

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS
ACTION AGENDA SUMMARY

DEPT: Chief Executive Office

BOARD AGENDA # 6:35 p.m.

Urgent

Routine

AGENDA DATE August 16, 2011

CEO Concurs with Recommendation YES NO

4/5 Vote Required YES NO

(Information Attached)

SUBJECT:

Public Hearing Regarding Discussion of the Supervisorial Redistricting Boundaries

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. Approve and authorize the Board to conduct a 6:35 p.m. public hearing on August 16, 2011, to receive input and comment regarding supervisorial redistricting.
2. Approve and authorize the Board to set a public hearing for August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m. for the introduction, waiving of the first reading, and approving an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the Supervisorial districts in accordance with the adopted redistricting plan.

FISCAL IMPACT:

To implement this project, a budget in the amount of \$100,000 was established. All factors indicate that this project will come in below budget. The project budget is as follows:

Public Works Staff	\$20,000
Materials and Supplies	\$12,500
Advertising	\$17,500
Consulting Services	\$40,000
Project Contingencies	\$10,000
Total	\$100,000

BOARD ACTION AS FOLLOWS:

No. 2011-480

On motion of Supervisor _____ Withrow _____, Seconded by Supervisor _____ Chiesa _____

and approved by the following vote,

Ayes: Supervisors: O'Brien, Chiesa, Withrow, DeMartini, and Chairman Monteith

Noes: Supervisors: None

Excused or Absent: Supervisors: None

Abstaining: Supervisor: None

1) Approved as recommended

2) _____ Denied

3) _____ Approved as amended

4) _____ Other:

MOTION:



ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

File No. ORD-55-O-3

DISCUSSION:

On April 26, 2011, the Board of Supervisors approved the formation of a Redistricting Steering Committee, a Redistricting Project Committee, and an Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee to act in an advisory capacity and provide recommendations on supervisorial redistricting to the Board of Supervisors.

State and federal law requires the Board of Supervisors to adjust their supervisorial district boundaries the year following each 10-year Federal census. Each district is required by law to be as nearly equal in population as may be. The County is required to do an analysis of the existing supervisorial districts and adjust the boundaries, using certain criteria, to obtain the desired population balance. The most recent Federal census data is the vehicle used to determine and check the balance of population in each district

The report included with this item is jointly submitted by the Redistricting Steering Committee and the Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee.

The purpose of this Public Hearing is to receive comments and input from the public on the proposed redistricting options. On August 23, 2011, the final public hearing will be held by the Board to introduce and waive the first reading of the redistricting ordinance. On August 30, 2011, the Board will consider a consent item to waive the second reading and adopt the ordinance.

POLICY ISSUES:

Pursuant to State law, the Board is required to adjust the supervisorial boundaries by November 1 of the year following the decennial census.

Approval of this item supports the Board's priorities of Effective Partnerships and Efficient Delivery of Public Services by providing fair representation for all residents of the County, and ensuring maximum public participation in the 2011 Supervisorial Redistricting process.

STAFFING IMPACT:

Staff of the Chief Executive Office, County Counsel, Public Works, Clerk Recorder/Registrar of Voters, Assessor, Planning and Community Development, and Strategic Business Technology departments will support the efforts of the 2011 Supervisorial Redistricting process.

CONTACT PERSON:

Richard Robinson, Chief Executive Officer. Telephone (209) 525-4305.

**Supervisory Redistricting Project
Report to the Board of Supervisors
Submitted jointly by the Redistricting Steering Committee and
the Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee
August 16, 2011**

I. Introduction

On April 26, 2011, the Board of Supervisors approved a Redistricting Project Charter which contained a number of elements necessary to conduct an appropriate, legal and successful supervisory redistricting project. Two committees and a project team were formed, public meetings were held and two proposed map options were recommended to be submitted to the Board of Supervisors for consideration of approval.

This joint committee report dated August 16, 2011, will include: background and process information on the supervisory redistricting project; a summary of redistricting legal requirements; comments on 2010 United States Census population changes; summary of notice to the public on redistricting public meetings; a summary of public meetings and comments; and a review of comments by redistricting staff on the proposed supervisory redistricting boundary options presented to the Board today. The Board is presented with two recommended options from the Redistricting Steering Committee and Ad Hoc Citizen Advisory Committee members. The options are called "Option 1" and "Option 2." The Committees are also presenting three rejected options called "Option A - rejected," "Option B - rejected," and "Option C - rejected."

The Board will conduct a public hearing following the staff presentation on August 16, 2011, and receive comments and input from the public on the proposed redistricting options. On August 23, 2011, the final public hearing will be held by the Board to introduce and waive the first reading of the redistricting ordinance. On August 30, 2011, the Board will address a consent item to adopt and waive the second reading of the ordinance.

II. Background and Process

Federal and State law requires that after each Decennial Federal Census, the Board of Supervisors must determine, using the United States Census data as a basis, whether to adjust supervisory district boundaries. The districts shall be as nearly equal in population as may be when all code requirements are applied. The County is required to analyze existing supervisor districts and adjust the boundaries, using certain criteria, to obtain balanced population.

In January 2011, Stanislaus County began the process of planning for the supervisory redistricting process. The Chief Executive Officer assembled a core team of staff to examine what would be needed to successfully implement and complete the redistricting

process. Staff met on a periodic basis to brainstorm and develop key project tasks that would eventually become the Redistricting Project Charter.

On April 5, 2011, the Board of Supervisors authorized the formation of an Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee to act in an advisory capacity and provide recommendations on supervisorial redistricting to the Board of Supervisors. Formation of the citizen committee was to provide fair and effective representation for all residents of the County, and ensure maximum public participation in the 2011 Supervisorial Redistricting process. The Committee would consist of 11 registered voters of the county: one member representing each District chosen by the respective Board Member (five members); one member representing each District selected at random (five members); of the remaining qualified applicants, one member to be selected at random. Appropriate public legal posting was made to solicit applications for the Committee and the application period extended from April 6, 2011, through April 20, 2011.

On April 26, 2011, the Board of Supervisors formally initiated the supervisorial redistricting process by adopting the Redistricting Project Charter, Forming a Redistricting Steering Committee, Forming a Project Team, Selection of the Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee, and authorizing the Chief Executive Officer to enter into an agreement with Q2 Data and Research, LLC, to provide professional consulting services for the County's Supervisorial Redistricting Efforts.

On May 3, 2011, the Board of Supervisors gave formal approval of the Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee members.

Redistricting Steering Committee members consisted of Chief Executive Officer Richard Robinson, County Counsel John Doering, Public Works Director Matt Machado, County Clerk Recorder/Registrar of Voters Lee Lundrigan, County Assessor Dave Cogdill, Planning and Community Development Director Kirk Ford, Director of Strategic Business Technology Marcia Cunningham, Associate Management Consultant Sandy Regalo, Associate Management Consultant Mark Loeser and Senior Management Consultant David Jones. Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee Members consisted of: District One representatives Cynthia Thomlison and Reg Blair Smith; District Two representatives Dorinda Soiseth and Sharon Silva; District Three representatives Maggie Mejia and John Erwin III; District Four representatives Louis Friedman and Luis Enrique Bustamante; District Five representatives Kenny Buehner and William J. Parks; and, one at-large member Donald Ulrich, who resides in District Two. Project Team members consisted of Dean Wright from the County Counsel's Office, Patrick Cavanah and Aaron Rosa from the Clerk Recorder/Registrar of Voters' Office, Diane Haugh, Peou Khiek and Aron Harris from the Public Works Department, Juan Gonzalez and Diane Rodrigues from Planning and Community Development, Don Oppman from the Assessor's Office, Debbie Siebrecht from Strategic Business Technology, and Sandy Regalo, Mark Loeser and David Jones from the Chief Executive Office.

A meeting calendar for the Redistricting Steering Committee, Ad Hoc Citizen Advisory Committee and Project Team was created. There were combined and individual committee meetings during the five-month time period of the project. A four-hour redistricting orientation conducted by Q2 Data and Research was jointly held for all Redistricting Steering

Committee, Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Committee and Project Team members on May 12, 2011. The orientation covered the legal and practical aspects of redistricting from the Federal and State levels, introduced criteria to be used for the redistricting mapping process, covered 2010 United States Census population statistics for Stanislaus County and introduced census terms such as blocks and tracts.

Redistricting Steering Committee and Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee members attended a total of nine working meetings and seven public meetings throughout the process leading up to the Board of Supervisors public hearing on August 16, 2011. Sharon Silva was elected Chairperson of the Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee and Louis Friedman was elected Vice Chairperson. During the months of May and June, Redistricting Steering Committee and Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee meetings were held separately, although each committee was invited to attend the other's meetings. Originally, the Redistricting Steering Committee was tasked to develop draft maps and the Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee was permitted to draw up to two (2) maps if they did not concur with the Steering Committee maps. Following the June 2011 public meetings, the two committees decided to meet jointly and collaboratively to work on the district line drawing process to create draft maps. This joint process continued through each of the meetings in July and August and the maps were worked on together by the two committees.

Six public meetings (see section V.) were held during June 2011 to receive comment on communities of interest (COIs) and to take other redistricting comments that would be of assistance to committee members in developing draft maps for redistricting options. After the final June public meeting, the Redistricting Steering Committee and Ad Hoc Citizen Advisory Committee met on July 7 and again on July 11 and completed two draft map options called "Option 1" and "Option 2", which adjusted supervisorial district lines. The Committees also considered and rejected "Option A - rejected" and "Option B - rejected." A media briefing was conducted on July 14, 2011, and "Option 1" and "Option 2" draft maps were made public at 3 p.m. that same day on the County's website, www.stancounty.com/redistricting. Another public meeting was held on July 20, 2011, to solicit public comment on the draft maps "Option 1" and "Option 2." The Committees again met jointly on July 21, 2011, to reconsider "Option 1" and "Option 2" and to address any other changes based on public comment. At this July 21, 2011, meeting, the committee members decided to retain "Option 1" and "Option 2" without changes. At this meeting the committee members also worked on and considered an additional option that would eventually be rejected and labeled "Option C - rejected."

The final recommended redistricting map options called "Option 1" and "Option 2" for consideration of the Board of Supervisors were publicly released on August 1, 2011, at the County's website. Legal public notice for the August 16, 2011, public hearing at the Board of Supervisors meeting was published per requirements.

III. Summary of the Redistricting Legal Requirements

The United States Constitution, Federal law and California Elections Code Section 21500 set forth criteria and guidance for the County supervisorial redistricting process. Section 21500 of the California Elections Code states the Board of Supervisors has the

responsibility "following each decennial federal census, and using that census as a basis, to adjust the boundaries of any or all of the supervisorial districts of the county so that the districts shall be as nearly equal in population as may be." It continues to state the districts "shall comply with the applicable provisions of Section 1973 of Title 42 of the United States Code, as amended." Staff's goal was to present redistricting options that were within a 2% plus/minus deviation from the target population number of 102,890 per district in Stanislaus County. This compares to the 2000 County redistricting target population number of 5% plus/minus deviation.

Section 21501 also states the "boundaries of the supervisorial districts shall be adjusted by the board before the first day of November of the year following the year in which each decennial federal census is taken." When the Board takes action at its August 30, 2011, meeting to adopt and waive the second reading of the ordinance, this requirement will be met.

An initial Voting Rights Act (VRA) assessment for Federal VRA Section 2 compliance was performed by County staff and, independently, Q2 Data and Research. This Section requires that, when specific population thresholds are met, district boundaries be drawn in such a way that the result is the creation of a supervisorial district containing a majority voting age population of an ethnic minority. For Stanislaus County, staff and consultants attempted to draw a Latino majority district of 65% Latino Voting Age Population (LVAP) and were not successful. Based on the analyses conducted using current census information, the County is not able to create a supervisorial district that meets the majority/minority requirements.

