THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

 ACTION AGENDA SUMMARY

 DEPT:
 Public Works
 #C-1

 Urgent Routine Action Agenda Summary

 CEO Concurs with Recommendation YES
 NO

 (Information Attached)
 4/5 Vote Required YES
 NO

SUBJECT:

Approval to Set a Public Hearing on May 14, 2013 at 9:05 a.m. to Reconsider Introducing and Waiving the First Reading of an Ordinance to Amend Existing Fees for the Department of Public Works Engineering Division

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

Set a Public Hearing on May 14, 2013 at 9:05 a.m. to reconsider introducing and waiving the first reading of an ordinance to amend existing fees for the Department of Public Works Engineering Division.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The proposed fees will enable the Department of Public Works to recover the cost of providing specific services to the general public, development community and other county departments. Based on the current year's activity, the proposed fees are anticipated to generate approximately \$7,000 in additional revenue. Actual revenues, will vary depending on the number of permit applications, plan reviews, and inspections.

	(Continued on Page 2)
BOARD ACTION AS FOLLOWS:	No. 2013-199
On motion of Supervisor <u>Withron</u> and approved by the following v	
	now, Monteith, De Martini and Chairman Chiesa
Noes: Supervisors:	
Excused or Absent: Supervisors	s: None
Abstaining: Supervisor:	None
1) X Approved as recomr	nended
2) Denied	
3) Approved as amend	ed
4) Other:	

MOTION:

ATTEST:

CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

File No. ORD-55-Q-7

FISCAL IMPACT (Continued):

The proposed increase is based on an average rate of \$95 per hour for engineering services and \$90 per hour for survey services, which is the current weighted rate, with overhead, for the personnel performing these tasks. This is the final year of a 5-year plan to bring charges for engineering services in line with the actual cost of providing the service. The original plan projected that the average hourly rate in year five would be \$100, however the actual average rate is \$95, therefore the year five rate is five dollars less than originally proposed.

The increase in revenue resulting from the approval of this amendment to existing fees is expected to offset direct costs related to processing Encroachment Permits, Excavation Permits, Grading Permits, Street Improvement Permits, Construction Inspections, and services provided by the Land Survey Division. This existing fee amendment will also be used by the Planning Department to assist in processing permits associated with grading and land development/land use applications.

DISCUSSION:

A public hearing was held on April 23, 2013 to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance to amend existing fees for the Department of Public Works-Engineering Division. Subsequent to the hearing, on reviewing the posted agenda, the Department realized that two pages of the attached fee schedule (Exhibit A) had inadvertently not been included. Because of this omission the item cannot advance to adoption as it will be incomplete. Therefore, the Department is requesting that the Board set a public hearing to reconsider the introduction and waiving of the first reading of an ordinance to amend existing fees for the Department of Public Works Engineering Division. This will restart the process for the adoption of the fees.

The Public Works Department provides services, as required by law, to the public and the development community. Some of these services encompass construction inspection, plan review, and project review. Public Works charges fees to recoup the costs of providing these inspection and review services. Over time the cost of providing these services has increased, but the fees have not been fully recovered.

Prior to 2009, the previous fee increase was approved in 1991. Public Works realized that it would have been unreasonable to propose to increase fees in one year to cover the deficit between the fees charged and the cost of delivering the services. Therefore, Public Works proposed to increase the fees incrementally over a five-year period. In May 2009 the Board approved year one of an adjustment to the fees to recoup the cost associated with providing Engineering Services such as construction inspection, plan review and project review. Fiscal Year 2012-2013 was the fourth year of implementing the fee adjustments based on a per hour baseline rate of \$90 per hour. Staff is now recommending implementation of the fifth year base line rate of \$95 per hour.

