be used to complement the qualitative information obtained from interviews and focus groups during the county self assessment process.

Finally, counties will still submit a System Improvement Plan (SIP) to the state. The SIP will continue to be integrated with the prevention planning. However, the process will be more continuous with the initial SIP serving as a five year planning document seeking to identify areas needing improvement, and providing strategies and targets for improvement. On an annual basis counties will identify successfully improved outcomes, discuss ineffective strategies and add new outcome areas for focus via an annual SIP Progress Report. This will lay the ground work for a more robust, fluid

All County Information Notice No. I-16-12 Page Three

process effectively keeping up with promising practices and the changing landscape of child welfare services.

The Five-Year C-CFSR schedule is based on a calendar year. The first page of the attached statewide five-year calendar is an overview of CSA due dates for all counties across the first five-year cycle. The remaining pages of the calendar provides the dates which counties will transition to each element of the five-year schedule. It is important to note that the PQCR dates are no longer part of the calendar as the peer review component required by statute is now part of the overall CSA process.

Counties that have a SIP update due on or before June 30, 2012, will use the instructions contained in the current SIP Process Guide (Version 7.0, 2009). A link to the SIP Process Guide is included at the following web link: <a href="http://calswec.berkeley.edu/calswec/SIPGuideFormatted072809.pdf">http://calswec.berkeley.edu/calswec/SIPGuideFormatted072809.pdf</a>.

After June 30, 2012, counties will complete the SIP Progress Report. Instructions for the SIP Progress Report are in development and are expected to be issued in the spring of 2012. Any questions regarding counties' transition to the new cycle can be directed to the counties' assigned CSOAB and OCAP consultants.

The department will provide revised process instructions for the CSA, case reviews, SIP, and SIP Progress Reports by July 1, 2012. CDSS looks forward to working with you on our mutual efforts to achieve better outcomes for the children and families served by California's child welfare services programs. If you have any questions, please contact Dave McDowell, Chief, Children's Services Outcomes and Accountability Bureau, at (916) 651-8099.

Sincerely,

#### Original Document Signed By:

ELLIE JONES, MSW, Chief Children's Services Operations and Evaluation Branch

Attachment

c: CWDA CPOC

## Statewide 5-year Calendar of CSA Due Dates

CSA Dates	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
January		El Dorado * Tulare - SIP * Sacramento*	Napa	Orange	San Luis Obispo Shasta	
February		Kern Santa Clara		Modoc	Santa Cruz	Nevada
March		Inyo Glenn Humboldt Santa Barbara Tehama	Madera	San Benito	Yolo	Kings
April			Mariposa	Monterey	Plumas	Los Angeles
May			Mono	San Francisco San Joaquin	Calaveras	
June		Ventura	Trinity	Del Norte Amador	Lake	
July			Marin	Fresno	Butte	Tulare
August		Solano	Yuba	Stanislaus	Contra Costa	
September	Tulare*	San Bernardino	Sonoma	Siskiyou	Sutter	
October		San Mateo	Alameda	Sierra	Merced	San Diego Imperial
November		Riverside	Alpine		Mendocino	El Dorado
December	San Diego* Imperial*	Placer	Tuolumne	Colusa	Lassen	Sacramento