The Clerk Recorder/Registrar of Voters has indicated the successful adoption of a County redistricting ordinance by August 30, 2011 will allow the County to comply with all elections deadlines for 2012. State Elections Code Section 21506 requires "A change in the boundaries of a supervisorial district shall not be made within 45 days before the first day for circulating nomination papers for an election of supervisors in the county or between the direct primary election and the general election."

Section 21500 also gives specific criteria to be used in establishing the boundaries of supervisorial districts. The criteria include: topography; geography; cohesiveness; contiguity; integrity; compactness of territory; and communities of interest. All of these criteria were considered during the line drawing process. The seven public meetings conducted during the process helped to identify and clarify many communities of interest that were considered in the line drawing process.

IV. Comments on 2010 United States Census Population Changes

County staff used 2010 United States Census data for the redistricting process. Stanislaus County population in 2010 was 514,453. This is an increase of 15.1%, up from 446,998 in the 2000 census. The primary increase in growth took place in District One, District Two and District Five. Of note, persons of Hispanic or Latino origin were listed as 41.9% in Stanislaus County in the 2010 census, up from 31.7% in the 2000 census.

	2010 Census Population	Target Population	Population over/under	Percentage over/under
District One	113,756	102,891	+ 10,865	+ 9.55%
District Two	110,722	102,891	+ 7,831	+ 7.07%
District Three	91,713	102,891	- 11,178	- 12.19%
District Four	91,922	102,891	- 10,969	- 11.93%
District Five	106,340	102,891	+ 3,449	+ 3.24%

The district population target number for the redistricting project is 102,891.

V. Summary of Notice to Public on Redistricting Public Meetings

News releases were sent to all newspapers in Stanislaus County regarding the public meetings on June 13, 14, 15, 28, 29, 30 and July 20. Meeting announcements appeared on the County website. Promotional emails were sent to cities, chambers of commerce, municipal advisory councils, nonprofit organizations, organized labor, faith-based organizations, community advocacy groups and other organizations. Postings were made at Stanislaus County library branches. A half-hour television show on Stanislaus County redistricting ran on KBSV Assyria Vision 23. Twenty-one print ads were placed in the Modesto Bee in English and Spanish. Ads in English and Spanish were also placed in Vida en el Valle, Turlock Journal, Patterson Irrigator, Westside Index, Ceres Courier, Riverbank News and the Oakdale Leader newspapers. The electronic sign board at Five Points in Modesto was also used to promote public meetings. Special presentations on the redistricting project were made at meetings of the Hispanic Leadership Council, Latino Community Roundtable, Modesto Chamber of Commerce Government Relations Committee and the Patterson Rotary.

A media briefing was conducted on July 14 to review the draft map options being released to the public. Attendees at the briefing included representatives from The Modesto Bee, Patterson Irrigator, Turlock Journal and Ceres Courier. Articles ran in each of these papers highlighting the proposed maps, the process for submitting public comment and also the upcoming July 20 public meeting.

VI. Summary of Public Meetings and Comments

The Committees held seven public meetings prior to the August 16 public hearing of the Board of Supervisors. At the beginning of each meeting was an educational tutorial on the redistricting process. The intent of the public meetings during the month of June was to acquire relevant information in regard to communities of interest from members of the public. The public meeting on July 20 was to receive additional community of interest public comment or other comments on the draft maps that were released on July 14.

Public meetings in June were held in each of the five supervisorial districts, (Turlock, Riverbank, Patterson, Modesto and Salida) with one additional meeting in Modesto. The July 20 public meeting took place in downtown Modesto. There were a total of 59 public attendees and 26 comments during the public meetings. There were an additional three (3) comments received via email in regard to the redistricting project. Spanish language translation was available for public comment at each of the meetings and materials were made available in English and Spanish at the meetings.

Members of the Redistricting Steering Committee, Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee and the Project Team attended the public meetings. County staff typed notes electronically on speakers' comments and these were electronically attached to any written forms completed by attendees. The comments and forms were assigned tracking numbers, were scanned electronically and archived within the County GIS system. Any geographic boundaries for communities of interest identified by attendees were built into the County's GIS system for retention as well.

Summaries of meetings:

June 13 - Turlock City Council Chambers. The public meeting was scheduled from 6 p.m. - 8 p.m. and there were 15 attendees from the public with three (3) individuals providing public comment. The comments included the request to keep school districts together including Chatom, Keyes, Turlock and College districts. Turlock, Denair, Hilmar and Ceres were requested to be retained in a single district as they share common interests of residential as well as work and school ties.

June 14 - Riverbank Scout Hall. The public meeting was scheduled from 6 p.m. - 8 p.m. and there were five (5) attendees from the public with two (2) individuals providing public comment. The comments included the request to keep the cities of Riverbank and Oakdale in one district as they share similar interests such as a possible police services contract. One individual expressed that parts of Modesto could be removed from District One.

June 15 - Patterson City Council Chambers. The public meeting was scheduled from 6 p.m. - 8 p.m. and there were eight (8) attendees from the public with four (4) individuals providing public comment. There were several people who indicated Patterson, Newman, Westley and Grayson should be kept together in the same district as the West Side. One individual spoke in regard to Keyes and stated Keyes should be kept with District 2 and not split as it is a community of interest. Keyes has a school district and a community services district and a municipal advisory council. It was expressed that the West Side was a community of interest that extended from the San Joaquin River west to the western-most edge of the County line. The West Side shares school districts, zip codes, fire and sheriff coverage, agriculture, health providers, a book club and garden club, and Bay Area and San Jose commuters. County West Side residents identify with the agricultural heritage. The West Side is a cohesive group of residents.

June 28 - Modesto Senior Center. The public meeting was scheduled from 6 p.m. - 8 p.m. and there were six (6) attendees from the public with five (5) individuals providing

public comment. One speaker noted the Crows Landing area is becoming a vibrant social and economic area, but that because of the prevalence of gang activity, residents are mutually interested in crime prevention. The Red Shield in west Modesto was identified as an important community influence. One speaker addressed the west side of the County stating that youth and senior activities as well as sewer and lighting make it a community of interest. The West Side of Stanislaus County was defined as west of the San Joaquin River. One speaker expressed east Modesto is different from Riverbank/Oakdale and possibly should not be in the same district. Also, there was discussion of development north of the Dry Creek area as a community of interest.

June 29 - King Kennedy Memorial Center in Modesto. The public meeting was scheduled from 6 p.m. - 8 p.m. and there were 12 attendees from the public with eight (8) individuals providing public comment. Several comments addressed that while the Tuolumne River separated west and south Modesto, this was no longer perceived as a major barrier or a boundary to movement. There was a blending of these areas occurring and people travel back and forth between the two areas for different services. The areas shared commonalities including safety issues, education and cultural backgrounds. One speaker shared that north Ceres doesn't share the same commonalities as the central and southern parts of Ceres with west and south Modesto. Two people expressed all of Ceres should be included in one district. The Red Shield Center, the Crows Landing Road of Stanislaus County Comerciantes Unidos, South Modesto Municipal Advisory Council, the Bridge Community Center and Modesto Community Development Corporation were all identified as communities of interest. West Modesto is a diverse ethnic community, yet it is a community of interest working on issues such as crime, social services, health initiatives and partnerships with County agencies. It was expressed the Red Shield and Fairview Elementary School help to keep the community together and bring ethnic groups together.

June 30 - Salida Fire Department Station #1. The public meeting was scheduled from 6 p.m. - 8 p.m. and there were four (4) attendees from the public with one (1) individual providing public comment. The speaker expressed Ceres should be covered in one district only. There is a new project on the west side of Ceres and the new Central Valley High needs to be taken into consideration when drawing district lines. The speaker stated the sphere of influence for Ceres needs to be considered as well.

July 20 - Martin Petersen Event Center in Modesto. The public meeting was scheduled from 6 p.m. - 8 p.m. and there were nine (9) attendees from the public with three (3) individuals providing public comment. The Mayor of Ceres identified Option 1 as his preferred option. He stated this option kept most of Ceres in one district. He was also concerned as Option 2 removes a very active political group of citizens in the northern portion of Ceres and moves them into District Four. Another speaker indicated Option 2 was preferred as it was the least "disenfranchising" of the two options. This speaker expressed the Tuolumne River should continue as a boundary for West Modesto and South Modesto and that it is a community barrier that shouldn't be crossed. This speaker also expressed Option 1 looks imbalanced by moving District Five into the Empire area. A third speaker indicated that market research for the retail grocery industry established

the Tuolumne River was not a barrier for people traveling from West Modesto into South Modesto. This speaker felt the Beard industrial tract has no relation to District Five and more of a relationship to Modesto and he also supported approval of Option 2.

Other written comments from email:

Comment 1 - Hughson, Denair and Turlock are a community of interest. Shopping, education, healthcare, agriculture, religious services, sports are all common factors. Also, Denair and Turlock share utilities such as waste water treatment and electricity. Denair MAC is a community of interest.

Comment 2 - East Modesto currently in District One has more of a connection to District Four as they are three miles from downtown Modesto and share the same challenges as these voters. There is more connection in this east Modesto area to the urbanized area of District Four.

Comment 3 - The writer of this comment preferred draft map Option One.

VII. Proposed Supervisorial Redistricting Boundary Options.

Comments from public meetings and email were reviewed by the Redistricting Steering Committee, the Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee and project team. Communities of interest were clearly documented when possible and comments were given serious consideration and discussion during the line drawing process. Comments were considered in their relationship to redistricting law and other guidance. Comments were also evaluated relative to other comments received on a related community of interest.

All line drawing for the redistricting project was performed by County staff members using the County's Geographic Information System (GIS) system. Staff used the Districting for ArcGIS software to perform the line drawing. To the knowledge of the Chief Executive's Office staff, Stanislaus County is one of the few, if only, counties in the state that have performed all line drawing for redistricting using internal staff and not paid consultants.

The Redistricting Steering Committee and the Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee worked together on the line drawing process for options. The groups jointly are submitting two options they are recommending to the Board of Supervisors for consideration. The groups jointly rejected three additional options based on evaluation of all criteria.

The following options are being presented after extensive evaluation:

Option 1- recommended

Option 1 reflects changes needed to accommodate significant population shifts between districts.

Summary of Redistricting Factors:

In this option, there are changes to boundaries of all five (5) districts. The option takes into account redistricting criteria of population balancing, topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, compactness of territory, and community of interests of the districts. Rivers are still used, as reasonable, to assist in defining district boundaries. Highway 99 is used as a district boundary in a portion of the central Modesto area. Major roads such as Sylvan Avenue, Tully Road, Coffee Road and Crows Landing Road are used as boundaries where reasonable. In this option, the Chatom School District is no longer split and is unified in District Two per public comment. Also, school district boundary clean up took place on the boundary of Districts One and Four to the north, creating a clean border with Sylvan Union School District and Stanislaus Union School District from Stanislaus River south to Claribel Road. The West Modesto/King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative, the Modesto Community Development Corporation and The Bridge are unsplit and reside in a single district per public comment. The South Modesto Municipal Advisory Council is unsplit within a single district per public comment. In Option 1, the territory of District Three moves south across the Tuolumne River reflecting the public comments of the river no longer being a significant barrier and that people freely move back and forth between south Modesto and west Modesto to access services. Riverbank and Oakdale remain in one district per public comment. Turlock, Denair and Keyes remain in one district per public comment. The City of Ceres is contained in one district per public comment. Newman, Patterson, Westley and Grayson remain in one district per public comment.