Approval of the 2013-2014 proposed fee increases would complete the fifth year of incremental increases. The incremental adjustments covering the five-year period are shown in the following table:

Proposed Increases Over Five Years										
Year 1 2009-2010	Year 2 2010 - 2011	Year 3 2011 - 2012	Year 4 2012-2013	Year 5 2013-2014						
\$60	\$70	\$80	\$90	\$95						

Public Works proposes to use \$95 per hour as a baseline for setting fees. The proposed fees are based on the average amount of time it normally takes to perform the various inspections. Public Works is submitting for approval the Year 5 rate of \$95 shown in the above table (2013-2014) of the five-year cycle.

FEE REVIEW PROCESS

To determine the appropriateness of existing fees and identify any need for change, Public Works utilizes the following process:

- Ongoing analysis is conducted on an annual basis to determine if revenue from individual fees is sufficient to cover staff time and costs to support each function that has a fee.
- In reviewing the fee structure, Public Works identifies those fees that had not kept pace with both the amount of staff time and/or the cost of staff time to complete the task.
- Public Works' Engineering staff contacts other California counties to obtain information about the fees they charge for Plan Checks, Encroachment Permits, Excavation Permits, Street Improvement Construction Permits, Construction Inspections, and Land Survey services. For the 2013 comparison the following counties were contacted Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, Monterey, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Solano, Sonoma, Tulare, and Ventura.

A spreadsheet (Exhibit B) was developed listing the fees charged by those government entities along with the current Stanislaus County Public Works fees.

- The fees charged by other government entities are averaged and compared with Stanislaus County fees. In addition, the variation in methods for charging the fees is also evaluated. Variations include a flat rate, charging actual time taken to perform the services, and requiring deposits based on a percentage of the engineer's estimated cost to complete the project, and distinguishing between major and minor projects or commercial and residential projects.
- Based on the above analysis and evaluation process for 2013, Public Works has arrived at a proposed change in Construction Review and Inspection fees, Encroachment/Excavation Permit and Inspection fees, Grading Permit and Inspection fees, and Land Survey fees.

PROPOSED FEE CHANGES OF EXISTING FEES

Engineering Fees

Excavation Permit (for any excavation occurring in a County right-of-way): The basic permit fee is proposed to remain at \$20. This fee includes \$5 each for Flood Plain Administrator review and Geographic Information System (GIS) updates as related to the improvements and \$10 for administrative fees. An additional fee for the inspection of the excavation work would change from a \$180 flat fee per 250 or 500 lineal feet of excavation of paved or unpaved area respectively to a \$190 flat fee. The fee is based on the average inspection time of two hours per project with the proposed hourly charge of \$95.

Encroachment Permit: The flat rate fee that has been charged during the last fiscal year for an encroachment permit (for construction that occurs in a County right-of-way) for driveway construction has been \$180. The proposed fee will increase this fee to \$190. Inspection for a concrete driveway takes a minimum of three inspections totaling on average two hours. With an hourly charge of \$95, two hours of inspection time equals \$190.

Construction Permits: Permits for street improvements have been charged at a flat rate fee of \$40 for the basic permit and an additional fee of \$180 per 250 lineal feet for inspection of curb and gutter work, sidewalks, and matching pavement construction, and a flat fee of \$90 for drywell inspections. Using the new baseline fee of \$95 per hour and the average inspection time for the listed services of two hours, the proposed fee is \$190 per 250 lineal feet plus base permit fee of \$40. Drywell inspections would now be \$95. The base permit fee remains unchanged and includes \$5 each for Flood Plain Administrator review and Geographic Information System (GIS) updates as related to the improvements and \$30 for administrative fees. Fees are to be paid in advance of the inspection.

Re-inspections will be increased to \$95 per hour from the current \$90 per hour. For large construction projects, a deposit of 10% of the engineer's estimate is required based on cost of the construction. Inspection time would be charged at the rate of \$95 per hour, the charge being drawn down against the deposit. At the completion of the project, the balance of the deposit would be remitted to the customer. If the deposit is not sufficient to cover all inspection charges, the customer will be billed separately for additional charges. The standard rate per hour for construction inspection is proposed at \$95 per hour.