<sup>\*</sup> Transition counties with repeat due dates in 2016

	BOS Approved <b>CSA</b>	BOS Approved 5-YR SIP	SIP <b>Progress</b> <b>Report</b>	SIP Progress Report	SIP Progress Report	SIP Progress Report
Glenn	3/31/2012	8/31/2012	8/31/2013	8/31/2014	8/31/2015	8/31/2016
Humboldt	3/31/2012	8/31/2012	8/31/2013	8/31/2014	8/31/2015	8/31/2016
Inyo	3/1/2012	8/1/2012	8/1/2013	8/1/2014	8/1/2015	8/1/2016
Kern	2/17/2012	7/17/2012	7/17/2013	7/17/2014	7/17/2015	7/17/2016
Placer	12/3/2012	5/3/2013	5/3/2014	5/3/2015	5/3/2016	5/3/2017
Riverside	11/1/2012	4/1/2013	4/1/2014	4/1/2015	4/1/2016	4/1/2017
San Bernardino	9/1/2012	2/1/2013	2/1/2014	2/1/2015	2/1/2016	2/1/2017
San Mateo	10/5/2012	3/5/2013	3/5/2014	3/5/2015	3/5/2016	3/5/2017
Santa Barbara	3/31/2012	8/31/2012	8/31/2013	8/31/2014	8/31/2015	8/31/2016
Santa Clara	2/10/2012	7/10/2012	7/10/2013	7/10/2014	7/10/2015	7/10/2016
Solano	8/16/2012	1/16/2013	1/16/2014	1/16/2015	1/16/2016	1/16/2017
Tehama	3/31/2012	8/31/2012	8/31/2013	8/31/2014	8/31/2015	8/31/2016
Ventura	6/30/2012	11/30/2012	11/30/2013	11/30/2014	11/30/2015	11/30/2016

	3-yr <b>SIP Update</b>	SIP Progress Report	BOS Approved <b>CSA</b>	BOS Approved <b>5</b> - <b>YR SIP</b>	SIP Progress Report	SIP Progress Report	SIP Progress Report
Alameda	3/16/2012	3/16/2013	10/16/2013	3/16/2014	3/16/2015	3/16/2016	3/16/2017
Alpine		4/6/2013	11/6/2013	4/6/2014	4/6/2015	4/6/2016	4/6/2017
Madera		8/18/2012	3/18/2013	8/18/2013	8/18/2014	8/18/2015	8/18/2016
Marin		12/29/2012	7/29/2013	12/29/2013	12/29/2014	12/29/2015	12/29/2016
Mariposa		9/28/2012	4/28/2013	9/28/2013	9/28/2014	9/28/2015	9/28/2016
Mono		10/11/2012	5/11/2013	10/11/2013	10/11/2014	10/11/2015	10/11/2016
Napa	6/4/2012		1/4/2013	6/4/2013	6/4/2014	6/4/2015	6/4/2016
Sonoma		2/14/2013	9/14/2013	2/14/2014	2/14/2015	2/14/2016	2/14/2017
Trinity		11/12/2012	6/12/2013	11/12/2013	11/12/2014	11/12/2015	11/12/2016
Tuolumne		5/12/2013	12/12/2013	5/12/2014	5/12/2015	5/12/2016	5/12/2017
Yuba		1/2/2013	8/1/2013	1/2/2014	1/2/2015	1/2/2016	1/2/2017

	3-yr SIP Update	SIP Progress Report	SIP Progress Report	BOS Approved CSA	BOS Approved 5-YR SIP	SIP Progress Report	SIP Progress Report
Amador	3/17/2012		11/17/2013	6/17/2014	11/17/2014	11/17/2015	11/17/2016
Colusa		5/1/2013	5/1/2014	12/1/2014	5/1/2015	5/1/2016	5/1/2017
Del Norte	1/29/2012		11/19/2013	6/19/2014	11/19/2014	11/19/2015	11/19/2016
Fresno		12/27/2012	12/27/2013	7/25/2014	12/27/2014	12/27/2015	12/27/2016
Modoc		7/10/2012	7/10/2013	2/10/2014	7/10/2014	7/10/2015	7/10/2016
Monterey	1/29/2012		9/9/2013	4/9/2014	9/9/2014	9/9/2015	9/9/2016
Orange	6/5/2012		6/6/2013	1/6/2014	6/6/2014	6/6/2015	6/6/2016
San Benito	1/29/2012		8/14/2013	3/14/2014	8/14/2014	8/14/2015	8/14/2016
San Francisco		10/14/2012	10/14/2013	5/14/2014	10/14/2014	10/14/2015	10/14/2016
San Joaquin	2/26/2012		10/1/2013	5/1/2014	10/1/2014	10/1/2015	10/1/2016
Sierra	3/12/2012	3/30/2013	3/30/2014	10/30/2014	3/30/2015	3/30/2016	3/30/2017
Siskiyou	5/21/2012		2/1/2014	9/1/2014	2/1/2015	2/1/2016	2/1/2017
Stanislaus		1/30/2013	1/30/2014	8/30/2014	1/30/2015	1/30/2016	1/30/2017