District Details:

The District One northeast border shifts west to accommodate the Sylvan School District/Stanislaus School District boundary. The western border has changes to accommodate population balancing. The area from Coffee Road and Sylvan Avenue, to Oakdale Road and Claratina Avenue moves into District Four. Also, part of the area south of Floyd Avenue and east of Oakdale Road moves into District One for population balancing. The area south of Dry Creek from El Vista on the west, eastward on Lapham Drive and then north on Lincoln Avenue, moves into District Four from District One. The Empire MAC and the Beard Industrial Tract area moves from District One into District Five.

The District Two western boundary moves to the San Joaquin River bringing all of Chatom School District into District Two. Ceres population is removed from District Two in this option. The northeast portion of District Two, which is east Ceres along East Whitmore and Faith Home Road north to the Tuolumne River, moves into District Five. Also, the southwest portion of Ceres near Crows Landing and Service Roads moves into District Five.

The District Three boundary moves south of the Tuolumne River and picks up the South Modesto Municipal Advisory Council footprint as well as the area to the northwest of the MAC, east of Carpenter to the north of Whitmore Avenue. The eastern District Three boundary moves west to Highway 99 through downtown Modesto up to the Briggsmore area then moves along Briggsmore to Carver Road where it heads north to Bangs. The

new lines are necessary for population balancing between Districts Three and Four. The western district boundary continues to be the San Joaquin River.

The District Four boundary expands westward in the downtown Modesto area and north to Briggsmore Avenue for population balancing. The eastern border of District Four also picks up a rectangular portion of District One in the Sylvan/Oakdale/Coffee Road area. District Four also picks up the area south of Dry Creek from El Vista on the west, eastward on Lapham Drive and then north on Lincoln Avenue from District One. This extends Yosemite Boulevard as the southern border of the district.

The District Five map shows the entire South Modesto MAC moving from District Five into District Three. District Five would pick up all of the City of Ceres limits and sphere of influence. District Five would extend across the Tuolumne River and move into the Beard Industrial Tract and also encompass all of Empire. District Five would lose the western Chatom School District area as this would move into District Two. All of the western boundaries of District Five would remain intact.

Option 1 meets the population requirements of law, follows natural boundaries and major roadways where practical. It also meets the requirements for topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, compactness of territory and communities of interest.

The population balance between the districts meets the project's goal of plus/minus two (2) percent with a range calculation of minus .58% and plus .96%.

Option 2- recommended

Option 2 reflects changes needed to accommodate significant population shifts between districts.

Summary of Redistricting Factors:

In this option, there are changes to boundaries of all five (5) districts. The option takes into account redistricting criteria of population balancing, topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, compactness of territory, and community of interests of the districts. Rivers are still used, as reasonable, to assist in defining district boundaries. Major roads such as Sylvan Avenue, Tully Road, Coffee Road and Crows Landing Road are used as boundaries where reasonable. In this option, the Chatom School District is no longer split and is unified in District Two per public comment. Also, school district boundary clean up took place on the boundary of Districts One and Four to the north, creating a clean border with Sylvan Union School District and Stanislaus Union School District. The West Modesto/King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative, the Modesto Community Development Corporation and The Bridge are unsplit and reside in a single district per public comment. The South Modesto Municipal Advisory Council (MAC) is unsplit within a single district per public comment. The South Modesto MAC is also unified with the central Ceres area which is supported by public comment. In Option 2, the territory of District Three moves south across the Tuolumne River reflecting the public comments of the river no longer being a significant barrier and that people freely move back and forth between south Modesto and west

Modesto to access services. Riverbank and Oakdale remain in one district per public comment. Turlock, Denair and Keyes remain in one district per public comment. The City of Ceres is split, but mostly contained, in one district. Newman, Patterson, Westley and Grayson remain in one district per public comment. The Beard Industrial Tract moves into the Modesto-based District Four per public comment. District Five appears more compact in Option 2 than Option 1.

District Details:

The District One northeast border shifts west to accommodate the Sylvan School District/Stanislaus School District boundary. The western border has changes to accommodate population balancing. The area from Coffee Road and Sylvan Avenue, to Oakdale Road and Claratina Avenue moves into District Four. The area south of Dry Creek from El Vista on the west, eastward on Lapham Drive and then north on Lincoln Avenue, moves into District Four from District One. District One retains the Empire MAC area while the Beard Industrial Tract moves into District Four.

The District Two western boundary moves to the San Joaquin River bringing all of Chatom School District into District Two. Most of Ceres is contained in District Five in this option. All of the Ceres population is removed from District Two in this option. The northeast portion of District Two, which is east Ceres along East Whitmore and Faith Home Road north to the Tuolumne River, moves into District Five. Also, the southwest portion of Ceres near Crows Landing and Service Roads, moves from District Two into District Five. The southeast sphere of influence for Ceres remains in District Two.

District Three eastern border remains mostly the same, although it picks up population to the east in Modesto along Tully Road, north to Pelandale Avenue and south to Kingsland near Prescott Road. This was done for population balancing. The district also crosses the Tuolumne River from West Modesto and picks up the City of Modesto area to the north of the South Modesto MAC. District Three extends west from there along Whitmore Avenue to the Tuolumne River. The new lines are necessary for population balancing between Districts Three and Four. The western district boundary continues to be the San Joaquin River.

The District Four boundary expands eastward picking up a rectangular portion of District One in the Sylvan/Oakdale/Coffee Road area. District Four also picks up the area south of Dry Creek from El Vista on the west running along Hatch Road eastward to South Santa Fe Avenue from District Two. District Four then extends north along Santa Fe to Yosemite Boulevard, picking up the Beard Industrial Tract from District One.

District Five retains the South Modesto MAC with the majority of the population of Ceres. District Five loses the incorporated City of Modesto territory to the north of the South Modesto MAC in the Red Shield area to District Three. District Five also loses the northeast portion of Ceres to District Four for population balancing, but picks up the eastern portion of Ceres and southern portion of Ceres and the sphere of influence to the south. District Five would lose the western Chatom School District area as this would

move into District Two. All of the western boundaries of District Five would remain intact.

Option 2 meets the population requirements of law, follows natural boundaries and major roadways where practical. It also meets the requirements for topography, geography, cohesiveness, contiguity, integrity, compactness of territory and communities of interest.

The population balance between the districts meets the project's goal of plus/minus two (2) percent with a range calculation of minus 1.14% and plus 1.27%.

Option A - rejected

This option was able to accommodate a number community of interest public comments. The West Modesto/King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative, the Modesto Community Development Corporation and The Bridge are unsplit and reside in a single district per public comment. Chatom School District is unified into one district. Additional school district boundary cleanup took place on the border of the Sylvan Union School District and Stanislaus Union School District from the Stanislaus River south to Patterson Road. Turlock, Denair and Keyes remain in one district per public comment. The City of Ceres is mostly contained, but not completely contained in one district. Newman, Patterson, Westley and Grayson remain in one district per public comment. Oakdale and Riverbank remain in one district. West Modesto and South Modesto communities partially come together in one district, in respect to significant public comment.

The population balance between the districts met the project's goal of plus/minus two (2) percent. However, this option split the South Modesto Municipal Advisory Council. This was perceived to split a large community of interest, potentially diluting the political influence of this MAC, which caused the option to be rejected.

Option B - rejected

This option was able to accommodate a number community of interest public comments. The West Modesto/King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative, the Modesto Community Development Corporation and The Bridge are unsplit and reside in a single district per public comment. Chatom School District is unified into one district. District boundary lines were not adjusted between Sylvan Union School District and Stanislaus Union School District. Turlock, Denair and Keyes remain in one district per public comment. All of the City of Ceres and the South Modesto Municipal Advisory Council were contained in one district. Oakdale and Riverbank remain in one district. West Modesto and South Modesto communities partially come together in one district, in respect to significant public comment.

The population balance between the districts met the project's goal of plus/minus two (2) percent. However, this proposal split the towns of Grayson and Westley from the west side of the County. This split was not supported by, and was in opposition to public comment to keep the west side cities and towns together, and consequently was rejected.

Option C - rejected

This option was able to accommodate a number community of interest public comments. The West Modesto/King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative, the Modesto Community Development Corporation and The Bridge are unsplit and reside in a single district per public comment. Chatom School District is unified into one district. Also, school district boundary clean up took place on the boundary of Districts One and Four to the north, creating a clean border with Sylvan Union School District and Stanislaus Union School District from the Stanislaus River to Claribel Road. Turlock, Denair and Keyes remain in one district per public comment. District Four is significantly changed by moving across the Tuolumne River and taking in the entire City of Ceres, allowing Ceres to be contained in one district per public comment. Newman, Patterson, Westley and Grayson remain in one district per public comment. Oakdale and Riverbank remain in one district. West Modesto and South Modesto communities partially come together in one district, in respect to significant public comment.

There was a substantial shift of Latino Voting Age Percentage (LVAP) in several districts in this option. District Three LVAP moved from 40.4% down to 26.3%. District Four LVAP moved from up 22.9% to 36.1%. District Five LVAP population moved up from 55.1% to 59.1%.

The population balance between the districts met the project's goal of plus/minus two (2) percent. The shift of LVAP between districts could cause the perception of diluting the Latino vote in Districts Three and Four, and was rejected for that reason.

VIII. Recognition

The 2011 Redistricting Project has been a very complex undertaking involving scores of individuals including community volunteers, County staff and contractors. Most have been recognized in section II of this report. There are a number of other individuals and organizations that have supported this effort. Kelly Rodriguez from the County Chief Executive Office and Sandy Hopp from the Board of Supervisors have provided great assistance with logistical and organizational support. Karin Mac Donald and Ana Henderson from Q2 Data and Research provided vital technical assistance and guidance. All line drawing for the redistricting project was performed by staff members Peou Khiek and Aron Harris from the Stanislaus County Public Works Department with support from Diane Rodrigues from the Planning and Community Development Department. A special note of thanks also goes to the Cities of Turlock, Riverbank, Patterson and Modesto for their support with facilities for public meetings. Thanks is also extended to the Salida Fire Protection District for use of Fire Station #1 for the Salida public meeting. The Stanislaus County Office of Education also provided facilities support for the July 20 public meeting and this is greatly appreciated.

Proyecto de Redistribución Supervisorial
Reporte a la Mesa Directiva del Condado de Stanislaus
Sometido conjuntamente por el Comité Directivo de Redistribución y el Comité de Ciudadanos de Redistribución

- **Introducción**

El día 26 de abril de 2011, la Mesa Directiva aprobó un Proyecto Chárter de Redistribución el cual contenía un número de elementos necesarios para conducir un proyecto de redistribución supervisorial apropiado, legal y exitoso. Dos comités y un equipo de proyecto fueron formados, reuniones públicas fueron conducidas y dos opciones de mapas fueron recomendadas para ser sometidas a la Mesa Directiva para considerar la aprobación.

Este reporte de los comités con fecha de 16 de agosto de 2011, incluirá: antecedentes e información sobre el proceso del proyecto de redistribución; resumen de los requisitos legales de redistribución; comentarios sobre los cambios de población del Censo 2010 de los Estados Unidos; resumen del aviso al público sobre las reuniones públicas de redistribución; resumen de las reuniones públicas y los comentarios; y el reviso por parte del personal de redistribución de los comentarios recibidos sobre las opciones de redistribución presentadas hoy a la Mesa Directiva. Actualmente, los miembros de los dos comités de redistribución le están presentando dos opciones al Consejo. Las opciones son nombradas “Opción 1” y “Opción 2.” Los comités también le están presentando tres opciones rechazadas nombradas “Opción rechazada – A,” Opción rechazada – B,” y “Opción rechazada – C.”