Grading Permit: This fee is collected by the Department of Planning on Public Works' behalf for services to review and approve grading plans and provide required field inspections for projects requiring a grading permit as determined by the Department of Planning.

No change is proposed for the existing Permit application processing fee of \$30. Field inspections and plan review will be based on actual cost/minimum charge at the

weighted labor rate of \$95 per hour. A deposit will be required based on the risk level as discussed above.

Land Survey Fees

Land Survey fees for Parcel Maps, Subdivision Maps, and Lot Line Adjustments will remain unchanged. Certificate of Compliance, Record of Survey, and Road Abandonment fees are proposed to increase. Certificate of Compliance fees are proposed to increase from a straight \$695 to \$695 plus \$20 per parcel. While the existing fee is sufficient for a single parcel Certificate of Compliance, each additional parcel requires approximately 15 minutes of staff time to process. Record of Survey fees are proposed to increase from \$565 to \$700 for a map of up to four pages with the fee for each additional page being \$100. A review of previous Record of Surveys showed that most are four pages or less and take an average of 7-8 hours to review. The weighted average rate for survey staff is \$90 per hour, or approximately \$700 per Record of Survey. Additional pages require in excess of one hour to review. Road Abandonment fees are proposed to increase from \$1,110 to \$1,620. The processing of a Road Abandonment takes approximately 18 hours, for a proposed fee of \$1,620. The proposed fee increases are based on a two year review of completed like actions. The fee corresponds to the average amount of time required to process the requested action. Land Survey fees were last increased in 2004.

Fee Description	Existing Fee	Proposed Fee
Excavation Permit		
Inspection per 500 ft. of trench in unpaved	\$180	\$190
area		
Inspection per 250 ft. of trench in paved	\$180	\$190
area		
Encroachment permit-Driveway	\$180	\$190
construction		
Construction Permits-Street		
Improvements		
Curb & Gutter per 250 lineal feet	\$180	\$190
Sidewalks per 250 lineal feet	\$180	\$190
Matching Pavement per 250 lineal feet	\$180	\$190
Drywell Inspection	\$ 90	\$ 95
Reinspections (hourly rate)	\$ 90	\$ 95
Construction Inspection (hourly rate)	\$ 90	\$ 95
Improvement Plan Checking (hourly rate)	\$ 90	\$ 95
Land Survey		
Survey Map Processing (per request-up to	\$565	\$700
4 sheets)*		
*Survey Map Processing-additional sheets	\$ 0	\$100
Certificate of Compliance**	\$695	\$695 plus \$20 per
		parcel
Road Abandonment Processing	\$1,110	\$1,620

The proposed fee changes are outlined below and are as shown in Exhibit "A":

POLICY ISSUES:

Authority for the Department of Public Works to charge fees for use of County roads and inspection of constructions, excavations, and installations occurring in Stanislaus County streets is granted in California Street and Highways Code Chapter 5.5, Article 2, Section 1464. Authority for those fees associated with building permits is granted by California Health and Safety Code Section 17950. Authority for fees associated with Record of Surveys is granted by California Business Code Chapter 15, Article 5, Section 8766.5. Authority for fees associated with Certificates of Compliance is granted by Government Code Title 7, Division 2, Chapter 7 Article 2, Section 66499.35. Authority for fees associated with Road Abandonment is granted by Streets and Highways Code Division 9, Part 3, Chapter 3, Section 8321.

This action is consistent with the Board's priorities of A Well-Planned Infrastructure System and the Efficient Delivery of Public Services by ensuring that inspection services can continue to be provided in a timely manner.

STAFFING IMPACT:

The proposed increases will enable Public Works staff to continue to provide services to the general public, development community and other County departments.

CONTACT PERSON:

Matt Machado, Public Works Director. Telephone: 209-525-4130.

DH:lc H:\Diane\2013 Board Items\Public Hearing_Fee Schedule_BOS 4-23-13

ORDINANCE NO. C.S.