	3-yr SIP Update	SIP <b>Progress</b> <b>Report</b>	SIP Progress Report	SIP <b>Progress</b> Report	BOS Approved <b>CSA</b>	BOS Approved 5-YR SIP	SIP <b>Progress</b> <b>Report</b>
Butte	4/16/2012		12/15/2013	12/15/2014	7/15/2015	12/15/2015	12/15/2016
Calaveras	4/30/2012		10/29/2013	10/29/2014	5/29/2015	10/29/2015	10/29/2016
Contra Costa		1/2/2013	1/2/2014	1/2/2015	8/2/2015	1/2/2016	1/2/2017
Lake	5/7/2012		11/7/2013	11/7/2014	6/7/2015	11/7/2015	11/7/2016
Lassen		5/19/2013	5/19/2014	5/19/2015	12/19/2015	5/19/2016	5/19/2017
Mendocino	5/14/2012	4/14/2013	4/14/2014	4/14/2015	11/14/2015	4/14/2016	4/14/2017
Merced	3/26/2012	3/2/2013	3/2/2014	3/2/2015	10/2/2015	3/2/2016	3/2/2017
Plumas	4/22/2012		9/22/2013	9/22/2014	4/22/2015	9/22/2015	9/22/2016
San Luis Obispo			6/10/2013	6/10/2014	1/10/2015	6/10/2015	6/10/2016
Santa Cruz	1/29/2012		7/3/2013	7/3/2014	2/3/2015	7/3/2015	7/3/2016
Shasta			6/12/2013	6/12/2014	1/12/2015	6/12/2015	6/12/2016
Sutter	6/4/2012		2/4/2014	2/4/2015	9/4/2015	2/4/2016	2/4/2017
Yolo	3/26/2012		8/3/2013	8/3/2014	3/3/2015	8/3/2015	8/3/2016

	3-yr <b>SIP Update</b>	SIP <b>Progress</b> <b>Report</b>	SIP <b>Progress</b> Report	SIP Progress Report	BOS Approved <b>CSA</b>	BOS Approved <b>5</b> - <b>YR SIP</b>	SIP <b>Progress</b> Report
Kings	8/1/2012	8/1/2013	8/1/2014	8/1/2015	3/1/2016	8/1/2016	8/1/2017
Los Angeles	6/18/2012	10/15/2013	10/15/2014	10/15/2015	5/15/2016	10/15/2016	10/15/2017
Nevada		7/1/2013	7/1/2014	7/1/2015	2/1/2016	7/1/2016	7/1/2017

2016 CSA Counties and 2012 SIPs									
	BOS Approved SIP (2012)	SIP <b>Progress</b> Report	SIP Progress Report	SIP Progress Report	SIP Progress Report	BOS Approved CSA	BOS Approved 5-YR SIP		
El Dorado	5/20/2012	4/15/2013	4/15/2014	4/15/2015	4/15/2016	11/15/2016	4/15/2017		
Imperial	4/8/2012	3/28/2013	3/28/2014	3/28/2015	3/28/2016	10/28/2016	3/28/2017		
Sacramento	5/30/2012	5/30/2013	5/30/2014	5/30/2015	5/30/2016	12/30/2016	5/30/2017		
San Diego	4/15/2012	3/15/2013	3/15/2014	3/15/2015	3/15/2016	10/15/2016	3/15/2017		
Tulare	1/14/2012	12/15/2012	12/15/2013	12/15/2014	12/15/2015	7/15/2016	12/15/2016		