La Mesa Directiva conducirá una audiencia pública siguiente la presentación del personal el 16 de agosto de 2011, y recibirá comentarios del público sobre las opciones propuestas de redistribución. El 23 de agosto de 2011, una audición pública final será conducida por la Mesa Directiva para introducir y retirar la primera lectura de la ordenanza de redistribución. El 30 de agosto de 2011, la Mesa Directiva dirigirá un artículo de consentimiento para adoptar y retirar la segunda lectura de la ordenanza.

- **Resumen y Proceso**

Ley federal y estatal requiere que después de cada Censo Federal Decenal, la Mesa Directiva determine la ajustación de las líneas divisorias de los distritos supervisoriales, utilizando información del Censo de los Estados Unidos como base. Los distritos deberán ser equivalentes lo más cerca posible en población después de aplicar todos los códigos y requisitos. El Condado es responsable por conducir un análisis de los distritos supervisoriales existentes y ajustar las fronteras, utilizando cierto criterio, para obtener el balance de población deseado.

En enero de 2011, el Condado de Stanislaus comenzó el proceso de planeamiento para el proceso de redistribución supervisorial. El Director Ejecutivo compuso un equipo de

personal para examinar los requisitos necesarios para implementar y completar el proceso de redistribución exitosamente. El personal se reunió periódicamente para revisar y desarrollar tareas las cuales eventualmente formarían parte del Proyecto Chárter de Redistribución.

El 5 de abril de 2011, la Mesa Directiva autorizó la formación de un Comité de Redistribución de Ciudadanos para servir en capacidad de asesoramiento y proveer recomendaciones de redistribución supervisorial a la Mesa Directiva. La formación del comité de ciudadanos fue para proveer una presentación justa y efectiva para todos los residentes del Condado, y asegurar participación pública en el proceso de redistribución supervisorial del 2011. El comité consistió de 11 miembros registrados para votar en el Condado: un miembro representando cada distrito elegido por el respectivo miembro del Consejo (cinco miembros); un miembro representando cada distrito elegido al azar (cinco miembros); y del resto de los solicitantes calificados, un miembro elegido al azar. Solicitación legal y apropiada fue conducida para invitar solicitudes para el comité y el periodo de solicitudes fue extendido de 6 de abril de 2011 a 20 de abril de 2011.

El 26 de abril de 2011, la Mesa Directiva inicio formalmente el proceso de redistribución al adoptar el Proyecto Chárter de Redistribución, formando un Comité Directivo de Redistribución, formando un Equipo de Proyecto, selección del Comité de Ciudadanos de Redistribución, y autorizó al Director Ejecutivo a entrar en un contrato con Q2 Data and Research, LLC, para proveer servicios profesionales de consulta para el proceso de Redistribución Supervisorial del Condado.

El 3 de mayo de 2011, la Mesa Directiva dio aprobación formal al Comité de Ciudadanos de Redistribución.

Los miembros del Comité Directivo de Redistribución consistió con el Director Ejecutivo Richard Robinson, Abogado del Condado John Doering, Director de Obras Publicas Matt Machado, Registro/Registrador de Votantes del Condado Lee Lundrigan, Asesor del Condado Dave Cogdill, Director de Planos y Desarrollo Comunitario Kirk Ford, Director de Tecnología y Administración Estratégica Marcia Cunningham, Asociado y Consultor de Administración Sandy Regalo, Asociado y Consultor de Administración Mark Loeser y Asociado Superior y Consultor de Administración David Jones. Los miembros del Comité de Ciudadanos de Redistribución consistieron de: Representativos de Distrito Uno Cynthia Thomlison y Reg Blair Smith; Representantes de Distrito Dos Dorinda Soiseth y Sharon Silva; Representantes de Distrito Tres Maggie Mejía y John Erwin; Representantes de Distrito Cuatro Louis Friedman y Luis Enrique Bustamante; Representantes de Distrito Cinco Kenny Buehner y William J. Parks; y un miembro a azar Donald Ulrich, quien reside en el Distrito Dos. Los Miembros del Equipo de Proyecto consistieron de Dean Wright de la Oficina de Abogados, Patrick Cavanah y Aaron Rosa de la Oficina de Registro/Registrador de Votantes, Diane Haugh, Peou Khiak y Aaron Harris del Departamento de Obras Públicas, Juan González y Diane Rodrigues del Departamento de Planos y Desarrollo Comunitario, Don Oppman de la Oficina del Asesor, Debbie Siebrecht de Tecnología y Administración Estratégica, y Sandy Regalo, Mark Loeser y David Jones de la Oficina del Director Ejecutivo.

Un calendario de reuniones para el Comité Directivo de Redistribución, Comité de Ciudadanos de Redistribución, y Equipo de Proyecto fue creado. Hubo reuniones combinadas e individuales durante el periodo de cinco meses del proyecto. Una orientación sobre redistribución de cuatro horas fue conducido por Q2 Data and Research conjuntamente para los miembros del Comité Directivo de Redistribución, Comité de Ciudadanos de Redistribución, y Equipo de Proyecto el día 12 de mayo de 2011. La orientación cubrió los aspectos legales de redistribución a nivel Federal y Estatal, introdujo el criterio que sería utilizado para el proceso de redistribución, cubrió las estadísticas del Censo 2010 de los Estados Unidos y introdujo los términos del Censo tal como sección censal y bloque censal.

Los miembros del Comité Directivo y Ciudadanos de Redistribución asistieron a un total de 16 reuniones durante todo el proceso llevando hacia la audiencia pública de la Mesa Directiva el 16 de agosto de 2011. Sharon Silva fue elegida como presidente del Comité de Ciudadanos y Louis Friedman fue elegido como vicepresidente. Durante los meses de mayo y junio, reuniones del Comité Directivo y Comité de Ciudadanos fueron conducidas separadamente, aunque cada comité fue invitado a atender las reuniones de cada Comité. Originalmente, el Comité Directivo se le fue entregado la tarea de desarrollar mapas preliminares y el Comité de Ciudadanos fue permitido desarrollar hasta dos mapas en caso de no acordar con los mapas del Comité Directivo. Después de las juntas públicas de junio 2011, los dos comités decidieron unirse conjuntamente y colaborativamente para trabajar en el proceso de desarrollar los mapas preliminares de redistribución. Este proceso conjunto continuo durante cada reunión en julio y agosto y los mapas fueron desarrollados por los dos comités.

Seis reuniones públicas fueron conducidas en junio de 2011 para recibir comentario sobre las comunidades de interés (COIs por sus siglas en Ingles) y para recibir otros comentarios sobre redistribución los cuales serían de ayuda a los miembros de los comités al desarrollar las opciones de mapas de redistribución. Después de la reunión pública final de junio, el Comité Directivo y Comité de Ciudadanos se reunieron el 7 de julio y después el 11 de julio y completaron dos opciones de mapas preliminares llamados "Opción 1" y "Opción 2," los cuales ajustaron las líneas de los distritos supervisorales. Los Comités también consideraron y rechazaron "Opción rechazada – A" y "Opción rechazada – B." Una sesión informativa con los medios de comunicación fue conducida el 14 de julio y los mapas preliminares "Opción 1" y "Opción 2" fueron hechos públicos a las 3 p.m. el mismo día en la página de internet del Condado www.stancounty.com/redistricting. Otra reunión pública fue conducida el 20 de julio de 2011, para solicitar comentario público sobre los mapas preliminares "Opción 1" y "Opción 2." Los comités una vez más se reunieron conjuntamente el 21 de julio de 2011 para reconsiderar "Opción 1" y "Opción 2" y dirigir cualquier otro cambio basado en comentario público. En esta junta del 21 de julio de 2011, los miembros de los comités decidieron retener "Opción 1" y "Opción 2" sin cambios. En esta reunión los miembros de los comités también trabajaron y consideraron una opción adicional la cual eventualmente sería rechazada y nombrada "Opción rechazada – C."

Los mapas finales recomendados nombrados “Opción 1” y “Opción 2” para la consideración de la Mesa Directiva fueron publicados el 1 de agosto de 2011, en la página de internet del Condado. Aviso público legal para la audiencia pública del 16 de agosto de 2011 en la reunión de la Mesa Directiva fue publicado así como es requerido.

- **Resumen de los Requisitos Legales de Redistribución**

La ley federal bajo la Constitución de los Estados Unidos y el Código de Elecciones de California Sección 21500 estipularon el criterio y guianza para el proceso de redistribución de los distritos supervisorales del Condado. La Sección 21500 del Código de Elecciones de California establece que la Mesa Directiva tiene la responsabilidad de que después de cada censo decenal federal, y usando ese censo como base, de ajustar las líneas divisorias de cualquier o todos los distritos supervisorales del Condado para que los distritos sean lo más equivalente en población posible. El código continúa estableciendo que los distritos deberán cumplir con las provisiones aplicables de la Sección 1973 del Título 42 del Código de los Estados Unidos, como enmienda. El objetivo del personal fue presentar opciones de redistribución que estuvieran dentro de una desviación más/menos 2% de 102,890 por distrito en el Condado de Stanislaus. Esto se compara al objetivo de población del proceso de redistribución del 2000 de un 5% más/menos de desviación.

La Sección 21501 también establece que las líneas divisorias de los distritos supervisorales deberán ser ajustadas por la Mesa Directiva antes del primero de noviembre del año siguiente en el que el censo decenal federal es tomado. Cuando la Mesa Directiva tome acción en su reunión publica el 30 de agosto para adoptar y retirar la segunda lectura de la ordenanza, este requisito será cumplido.

Una evaluación inicial de la Acta de Derechos Votantes (VRA por sus siglas en Ingles) para la conformidad con la Sección 2 de la VRA fue conducida por el personal del Condado e independientemente por Q2 Data and Research. Esta Sección requiere que cuando ciertos límites específicos de la población sean cumplidos, las líneas de los distritos sean dibujadas en tal manera en la cual el resultado sea la creación de un distrito supervisoral que contenga una mayoría de población votante de una minoría étnica. Para el Condado de Stanislaus, el personal y consultores intentaron dibujar un distrito con mayoría Latino con 65% de la población de Latinos de Edad Votante (LVAP por sus siglas en Ingles) y no fueron exitosos. Basado en los análisis conducidos utilizando información del censo, el Condado no es capaz de crear un distrito supervisoral el cual cumpla con los requisitos de mayoría/minoría.

El Registro/Registrador de Votantes ha indicado que la adopción exitosa de una ordenanza de redistribución del Condado antes del 30 de agosto de 2011 permitirá al Condado cumplir con todas las fechas límites de elecciones del 2012. El Código de Elecciones de California 21506 requiere que un cambio de las líneas divisorias de los distritos supervisorales no deberá ser hecha dentro de 45 días antes del primer día para circular los documentos de nominación para una elección de supervisores en el condado o

entre la elección primaria y la elección general.

Sección 21500 además establece criterio específico para ser utilizado al establecer las líneas divisorias de los distritos supervisorales. El criterio incluye: topografía; geografía; posesividad; contigüidad; integridad; combatividad de territorio; y comunidades de interés. Todo este criterio fue considerado durante el proceso de dibujar las líneas. Las siete reuniones públicas conducidas durante el proceso ayudaron a identificar y clarificar varias comunidades de interés las cuales fueron consideradas en el proceso de dibujar las líneas.