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND EXISTING FEES COLLECTED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Fees to be collected by the Department of Public Works are adopted and amended as set forth in the Department of Public Works Fee Schedule attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated by reference, copy of which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and is available for public inspection and copying in that office in accordance with the California Public Records Act.

Section 2. This ordinance shall take effect 30 days from and after the date of its passage and before the expiration of 15 days after its passage it shall be published once, with the members voting for and against the same, in the Modesto Bee, a newspaper published in the County of Stanislaus, State of California.

Upon motion of Supervisor	seconded
by Supervisor	_, the foregoing Ordinance was
passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the	Board of Supervisors of the
County of Stanislaus, State of California, this	day of,
2013, by the following called-vote:	

AYES:	Supervisors:
-------	--------------

NOES: Supervisors:

ABSENT: Supervisors:

Vito Chiesa, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California ATTEST:

CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California

By

Liz King, Deputy Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

JOHN P. DOERING County Counsel

By:

Thomas E. Boze Deputy County Counsel

EXHIBIT "A" 2013 PUBLIC WORKS FEES (EFFECTIVE JULY___, 2013)

Fee Description	Fiscal Year Adopted	Fee	Fee Amount		
Excavation Permits		4			
California Street & Highways 1464; CCR, Division 2	, Chapter 5.5, Artic	le 2	1.1		
Permit (includes \$5 ea. FPA & GIS)	2009	\$	20		
Inspection per 500 ft of trench in unpaved area	2013	\$	190		
Inspection per 250 ft of trench in paved area	2013	\$	190		
Encroachment Permits-Driveway construction	2013	\$	190		
		\$7.30)/sf of		
Utility (trench) cuts*	2011	trench	n area		

*Exceptions: pavements not maintained by County; excavations performed at request of County; excavations in pavements scheduled for resurfacing within 2 years

Construction Permits-Street Improvements	Martin and a	81.1	
California Street & Highways 1464			Sec. S
Permit (includes \$5 ea. FPA & GIS)	2009	\$	40
Curb & Gutter per 250 lineal feet	2013	\$	190
Sidewalks per 250 lineal feet	2013	\$	190
Matching Pavement per 250 lineal feet	2013	\$	190
Drywell Inspection	2013	\$	95
Reinspections (hourly rate)	2013	\$	95
Construction Inspection (hourly rate)*	2013	\$	95
Improvement Plan Checking (hourly rate)*	2013	\$	95
Improvement Plan Checking \$10 one-time charge		\$	10

*Actual inspection time to be charged against a deposit of 10% of Engineer's estimate of total project cost.

Road Use Permit		
California Street & Highways 982; CCR, Division 2, Char	oter 2	- 1 C
Parade Permit	2009	\$ 50
Transportation Permit	CONTRACTOR OF	 1.1.1.1.1.1.1.
Annual	2009	\$ 90
Daily	2009	\$ 16
Land Survey		
Subdivision Map Act 66451.2, Chapter 3, Article 1		
Subdivision Map Processing (per map)	2004	\$ 1,390
Plus additional per lot and block	2004	\$ 10
Parcel Map Processing (per map)	2004	\$ 1,390
Plus additional per parcel	2004	\$ 10
Survey Map Processing (per request-up to 4 sheets)*	2013	\$ 700
Certificate of Compliance Processing (per request)**	2013	\$ 695
Road Abandonment Processing (per request)	2013	\$ 1,620
Lot Line Adjustment Processing		
A2 Zoning District (per lot line application)	2004	\$ 695
All Other Zoning Districts (per lot line application)	2004	\$ 210

*Plus \$100 a sheet if more than 4 sheets **Plus \$20 per parcel

EXHIBIT "A" (Continued) 2013 PUBLIC WORKS FEES (EFFECTIVE July___, 2013) Fees Collected by Planning