• **Comentarios Sobre Cambios de Población del Censo 2010 de los Estados Unidos**

El personal del Condado utilizó información del Censo 2010 de los Estados Unidos para el proceso de redistribución. La población del Condado de Stanislaus en el 2010 fue 514,453. Esto es un aumento de 15.1%, comparado a 446,998 en el Censo 2000. Los aumentos en crecimiento se tomaron acabo en el Distrito Uno, Distrito Dos, y Distrito Cinco. De notar, las personas de origen Hispano o Latino constituyeron 41.9% de la población en el Condado de Stanislaus en el Censo 2010, un aumento de 31.7% comparado al Censo 2000.

	Población Censo 2010	Objetivo en Población	Población debajo/arriba	Porcentaje arriba/debajo
Distrito Uno	113,756	102,891	+ 10,865	+9.55%
Distrito Dos	110,722	102,891	+ 7,831	+7.07%
Distrito Tres	91,713	102,891	- 11,178	- 12.19%
Distrito Cuatro	91,922	102,891	- 10,969	- 11.93%
Distrito Cinco	106,340	102,891	+3,449	+3.24%

El número de población ideal para el proyecto de redistribución es 102,891.

• **Resumen del Aviso al Publico sobre las Reuniones Públicas de Redistribución**

Comunicaciones de prensa fueron dadas a todos los periódicos en el Condado de Stanislaus referente a las reuniones públicas los días 13, 14, 15, 28, 29, 30 de junio y 20 de julio. Anuncios sobre las reuniones públicas aparecieron en la página de internet del Condado. Correos electrónicos fueron distribuidos a las ciudades, cámaras de comercio, consejos municipales, organizaciones no-lucrativas, labor organizada, organizaciones de religión, grupos de apoyo comunitario y otras organizaciones. Anuncios se hicieron en las bibliotecas públicas del Condado de Stanislaus. Un programa de media hora se dio sobre redistribución del Condado de Stanislaus en KBSV Asiria Visión 23. Veinte-y-un artículos fueron publicados en los periódicos Vida en el Valle, Turlock Journal, Patterson Irrigator, Westside Index, Ceres Courier, Riverbank News, and the Oakdale Leader. Un letrero electrónico fue puesto en Modesto "Five Points" para promover las reuniones públicas. Presentaciones especiales sobre el proceso de redistribución fueron conducidas en reuniones del Consejo de Liderazgo Hispano (Hispanic Leadership Council), Mesa-

redonda de la Comunidad Latina (Latino Community Round Table), La Cámara de Comercio Hispano (Modesto Chamber of Commerce), Comité de Relaciones Gubernamentales (Government Relations Committee), y el Rotario de Patterson (Patterson Rotary).

Una sesión informativa fue conducida a los medios de comunicación en día 14 de julio para revisar las opciones de mapas preliminares los cuales se harían públicos. Aquellos que atendieron incluyeron representantes de The Modesto Bee, Patterson Irrigator, Turlock Journal, y Ceres Courier. Artículos fueron publicados en cada de uno de estos periódicos resaltando los propuestos mapas, el proceso para someter comentario público, y la reunión publica del 20 de julio.

- **Resumen de las Reuniones Públicas y Comentarios**

Los Comités condujeron siete reuniones públicas antes de que el 16 de agosto a la audiencia pública de la Mesa Directiva del Condado de Stanislaus. Al comienzo de cada reunión se dio un tutorial educacional sobre el proceso de redistribución. El intento de las reuniones públicas durante el mes de junio fue de adquirir información relevante referente a las comunidades de interés de los miembros del público. La reunión pública el 20 de julio fue para recibir comentario adicional sobre comunidades de interés u otros comentarios sobre los mapas que se hicieron públicos el 14 de julio.

Las reuniones públicas en junio fueron conducidas en cada uno de los cinco distritos supervisorales (Turlock, Riverbank, Patterson, Modesto, y Salida), con una reunión publica adicional en Modesto. La reunión publica del 20 de julio tomo lugar en el centro de Modesto. Hubo un total de 59 personas que atendieron y 26 comentarios durante las reuniones públicas. Hubo tres (3) comentarios adicionales por correo electrónico en relación al proyecto de redistribución. Traducción al Español estuvo disponible para el comentario público en cada de estas reuniones públicas y los materiales se hicieron disponibles en Ingles y Español en las reuniones.

Miembros del Comité Directivo, Comité de Ciudadanos, y Equipo de Proyecto asistieron a las reuniones públicas. El personal del Condado escribió electrónicamente notas sobre los comentarios públicos y estos fueron pegados electrónicamente a cualquier forma escrita por los asistentes. Los comentarios y formas fueron asignados números, fueron copiados digitalmente y archivados dentro del sistema GIS del Condado. Todas las comunidades de interés identificadas durante el proceso fueron integradas al sistema GIS del Condado al igual.

Resumen de las Reuniones:

13 de junio – Cumbres del Consejo Municipal de Turlock. La reunión pública fue conducida de 6 p.m. a 8 p.m. y asistieron 15 personas del público de las cuales tres (3) personas proveyeron comentario público. Los comentarios incluyeron una petición de mantener juntos los distritos escolares incluyendo Chatom, Keyes, Turlock, y College. Se pidió que Turlock, Denair, Hilmar, y Ceres fueran retenidos en un solo distrito ya que

comparten intereses residenciales en común al igual que vínculos similares de trabajo e escolares.

14 de junio – Riverbank Scout Hall. La reunión pública fue conducida de 6 p.m. a 8 p.m. y asistieron cinco (5) personas del público de las cuales dos (2) personas proveyeron comentario público. Los comentarios incluyeron una petición de mantener las ciudades de Riverbank y Oakdale en un solo distrito ya que comparten intereses similares tal como el posible contrato para servicios del policía. Un individuo expreso que partes de Modesto pudieran ser extraídas del Distrito Uno.

15 de junio – Cumbres del Consejo Municipal de Patterson. La reunión pública fue conducida de 6 p.m. a 8 p.m. y asistieron ocho (8) personas del público de las cuales cuatro (4) personas proveyeron comentario público. Hubieron varias personas las cuales indicaron que Patterson, Newman, Westley, y Grayson deberían ser mantenidas en el mismo distrito conocido como el “West Side.” Un individuo opino en referencia al pueblo de Keyes, y comento que Keyes debería ser mantenido en el Distrito Dos y no ser separado ya que es una comunidad de interés. Keyes tiene un distrito escolar, un distrito de servicios comunitarios, y un consejo municipal. Fue expresado que el West Side (oeste) es una comunidad de interés que se extiende desde el Rio San Joaquin al oeste hasta la orilla más oeste de la línea del Condado. El West Side comparte distritos escolares, códigos postales, cobertura de sheriff y fuego, agricultura, proveedores de salud, club de libros y jardinería, y personas que viajan al trabajo a la área de la bahía y San Jose. Residentes del West Side se identifican con el patrimonio de agricultura. El West Side es un grupo de residentes cohesivo.

28 de junio – Modesto Senior Center. La reunión pública fue conducida de 6 p.m. a 8 p.m. y asistieron seis (6) personas del público de las cuales cinco (5) personas proveyeron comentario público. Una persona noto que la área de la calle de Crows Landing se está convirtiendo en una área vibrante social y económica, pero por la presencia prevalente de actividad de gangas, los residentes están mutuamente interesados en prevención de crimen. El centro Red Shield en el oeste de Modesto fue identificado como un influyente importante en la comunidad. Una persona comento que sobre el West Side (oeste) del Condado acertando que las actividades juveniles y personas de tercera edad al igual que servicios de drenaje y iluminación lo convierten en una comunidad de interés. El oeste del Condado de Stanislaus fue definido la área oeste del Rio San Joaquin. Una persona expreso que el este de Modesto es diferente a Riverbank y Oakdale y que posiblemente no debería ser mantenido en el mismo distrito. Además, hubo discusión de la urbanización del área norte de Dry Creek como una comunidad de interés.

29 de junio – King Kennedy Memorial Center en Modesto. La reunión pública fue conducida de 6 p.m. a 8 p.m. y asistieron doce (12) personas del público de las cuales ocho (8) personas proveyeron comentario público. Varios comentarios dirigieron que aunque el Rio Tuolumne separa a el oeste y sur de Modesto, ya no es percibido como una barrera mayor afectando la movilización. Ha habido mezcla de estas áreas y la gente viaja de área a área para obtener varios servicios. Las áreas comparten cosas similares incluyendo asuntos de seguridad y antecedentes de educación y culturales. Una persona

compartió que el norte de Ceres no comparte estas comunalidades como el área central y sur de Ceres con el oeste y sur de Modesto. Dos personas expresaron que la Ciudad de Ceres debería ser mantenida en un distrito. El centro Red Shield, la Asociación de Comerciantes Unidos de la Calle Crows Landing, El Consejo Municipal del Sur de Modesto, el Centro Bridge Community, y el Modesto Community Development Corporation fueron identificados como comunidades de interés. West Modesto (Oeste de Modesto) es una comunidad diversa, pero aun así es una comunidad de interés trabajando en asuntos de crimen, servicios sociales, iniciativas de salud, y asociación con agencias del Condado. Fue expresado que el centro Red Shield y la escuela Fairview Elementary ayudan a mantener a la comunidad junta y traer junto a los grupos étnicos.

30 de junio – Estación de Bomberos #1 en Salida. La reunión pública fue conducida de 6 p.m. a 8 p.m. y asistieron cuatro (4) personas del público de las cuales una (1) persona proveyó comentario público. La persona expreso que Ceres debería ser mantenido en un distrito solamente. Hay un nuevo proyecto en el oeste de Ceres y la nueva escuela Central Valley High necesita ser tomada en consideración al dibujar las líneas de los distritos. La persona comento que el área de influencia de Ceres necesita ser considerada igualmente.

20 de julio – Martin Petersen Event Center en Modesto. La reunión pública fue conducida de 6 p.m. a 8 p.m. y asistieron nueve (9) personas del público de las cuales tres (3) personas proveyeron comentario público. El alcalde de Ceres identifico la Opción 1 como su opción de preferencia. Él dijo que esta opción mantiene la mayoría de Ceres en un distrito. También expreso preocupación que Opción 2 remueve un grupo de ciudadanos muy activo políticamente en el área norte de Ceres y los mueve en Distrito Cuatro. Otra persona indico que la Opción 2 es preferible ya que es la opción que menos priva el derecho al voto. Esta persona expreso que el Rio Tuolumne debería continuar como la línea divisoria entre el oeste de Modesto y el sur de Modesto y que es una barrera comunitaria que no debería ser cruzada. Esta misma persona expreso que la Opción 1 parece no ser balanceada al mover el Distrito Cinco hacia la área de Empire. Una tercera persona indico que investigación sobre el mercado de la industria de comestibles establece que el Rio Tuolumne no es barrera para la gente que viaja del este de Modesto hacia el sur de Modesto. Esta persona siente que la área Beard Industrial no tiene relación con el Distrito Cinco y más relación con Modesto y también apoyaba la Opción 2.

Comentarios en Escrito por Medio de Correo Electrónico

Comentario 1 – Hughson, Denair, y Turlock son una comunidad de interés. Actividad comercial, educación, cuidado médico, agricultura, servicios religiosos, y deportes todos son factores comunes. Además, Denair y Turlock comparten servicios tal como tratamiento de drenaje y electricidad. El Consejo Municipal de Denair es una comunidad de interés.

Comentario 2 – El este de Modesto actualmente en el Distrito Uno, tiene más en conexión con el Distrito Cuatro ya que está localizado tres millas del centro de Modesto y comparte los mismos desafíos que estos votantes. Hay más conexión en esta parte este de

Modesto a la area urbanizada del Distrito Cuatro.

Comentario 3 – El escritor de este comentario prefiere el mapa de Opción 1.