Fee Description	Fiscal Year Adopted	Actual Cost	Fee Amount		
Adult Business Permit	2010		\$	70	
Ag Grievances *(1)	2010	Actual Cost/Minimum charge deposit	\$	210	
Community Plan Amendment	2010	Actual Cost/Minimum charge deposit	\$	340	
Condition of Approval or Development Standard Modification*(2)	2010		\$	450	
Development Agreement*(1)	2010	Actual Cost/Minimum charge deposit	\$	210	
Grading Permit - Application Processing Fee	2010			\$30	
Grading Permit - Plan check	2013	Actual Cost/Per hour		\$95	
Grading Permit - Field inspection	2013	Actual Cost/Per hour		\$95	
Grading Permit - NPDES Risk Level 1 *(3)	2012	Actual cost-per hour/Deposit required		\$450	
Grading Permit - NPDES Risk Level 2 *(3)	2012	Actual cost-per hour/Deposit required		\$675	
Grading Permit - NPDES Risk Level 3 *(3)	2012	Actual cost-per hour/Deposit required		\$855	
General Plan Amendment *(1)	2010	Actual Cost/Minimum charge deposit	\$	210	
Historical Site Review (Staff Approval)	2010		\$	70	
Historical Site Permit (@site /Planning Commission)	2010		\$	210	
Mine Use Permit/Reclamation Plan (RP)/RP Amendment *(1)	2010	Actual Cost/Minimum charge deposit	\$	255	
Parcel Maps (R, C, M, LI, IBP, PD, PI Zones) *(2)	2012		\$	450	
Parcel Maps (A-2 Zone non-Williamson Act and < 4 parcels + remainder) *(2)	2012		\$	450	
Parcel Maps (A-2 Zone with Williamson Act or > 4 parcels + remainder *(2)	2012		\$	450	
Recirculation of Mitigated Negative Declaration *(1)	2010	Actual Cost/Minimum charge deposit	\$	210	
Rezone *(1)	2010	Actual Cost/Minimum charge deposit	\$	210	
Specific Plans *(1)	2010	Actual Cost/Minimum charge deposit	\$	340	
Staff Approval Permit-with referral	2010			\$70	
Street Name Change	2010		\$	125	
Subdivision Ordinance Exception	2010		\$	140	

Page 2 of 3

Tentative Subdivision Map	2010	Actual Cost/Minimum charge deposit	\$ 550
Use Permit-Agricultural-All Tiers *(2)	2012		\$ 450
Use Permit - Non-agricultural zones *(2)	2012		\$ 450
Use Permit-Required Board of Supervisors Approval *(2)	2012		\$ 450
Zoning Ordinance Variance	2010		\$ 160
Williamson Act Contract	2010		\$ 70
Zoning Ordinance Amendment	2010	Actual Cost/Minimum charge deposit	\$ 210

(1) Fees described above that require a deposit and are charged at "actual cost" have a minimum charge associated with them. The deposit required is the minimum charge and is non-refundable. This DOES NOT include any necessary consultant costs for environmental review, specialized studies, financial consulting or any other expert consulting services potentially needed by the County for processing these applications. Monthly draws against the deposit will be made based on staff time and materials needed to process the applications. Staff costs and expenses will be billed at fully burdened weighted labor rates at the time of services rendered.

All additional staff time and expenses needed to complete the application processing that exceed the deposit amount will be charged at actual cost, including labor charged at the weighted labor rate. Invoices will be calculated on a quarterly basis and forwarded to the applicant for balance of payment. If the deposit reaches 20% of the initial deposit or less, the Applicant will be asked to make a subsequent deposit in an amount dependent upon the amount of work left to complete on processing. Applicants will be expected to pay the subsequent deposit within 30 days of invoice date. In the event that the account is not paid within 30 days of the invoice date, processing will be suspended until such time that payment is made. Any remainder will be used to reconcile the final bill. If there is a balance remaining after reconciling the final bill, a refund check will be mailed to applicant. Public hearings will not be scheduled until payment in full is received.

*(2) Department of Public Works charges for Condition of Approval or Development Standards Modifications, Parcel Maps, and Use Permits reflect a minimum charge of 5 hours at a weighted labor rate of \$90 per hour. Additional time required for these requests will be charged at the same rate.