- **Opciones de Redistribución Supervisorales**

Comentarios de las reuniones públicas y correos electrónicos fueron revisados por el Comité Directivo, Comité de Ciudadanos, y el Equipo de Proyecto. Las comunidades de interés fueron claramente documentadas y los comentarios fueron seriamente considerados y discutidos durante el proceso de dibujar las líneas supervisorales. Los comentarios fueron considerados en relación a la ley de redistribución y otra guianza. Los comentarios también fueron evaluados relativamente a otros comentarios recibidos sobre las comunidades de interés.

La dibujación de las líneas del proyecto de redistribución fue conducida por el personal del Condado utilizando el Sistema de Información Geográfica (GIS por sus siglas en Ingles). El personal utilizo el programa de computadora “Districting for ArcGIS” para conducir la dibujación de las líneas. Al conocimiento del personal del Director Ejecutivo del Condado, el Condado de Stanislaus es uno de los pocos, si no el único, condados en el estado el cual condujo la dibujacion de las líneas con personal interno a comparación de pagar consultores.

El Comité Directivo y el Comité de Ciudadanos trabajaron juntos en el proceso de dibujacion de las líneas y opciones. Estos grupos están sometiendo conjuntamente las dos opciones que le están recomendando a la Mesa Directiva para su consideración. Los grupos conjuntamente rechazaron tres opciones adicionales basadas en la evaluación de todo el criterio.

Las siguientes opciones están siendo presentadas después de evaluación extensiva:

Opción 1 – recomendada

La Opción 1 refleja cambios necesarios que toma en cuenta cambios significantes en la población entre los distritos.

Resumen de los Factores de Redistribución:

Con esta opción, hay cambios a las líneas divisorias de todos los cinco distritos. Esta opción toma en cuenta el criterio de redistribución de balanceo de población, topografía, geografía, cohesividad, contigüidad, integridad, compacidad de territorio, y comunidades de interés de los distritos. Los ríos aún son utilizados, según sea razonable, para ayudar a definir las líneas de los distritos. La autopista 99 es utilizada como línea divisoria en una porción del área central de Modesto. Carreteras mayores tal como Sylvan Avenue, Tully Road, Coffee Road, y Crows Landing Road son utilizadas como líneas divisorias cuando es razonable. En esta opción, el distrito escolar Chatom ya no es dividido y se unifica al Distrito Dos tomando en cuenta comentario del público. Además, limpieza de líneas

divisoria de distritos escolares se dio lugar entre las líneas divisorias de los Distritos Uno y Cuatro al norte, creando una frontera más clara con los distritos escolares Sylvan Union School District y Stanislaus Union School District desde el Rio Stanislaus al sur hasta la calle Claribel Road. El West Modesto/King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative, el Modesto Community Development Corporation y The Bridge no fueron divididos y residen en un solo distrito, así tomando en cuenta el comentario público. El Consejo Municipal del Sur de Modesto no es dividido dentro de un solo distrito, así tomando en cuenta el comentario público. En la Opción 1, el territorio del Distrito Tres se mueve al sur atravesando el Rio Tuolumne lo cual es reflexión de los comentarios del público de que el rio ya no es una barrera significativa y que la gente se mueve libremente entre el sur de Modesto y el oeste de Modesto para el acceso de servicios. Riverbank y Oakdale permanecen en el mismo distrito, así tomando en cuenta el comentario público. Turlock, Denair y Keyes permanecen en un distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. La Ciudad de Ceres se mantiene en un distrito, tomando en cuenta el comentario público. Newman, Patterson, Westley, y Grayson permanecen en un distrito, tomando en cuenta el comentario público.

Detalles del Distrito:

La frontera al noreste del Distrito Uno se mueve hacia el oeste para acomodar la línea divisoria del distrito escolar Sylvan School District/Stanislaus School District. La frontera al oeste tiene cambios para acomodar el balanceo en población. El área de Coffee Road y Sylvan Avenue, a Oakdale Road y Clarantina Avenue se mueve al Distrito Cuatro. Además, parte del área al sur de Floyd Avenue y al este de Oakdale Road se mueve al Distrito Uno para el balanceo en población. El área al sur de Dry Creek desde El Vista al oeste, hacia el este en Lapham Drive y luego al norte en Lincoln Avenue, se mueve del Distrito Uno al Distrito Cuatro. El Empire MAC y el área Beard Industrial Tract se mueve del Distrito Uno al Distrito Cinco.

La frontera al oeste del Distrito Dos se mueve hasta el Rio San Joaquin llevando todo el distrito escolar Chatom School District al Distrito Dos. Con esta opción, se le quita población de Ceres al Distrito Dos. La porción al noreste del Distrito Dos, la cual es el este de Ceres a lo largo de East Whitmore y Faith Home Road hacia el norte del Rio Tuolumne, se mueve al Distrito Cinco. Además, la porción suroeste de Ceres cerca de las calles Crows Landing y Service se mueve al Distrito Cinco.

La frontera del Distrito Tres se mueve al sur del Rio Tuolumne y absorbe el Consejo Municipal de South Modesto al igual que el área al noroeste del MAC, este de la calle Carpenter hacia el norte de Whitmore Avenue. El este de la frontera del Distrito Tres se mueve al oeste hacia la autopista 99 por el centro de Modesto arriba hacia el área de Briggsmore a Carver Road donde va hacia el norte a la calle Bangs.

Las nuevas líneas son necesarias para el balance de población entre los Distritos Tres y Cuatro. La línea fronteriza oeste continúa siendo el Rio San Joaquin.

La línea fronteriza del Distrito Cuatro se expande hacia el oeste en area del centro de Modesto y al norte hacia Briggsmore Avenue para el balance en población. La frontera

del este del Distrito Cuatro también levanta una porción rectangular del Distrito Uno en el área de Sylvan/Oakdale/Coffee Road. El Distrito Cuatro también consume el área al sur de Dry Creek desde El Vista en el oeste, hacia el este en Lapham Drive, y luego al norte en Lincoln Avenue del Distrito Uno. Esto extiende Yosemite Boulevard como la frontera sur del distrito.

El mapa del Distrito Cinco demuestra que el Consejo Municipal de South Modesto se mueve del Distrito Cinco al Distrito Tres. El Distrito Cinco levantaría los límites de la ciudad de Ceres y el área de influencia. El Distrito Cinco se extendería cruzando el Río Tuolumne moviéndose hacia el Beard Industrial Tract y también abarcar todo el pueblo de Empire. El Distrito Cinco también perdería el área oeste del distrito escolar Chatom School District ya que se convertiría parte del Distrito Dos. Todas las fronteras oeste del Distrito Cinco permanecerían intactas.

La Opción 1 cumple con los requisitos de la ley referente a la población, sigue las fronteras naturales y calles mayores. Además cumple con los requisitos de topografía, geografía, cohesividad, contigüidad, integridad, compacidad de territorio, y comunidades de interés.

El balance en población entre los distritos cumple con el objetivo del proyecto de quedar más/menos dentro de dos (2) por ciento con un rango de menos .58% y más .96%.

Opción 2 – recomendada

La Opción 2 refleja cambios necesarios para acomodar cambios significantes en población entre los distritos.

Resumen de Factores de Redistribución:

Con esta opción, hay cambios a las líneas divisorias de todos los cinco (5) distritos. La opción toma en cuenta el criterio de balanceo de población, topografía, geografía, cohesividad, contigüidad, integridad, compacidad de territorio, y comunidades de interés de los distritos. Los ríos aún son usados, según sea razonable, para ayudar en definir las líneas fronterizas de los distritos. Calles mayores tal como Sylvan Avenue, Tully Road, Coffee Road, y Crows Landing Road son utilizadas como líneas divisorias cuando se es posible. En esta opción, el distrito escolar Chatom School District deja de ser dividido y es unificado al Distrito Dos así tomando en cuenta el comentario público. Además, limpieza de las líneas divisorias se lleva a cabo en las líneas divisorias del Distrito Uno y Cuatro al norte, creando una frontera más clara con el distrito escolar Sylvan Union School District y Stanislaus Union School District. El West Modesto King/Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative, el Modesto Community Development Corporation y The Bridge no son divididos y residen en un solo distrito, así tomando en cuenta el comentario público. El Consejo Municipal del Sur de Modesto (MAC por sus siglas en Ingles) no se divide y queda dentro de un solo distrito, así tomando en cuenta el comentario público. El Consejo Municipal del Sur de Modesto también es unificado con el área central de Ceres lo cual es apoyado por el comentario público. En la Opción 2, el territorio del Distrito Tres se mueve al sur atravesando el Río Tuolumne lo cual refleja el comentario

público de que el río ya no es una barrera significativa y que la gente viaja libremente de un lado a otro entre el sur de Modesto y el oeste de Modesto para el acceso de servicios. Riverbank y Oakdale permanecen en un distrito así tomando en cuenta el comentario público. Turlock, Denair, y Keyes permanecen en un distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. La Ciudad de Ceres se divide, pero se contiene por la mayor parte en un distrito. Newman, Patterson, Westley, y Grayson permanecen en un distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. El área Beard Industrial Tract se mueve al Distrito Cuatro tomando en cuenta el comentario público. El Distrito Cinco aparece más compacto en la Opción 2 que en la Opción 1.

Detalles del Distrito:

La frontera noreste del Distrito Uno se mueve al oeste para acomodar la frontera del distrito escolar Sylvan School District/Stanislaus School District. La frontera oeste cambia para acomodar el balanceo en población. El área desde Coffee Road y Sylvan Avenue hasta Oakdale Road y Clarantina Avenue se mueve al Distrito Cuatro. El área sur del Dry Creek desde El Vista al oeste, hacia el este en Lapham Drive y después al norte en Lincoln Avenue, se mueve al Distrito Cuatro anteriormente en el Distrito Uno. El Distrito Uno mantiene el área del Empire MAC, mientras que el Beard Industrial Tract se mueve al Distrito Cuatro.

La frontera oeste del Distrito Dos se mueve hasta el Río San Joaquin llevando todo el distrito escolar Chatom School District hacia el Distrito Dos. La mayoría de Ceres se contiene en el Distrito Cinco con esta opción. Toda la población de Ceres es removida del Distrito Dos en esta opción. La parte noreste del distrito Dos, la cual es el este de Ceres a lo largo de East Whitmore y Faith Home Road norte al Río Tuolumne, se mueve al Distrito Cinco. Además, la porción sureste de Ceres cerca a las calles Crows Landing Road y Service Road, se mueven del Distrito Dos hacia el Distrito Cinco. El área sureste del área de influencia de Ceres permanece en el Distrito Dos.

La frontera este del Distrito Tres permanece igual por la mayor parte, aunque levanta población al este de Modesto a lo largo de Tully Road, norte a Palendale Avenue y sur a Kingsland cerca a Prescott Road. Esto fue llevado a cabo para balancear la población. El distrito también cruza el Río Tuolumne sede el Oeste de Modesto y embarca el área de la Ciudad de Modesto al norte del Consejo Municipal del Sur de Modesto. El Distrito Tres se extiende al oeste desde allí a lo largo de Whitmore Avenue hasta el Río Tuolumne. Las nuevas líneas son necesarias para el balanceo de población entre los Distritos Tres y Cuatro. La línea fronteriza al oeste del Distrito sigue siendo el Río San Joaquin.

La línea fronteriza del Distrito Cuatro se expande hacia el este levantando una porción rectangular del Distrito Uno en el área de Sylvan/Oakdale/Coffee Road. El Distrito Cuatro también levanta el área sur de Dry Creek desde El Vista al oeste a lo largo de Hatch Road hacia el este hasta South Santa Fe Avenue del Distrito Dos. El Distrito Cuatro se extiende al norte a lo largo de Santa Fe Avenue a Yosemite Boulevard, levantando el área Beard Industrial Tract del Distrito Uno.

El Distrito Cinco retiene al Consejo Municipal del Sur de Modesto y la mayoría de la

población de Ceres. El Distrito Cinco pierde territorio incorporado de la Ciudad de Modesto al norte del Consejo Municipal del Sur de Modesto en el área del centro Red Shield, el cual se mueve al Distrito Tres. El Distrito Cinco también pierde la área noreste de Ceres la cual se mueve al Distrito Cuatro para balancear la población, pero levanta la área este de Ceres y área sureste de Ceres y la área de influencia al sur. El Distrito Cinco pierde el área oeste del distrito escolar Chatom School District ya que se movería al Distrito Dos. Todas las fronteras oeste del Distrito Cinco quedarían intactas.

La Opción 2 cumple con los requisitos de la ley referente a la población, sigue las fronteras naturales y calles mayores. Además cumple con los requisitos de topografía, geografía, cohevisidad, contigüidad, integridad, compacidad de territorio, y comunidades de interés.

El balance en población entre los distritos cumple con el objetivo del proyecto de quedar más/menos dentro de dos (2) porciento con un rango de menos 1.14% y más 1.27%.

Opción A – rechazada

Esta opción fue capaz de acomodar un número de comunidades de interés por comentario público. El West Modesto King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative, el Modesto Community Development Corporation y The Bridge no son divididos y residen en un solo distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. El distrito escolar Chatom School District es unificado en un distrito. Limpieza de las líneas divisorias de los distritos escolares tomo lugar en la frontera de Sylvan Union School District y Stanislaus Union School District desde el Rio Stanislaus sur a la calle Patterson Road. Turlock, Denair y Keyes quedan en un solo distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. La Ciudad de Ceres es contenida por la mayor parte, pero no completamente contenida en un distrito. Newman, Patterson, Westley, y Grayson permanecen en un distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. Oakdale y Riverbank permanecen en un distrito. Las comunidades West Modesto (oeste de Modesto) y South Modesto (sur de Modesto) se unen parcialmente en un distrito, con respecto a comentario público significativo.

El balance en población entre los distritos fue cumplido respecto a la meta de más/menos dos por ciento (2%). Pero esta opción divide el Consejo Municipal del Sur de Modesto. Esto fue percibido como el dividir una comunidad de interés mayor, potencialmente diluyendo la influencia política de este MAC, lo cual causo que esta opción fue rechazada.

Opción B - rechazada

Esta opción fue capaz de acomodar un número de comunidades de interés por comentario público. El West Modesto/King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative, el Modesto Community Development Corporation y The Bridge no son divididos y residen en un solo distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. El distrito escolar Chatom School District es unificado en un distrito. Las líneas divisorias de los distritos escolares no fueron ajustadas entre Sylvan Union School District y Stanislaus Union School District. Turlock, Denair y Keyes permanecen en un distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. La Ciudad de Ceres y el Consejo Municipal del Sur de Modesto fueron

contenidos en un distrito. Oakdale y Riverbank permanecen en un distrito. Las comunidades West Modesto (oeste de Modesto) y South Modesto (sur de Modesto) se unen parcialmente en un distrito, con respecto a comentario público significativo.

El balance en población entre los distritos fue cumplido respecto a la meta de más/menos dos por ciento (2%). Pero esta opción dividen los pueblos de Grayson y Westley del oeste del Condado. Esta división no fue apoyada y va en contra del comentario público de mantener a las ciudades y pueblos del oeste del Condado juntas, y consecuentemente fue rechazada.

Opción C - rechazada

Esta opción fue capaz de acomodar un número de comunidades de interés por comentario público. El West Modesto/King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative, el Modesto Community Development Corporation y The Bridge no son divididos y residen en un solo distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. El distrito escolar Chatom School District es unificado en un distrito. Además, hubo limpieza en las líneas divisorias de los distritos escolares en la frontera de los Distritos Uno y Cuatro al norte, creando una frontera más clara con el Sylvan Union School District y Stanislaus Union School District. El Distrito Cuatro cambia significativamente al moverlo atravesando el Rio Tuolumne y tomando la Ciudad de Ceres entera, permitiendo que Ceres sea contenido en un solo distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. Newman, Patterson, Westley, y Grayson permanecen en un solo distrito tomando en cuenta el comentario público. Oakdale y Riverbank permanecen en un distrito. Las comunidades West Modesto (oeste de Modesto) y South Modesto (sur de Modesto) se unen parcialmente en un distrito, con respecto a comentario público significativo

Con esta opción, hubo un cambio substancial del Porcentaje de Latinos de Edad Votante (LVAP por sus siglas en Ingles) en varios distritos. El LVAP del Distrito Tres disminuyo de 40.4% a 26.3%. El LVAP del Distrito Cuatro aumento de 22.9% a 36.1% El LVAP del Distrito Cinco aumento de 55.1% a 59.1%.


El balance en población entre los distritos fue cumplido respecto a la meta de más/menos dos por ciento (2%). El cambio de LVAP entre los distritos puede causar la percepción de diluir el voto Latino en los Distritos Tres y Cuatro, y esta opción fue rechazada por esta razón.

- **Reconocimiento**

El Proyecto de Redistribución ha sido una tarea muy compleja el cual ha involucrado a una cantidad innumerable de individuos incluyendo a voluntarios comunitarios, y personal del Condado y contratistas. La mayoría han sido reconocidos en la sección II de este reporte. Hay un número de otros individuos y organizaciones las cuales han apoyado este esfuerzo. Kelly Rodriguez de la Oficina del Director Ejecutivo (Chief Executive Office) y Sandy Hopp de la Meza Directiva del Condado de Stanislaus han proveido gran asistencia con apoyo logístico y organizacional. Karin Mac Donald y Ana Henderson de

Q2 Data and Research proveyeron asistencia técnica y guianza vital. Toda la dibujacion de las líneas para el proyecto fue conducida por miembros del personal Peou Khiek y Aaron Harris del Departamento de Obras Publicas del Condado de Stanislaus con apoyo de Diane Rodriques del Departamento de Planos y Desarrollo Comunitario. Un agradecimiento especial va a las Ciudades de Turlock, Riverbank, Patterson, y Modesto por su apoyo con los lugares para las reuniones públicas. Las gracias son extendidas al Salida Fire Protection District por el uso de la Estación de Bomberos #1 para la reunión pública en Salida. La Oficina de Educación del Condado de Stanislaus también proveyó los lugares para la reunión pública el 20 de junio y se agradece mucho.

Stanislaus County Redistricting 2011 Option 2 - Alternative




Target Population for New Districts 102,891

District	Total Population	Over/ Under	% Over/ Under
District 1	101,712	-1178	-1.14%
District 2	102,232	-658	-0.64%
District 3	103,611	721	0.7%
District 4	101,971	-919	-0.89%
District 5	104,927	2037	1.98%

Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting Option 2 Alternative

Provided at the
Public Hearing




Legend

- Current Supervisorial District
- Option 2 Alternative**
 - District 1
 - District 2
 - District 3
 - District 4
 - District 5

Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting Option 2 Alternative

Provided at the
Public Hearing



**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
STANISLAUS COUNTY
SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, at 6:35 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA, to open the hearing regarding discussion of the supervisorial redistricting boundaries.


ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m., a second hearing will be conducted to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the supervisorial districts in accordance with the redistricting plan. Two draft maps for Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting can be located on the Stanislaus County website at www.stancounty.com/redistricting.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. For further information, contact Richard Robinson or David Jones, Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office, (209) 525-6333 or at 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATED: July 28, 2011

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk
of the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Stanislaus,
State of California

BY: 
Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

**AVISO DE AUDICIÓN PÚBLICA
CONDADO DE STANISLAUS
REDISTRATACIÓN SUPERVISORAL**

SE DA AVISO POR ESTE MEDIO que el día 16 de agosto del 2011, a las 6:35 p.m., o lo más temprano de allí en adelante en que se escuche el asunto, el Consejo del Condado de Stanislaus se reunirá en el despacho de juntas públicas en el 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA para abrir la audición referente a la discusión de la redistribución supervisorial.

SE DA AVISO ADICIONAL que el 23 de agosto del 2011, a las 9:05 a.m. una segunda audición publica será conducida para introducir y retirar la primera lectura de una ordenanza ajustando las líneas divisorias de los distritos supervisoriales de acuerdo con el plan de redistribución. Dos mapas preliminares para la Redistribución del Condado de Stanislaus pueden ser localizados en la página de internet www.stancounty.com/redistricting.

SE DA AVISO MAS ALLA que a la dicha hora y lugar, se le dará la oportunidad de ser escuchadas a las personas interesadas. Para más información comuníquese con Sandra Regalo en la Oficina Ejecutiva del Condado de Stanislaus al (209) 525-6333 o Juan Gonzalez al (209) 525-6330, o en el 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto CA.

POR LA ORDEN DEL CONSEJO

FECHA: 28 de julio del 2011

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk
of the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Stanislaus,
State of California

BY:


Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

Affidavit of Publication

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
County of Stanislaus }ss.

VICKIFRAGO

Here-un-to being first duly sworn, deposes and says that all time hereinafter mentioned he/she was a citizen of the United States over the age of twenty-one (21) years, and doing business in said county, not interested in the matter of the attached publication, and is competent to testify in said matter, that he/she was at and during all said time the principal clerk to the printer and publisher of the
HUGHSONCHRONICLE

a legal newspaper of general circulation published weekly in Hughson in said County of Stanislaus, State of California: that said
HUGHSONCHRONICLE

is and was at all times herein mentioned, a newspaper of general circulation as that term is defined by Section 6000 of the Government Code, and as provided by said section and so adjudicated by Decree No. 41926 by the Superior Court of Stanislaus County, State of California, is published for the dissemination of local and telegraphic news and intelligence of a general character, have a bonafide subscription list of paying subscribers, and is not devoted to the interest, or published for the entertainment or instruction of a particular class, profession, trade, calling, race or denomination: or for the entertainment and instruction of any number of such classes, professions, trades, callings, races or denominations: that at all times said newspaper has been established, in Hughson; in said County and State, at regular intervals for more than one year preceding the first publication of the notice herein mentioned, that said notice was set in type not smaller than nonpareil and was preceded with words printed in blackface type not smaller than nonpareil, describing and expressing in general terms, the purport and character of the notice intended to be given

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

August 2, 2011


of which named annexed is a printed copy, was published and printed in said

HUGHSON CHRONICLE

at least 1 time, commencing on the 2nd day of August 2011 and ending on the the 2nd day of August 2011 the days inclusive, and as often during said time as said newspaper was regularly issued, to wit:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 2nd day of August 2011.



PRINCIPAL CLERK OF THE PRINTER

Hughson Chronicle

Legal # 5265

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING STANISLAUS COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, at 6:35 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA, to open the

hearing regarding discussion of the supervisorial redistricting boundaries. ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m., a second hearing will be conducted to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the supervisorial districts in accordance with the redistricting plan. Two draft maps for Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting can be lo-

cated on the Stanislaus County website at www.stancounty.com/redistricting.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. For further information, contact Richard Robinson or David Jones, Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office, (209) 525-6333 or at 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800,

Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATED: July 28, 2011

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO

TALLMAN, Clerk

of the Board of Supervisors

of the County of Stanislaus,

State of California

BY: Elizabeth A.