*(3) Fees described above that require a deposit and are charged at "actual cost". Staff costs and expenses will be billed at fully burdened weighted labor rates at the time of services rendered. Any deposit balance remaining at the completion of the project will be reimbursed to the applicant.

* Fees may be refunded if the Planning Director, or his appointed designee, determines extraordinary circumstance warranting a refund exist.

EXHIBIT "B"

January 2013

FEE COMPARISON WITH OTHER COUNTIES

Excavation Permits:	Stanislaus Proposed	Fresno	Kern	Kings	Madera	Merced	Monterey	Sacramento	San Joaquin	Solano	Sonoma	Tulare	Ventura
		Progressive			1.	Progressive							1
Permit	NC at 20	Scale	0	At Cost	186	Scale	0	360	300	410 - 610	371	0	130
Inspection Fee per 500 LF of trench in					The second second	Progressive	200			Included in		and a second	111111
unpaved areas	190	125 + 0.21 LF	0	At Cost	166 + 0.10LF	Scale	120 + Per Ft	134 Hr	113 + Per Ft	Permit	941 1st 100LF	0	At Cost
Inspection Fee per 250 LF of trench in						Progressive				Included in			
paved areas	190	125 + 0.77 LF	0	At Cost	166 + 0.20 LF	Scale	120 + Per Ft	134 Hr	113 + Per Ft	Permit	941 1st 100LF	0	At Cost

Encroachment Permits:	Stanislaus	Fresno	Kern	Kings	Madera	Merced	Monterey	Sacramento	San Joaquin	Solano	Sonoma	Tulare	Ventura
Residential/Commercial Driveway Permit	190	640	0	At Cost	186	\$102	120/200	103	235	410/610	589	0	220/365

Street Improvement Permits	Stanislaus	Fresno	Kern	Kings	Madera	Merced	Monterey	Sacramento	San Joaquin	Solano	Sonoma	Tulare	Ventura
		Included In				Progressive							
Permit	NC at 40	Footage	0	At Cost	21 - 165	Scale	435	0		410 - 610	371	0	130
	1	Progressive			Included In	Progressive	Included In		Progressive	Included in			
Improvement Plan Checking (hourly rate)	95	Scale	0	At Cost	Permit Fee	Scale	Permit Fee	125	Scale	Permit	Minimum 650	0	195
						Progressive				Included in			
Curb and Gutter- for 250 LF	190	275 + 0.77 LF	0	At Cost	166 + 0.20 LF	Scale	120 + 1.00 LF	134 Hr	113+LF Scale	Permit	941 1st 100LF	0	195 1st 100LF
						Progressive				Included in			
Sidewalks - for each 250 LF	190	10 + 0.11 SqFt	0	At Cost	166 + 0.20 LF	Scale	120 + 0.50 LF	134 Hr	113+LF Scale	Permit	941 1st 100LF	0	195 1st 100LF
Drywell Inspection	95	Not permitted	Not permitted	Not permitted	Not permitted	Not permitted	Not permitted	Not permitted	Not permitted	Not permitted	Not permitted	Not permitted	Not permitted
									Progressive				
Re-inspections (hourly rate)	95	\$70	0	At Cost	89	77	70	134	Scale	60	118	0	80
									Progressive				
Construction Inspection (hourly rate)	95	70	0	At Cost	89	77	70	134	Scale	60	At Cost	0	80

Grading Permits:	Stanislaus	Fresno	Kern	Kings	Madera	Merced	Monterey	Sacramento	San Joaquin	Solano	Sonoma	Tulare	Ventura
		Progressive		State States	Progressive				1.11.2.5.1				
Grading Permit-Application Process Fee	NC at 30	Scale	23	0	Scale	0	147	At Cost	38	100	0	441	At Cos
		Progressive	Progressive		Progressive				Progressive		Progressive	Progressive	
Grading Permit - Plan Check	95	Scale	Scale	0	Scale	0	218	At Cost	Scale	100	Scale	Scale	At Cos
			Progressive		Progressive				Progressive		Progressive	Progressive	
Grading Permit - Field Inspection	95	Minimum 141	Scale	0	Scale	0	192	At Cost	Scale	710 - 1880	Scale	Scale	At Co:

Land Survey Services:	Stanislaus	Fresno	Kern	Kings	Madera	Merced	Monterey	Sacramento	San Joaquin	Solano	Sonoma	Tulare	Ventura
	700 (4sheets)								and the second		14.4.3.1/5.25		
Record of Survey	+ 100 sheet	543 (2 sheets)	400 1st Sheet	290	302	437	435	134 Hr	Minimum 325	790 1st Sheet	626	536	390
	695 + 20	1286 +						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Carry Remaining			
Certificate of Compliance	Parcel	25 per parcel	Minimum 100	200 - 790	350	314	Minimum 1614	134 Hr	Minimum 650	1490	2666	Minimum 754	Minimum 500
Road Abandonment	1620	1559 -2720	Minimum 100	390 -1580	1096	500 - 800	2714	1500	Minimum 550	2780	Minimum 4617	1148	1000

Abbreviations and Conventions of Report:

Hr = Hourly

LF = Linear Feet

SqFt = Square foot

Minimum (followed by a dollar amount) = minimum cost, once exceeded billed at time & material; or higher subcategories may apply.

NC = No Change

Progressive Scale = per project value scale, or cubic yards, or major vs minor, or commercial vs residential.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on May 14, 2013, at the hour of 9:05 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, California, to consider the Re-Introduction and Waiver of the First Reading of an Ordinance to Amend Existing Fees for the Department of Public Works.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that the proposed Ordinance and Fee Schedule will be available for review on May 3, 2013 in the Clerk of the Board Office, 1010 10th Street, Suite 6700, Modesto, CA.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the above time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. Material submitted to the Board for consideration (i.e. photos, petitions, etc.) will be retained by the County. If a challenge to the above application is made in court, persons may be limited to raising only those issues they or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors. For further information, contact Diane Haugh in the Public Works Department at (209) 525-4100 or at 1716 Morgan Road, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- DATED: April 30, 2013
- ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California.

BY:

DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION (C.C.P. \$2015.5)

COUNTY OF STANISLAUS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident Of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of Eighteen years, and not a party to or interested In the above entitle matter. I am a printer and Principal clerk of the publisher of **THE MODESTO BEE**, printed in the City of **MODESTO**, County of **STANISLAUS**, State of California, daily, for which said newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of **STANISLAUS**, State of California, Under the date of **February 25**, **1951**, **Action No. 46453**; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each issue there of on the following dates, to wit: NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on May 14, 2013, at the hour of 9:05 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, California, to consider the Re-Introduction and Waiver of the First Reading of an Ordinance to Amend Existing Fees for the Department of Public Works.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that the proposed Ordinance and Fee Schedule will be available for review on May 3, 2013 in the Clerk of the Board Office, 1010 10th Street, Suite 6700, Modesto, CA.

CA. NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the above time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. Material submitted to the Board for consideration (i.e. photos, petitions, etc.) will be retained by the County. If a challenge to the above application is made in court, persons may be limited to raising only those issues they or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of 5upervisors. For further information, contact Diane Haugh in the Public Works Department at (209) 525-4100 or at 1716 Morgan Road, Modesto, CA. BY OR-DER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVI-SORS. DATED: April 30, 2013, ATTEST: Christine Ferraro Tallman, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California. BY: Elizabeth A. King, Asst Clerk Pub Dates May 3, 9, 2013

May 03, 2013, May 09, 2013

I certify (or declare) under penalty of periury That the foregoing is true and correct and that This declaration was executed at

MODESTO, California on

May 9th, 2013

(By Electronic Facsimile Signature)

naire Dieckeman