King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

Publication Date: 8-2-11

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
2011 AUG - 5 | A | 9: 28 |

Affidavit of Publication

Stan. Co. Board of Supervisors
1010 10th St. Ste. 6700
Modesto, Ca 95354

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

Public Hearing
CC #05-74

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
County of Stanislaus } ss.

Lisa Gonzalez

of the said County, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

THAT I am and at all times herein mentioned was a citizen of the United States, over the age of twenty-one, and that I am not a party to, nor interested in the above entitled matter; that I am the clerk of the Ceres Courier, a newspaper of general circulation, published in the City of Ceres, County of Stanislaus, and which newspaper is published for the dissemination of local news and intelligence of general character, and which newspaper at all times herein mentioned had and still has a bona fide subscription list of paying subscribers, and which newspaper has been established and published at regular intervals in the said City of Ceres, County of Stanislaus, for a period of publication of the notice hereinafter referred to; and which newspaper is devoted to nor published for the interests; entertainment, or instruction of a particular class, profession, trade, calling, race or denomination, or any number of the same, that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy and which is hereby made a part if this affidavit, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplemental thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

8-3-11

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING STANISLAUS COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, at 6:35 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA, to open the hearing regarding discussion of the supervisorial redistricting boundaries.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m., a second hearing will be conducted to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the supervisorial districts in accordance with the redistricting plan. Two draft maps for Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting can be located on the Stanislaus County website at www.stan-county.com/redistricting.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. For further information, contact Richard Robinson or David Jones, Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office, (209) 525-6333 or at 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATED: July 28, 2011

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk
of the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Stanislaus,
State of California

BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board
CC#05-74

Lisa Gonzalez

PRINCIPAL CLERK OF THE PRINTER

The Ceres Courier

P.O. BOX 7
CERES, CALIFORNIA, 95307
SUPERIOR COURT DECREE NO. 42498

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Ceres, California this 3rd day of August, 2011

Affidavit of Publication

PUBLIC NOTICE
Notice Public Hearing
Stanislaus County Superiserial redistricting

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

PUBLIC NOTICE
Notice Public Hearing
Stanislaus County Superiserial redistricting

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
County of Stanislaus

} ss

LISA FREITAS

of the said County, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

THAT she is and at all times herein mentioned was a citizen of the United States, over the age of twenty-one years, and that she is not a party to, nor interested in the above entitled matter; that she is the Legal Clerk of the Turlock Daily Journal, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the City of Turlock, County of Stanislaus, and which newspaper is published for the dissemination of local news and intelligence of a general character, and which newspaper at all times herein mentioned had and still has a bona fide subscription list of paying subscribers, and which newspaper has been established and published at regular intervals in the said City of Turlock, County of Stanislaus, for a period exceeding one year next preceding the date of publication of the notice hereinafter referred to; and which newspaper is not devoted to nor published for the interests, entertainment, or instruction of a particular class, profession, trade, calling, race or denomination, or any number of same; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy and which is hereby made a part of this affidavit, has been published in each regular

AUGUST 3, 2011

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct 3RD Day of AUGUST 2011

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING STANISLAUS COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, at 6:35 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA, to open the hearing regarding discussion of the supervisorial redistricting boundaries.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m., a second hearing will be conducted to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the supervisorial districts in accordance with the redistricting plan. Two draft maps for Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting can be located on the Stanislaus County website at www.stan-county.com/redistricting.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. For further information, contact Richard Robinson or David Jones, Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office, (209) 525-6333 or at 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATED: July 28, 2011

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California

BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board
TJ#11-00



PRINCIPAL CLERK OF THE PRINTER

PROOF OF PUBLICATION
(2015.5 C. C. P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

County of Stanislaus

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county aforesaid; I am over the age of twenty-one years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of THE OAKDALE LEADER, 122 South Third Avenue, Oakdale, California, a newspaper of general circulation, published in Oakdale, California in the City of Oakdale, County of Stanislaus, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation, by the Superior Court of the County of Stanislaus, State of California. That the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

August 3

all in the year 2011.

I certify or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Oakdale, California,

this day, 3RD of August 2011.



Signature

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

Proof of Publication of

PUBLIC HEARING

SUPERVISORIAL
REDISTRICTING

PUBLIC NOTICE

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
STANISLAUS COUNTY
SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, at 6:35 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA, to open the hearing regarding discussion of the supervisorial redistricting boundaries.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m., a second hearing will be conducted to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the supervisorial districts in accordance with the redistricting plan. Two draft maps for Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting can be located on the Stanislaus County website at www.stancounty.com/redistricting.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. For further information, contact Richard Robinson or David Jones, Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office, (209) 525-6333 or at 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATED: July 28, 2011

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

of the Board of Supervisors

of the County of Stanislaus,

State of California

BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

AUGUST 3, 2011

RN#11-286

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
2011 AUG - 5 | A 9: 29 |

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C. C. P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

County of Stanislaus

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the county aforesaid; I am over the age of twenty-one years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of THE RIVERBANK NEWS, 122 South Third Ave, Oakdale, California, a newspaper of general circulation, published in Riverbank, California in the City of Riverbank, County of Stanislaus, and which newspaper has been adjudged a Newspaper of general circulation, by the Superior Court of the County of Stanislaus, State of California. That the Notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

August 3

All in the year 2011.

I certify or declare) under penalty of perjury that the Foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Riverbank,

California, this 3RD day of August 2011.



Signature

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2011 AUG - 5 1 A 9: 29

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

Proof of Publication of

PUBLIC HEARING

SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING

PUBLIC NOTICE

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING STANISLAUS COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, at 6:35 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA, to open the hearing regarding discussion of the supervisorial redistricting boundaries.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m., a second hearing will be conducted to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the supervisorial districts in accordance with the redistricting plan. Two draft maps for Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting can be located on the Stanislaus County website at www.stancounty.com/redistricting.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. For further information, contact Richard Robinson or David Jones, Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office, (209) 525-6333 or at 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATED: July 28, 2011

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

of the Board of Supervisors

of the County of Stanislaus,

State of California

BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

AUGUST 3, 2011

RN#11-286

8/16/11

Affidavit of Publication

STATE OF CALIFORNIA }
County of Stanislaus }ss.

VICKIFRAGO

Here-un-to being first duly sworn, deposes and says that all time hereinafter mentioned he/she was a citizen of the United States over the age of twenty-one (21) years, and doing business in said county, not interested in the matter of the attached publication, and is competent to testify in said matter, that he/she was at and during all said time the principal clerk to the printer and publisher of the

WATERFORDNEWS

a legal newspaper of general circulation published weekly in Waterford in said County of Stanislaus, State of California: that said

WATERFORDNEWS

is and was at all times herein mentioned, a newspaper of general circulation as that term is defined by Section 6000 of the Government Code, and as provided by said section and so adjudicated by Decree No. 41155 by the Superior Court of Stanislaus County, State of California, is published for the dissemination of local and telegraphic news and intelligence of a general character, have a bonafide subscription list of paying subscribers, and is not devoted to the interest, or published for the entertainment or instruction of a particular class, profession, trade, calling, race or denomination: or for the entertainment and instruction of any number of such classes, professions, trades, callings, races or denominations: that at all times said newspaper has been established, in Waterford; in said County and State, at regular intervals for more than one year preceding the first publication of the notice herein mentioned, that said notice was set in type not smaller than nonpareil and was preceded with words printed in blackface type not smaller than nonpareil, describing and expressing in general terms, the purport and character of the notice intended to be given

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING


August 2, 2011

of which named annexed is a printed copy, was published and printed in said

WATERFORDNEWS

at least 1 time, commencing on the 2nd day of August 2011 and ending on the 2nd day of August 2011 the days inclusive, and as often during said time as said newspaper was regularly issued, to wit:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
Dated this 2nd day of August 2011.



PRINCIPAL CLERK OF THE PRINTER

Legal # 5284

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

STANISLAUS COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, at 6:35 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA, to open the hearing regarding discussion of the supervisorial redistricting boundaries.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m., a second hearing will be conducted to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the supervisorial districts in accordance with the redistricting plan. Two draft maps for Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting can be located on the Stanislaus County website at www.stancounty.com/redistricting.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. For further information, contact Richard Robinson or David Jones, Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office, (209) 525-6333 or at 1010 10th Street, Suite 600, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATED: July 28, 2011

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus,

State of California

BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

County of Stanislaus


I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the printer, foreman or principal clerk of The West Side INDEX, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published weekly in the City of Newman, County of Stanislaus, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Stanislaus, State of California, under the date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 46882; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

August 4

all in the year 2011.

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Newman, California, this 4th day of August, 2011.



Signature

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing Stamp

Proof of Publication of
Stanislaus County
Notice of Public Hearing
Supervisory Redistricting Boundaries

PUBLIC NOTICE • PUBLIC NOTICE

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING STANISLAUS COUNTY SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, at 6:35 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA, to open the hearing regarding discussion of the supervisory redistricting boundaries.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m., a second hearing will be conducted to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the supervisory districts in accordance with the redistricting plan. Two draft maps for Stanislaus County Supervisory Redistricting can be located on the Stanislaus County website at www.stan-county.com/redistricting.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. For further information, contact Richard Robinson or David Jones, Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office, (209) 525-6333 or at 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATED: July 28, 2011

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk
of the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Stanislaus,
State of California

BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

PUBLISHED: Aug. 4, 2011

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

**DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION
(C.C.P. S2015.5)**

**COUNTY OF STANISLAUS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident Of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of Eighteen years, and not a party to or interested In the above entitle matter. I am a printer and Principal clerk of the publisher of **THE MODESTO BEE**, printed in the City of **MODESTO**, County of **STANISLAUS**, State of California, daily, for which said newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of **STANISLAUS**, State of California, Under the date of **February 25, 1951, Action No. 46453**; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each issue there of on the following dates, to wit:

Aug 06, 2011

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury That the foregoing is true and correct and that This declaration was executed at

MODESTO, California on

September 8th, 2011

(By Electronic Facsimile Signature)

Marie Hickman

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
STANISLAUS COUNTY
SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, at 6:35 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA, to open the hearing regarding discussion of the supervisorial redistricting boundaries. ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m., a second hearing will be conducted to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the supervisorial districts in accordance with the redistricting plan. Two draft maps for Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting can be located on the Stanislaus County website at www.stancounty.com/redistricting. NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. For further information, contact Richard Robinson or David Jones, Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office, (209) 525-6333 or at 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto, CA. BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. DATED: July 28, 2011. ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California. BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

AVISO DE AUDICIÓN PÚBLICA
CONDADO DE STANISLAUS
REDISTRATACIÓN SUPERVISORAL

SE DA AVISO POR ESTE MEDIO que el día 16 de agosto del 2011, a las 6:35 p.m., o lo más temprano de allí en adelante en que se escuche el asunto, el Consejo del Condado de Stanislaus se reunirá en el despacho de juntas públicas en el 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA para abrir la audición referente a la discusión de la redistratación supervisorial.

SE DA AVISO ADICIONAL que el 23 de agosto del 2011, a las 9:05 a.m. una segunda audición pública será conducida para introducir y retirar la primera lectura de una ordenanza ajustando las líneas divisorias de los distritos supervisoriales de acuerdo con el plan de redistratación. Dos mapas preliminares para la Redistratación del Condado de Stanislaus pueden ser localizados en la página de internet www.stancounty.com/redistricting.

SE DA AVISO MAS ALLA que a la dicha hora y lugar, se le dará la oportunidad de ser escuchadas a las personas interesadas. Para más información comuniquese con Sandra Regalo en la Oficina Ejecutiva del Condado de Stanislaus al (209) 525-6333 o Juan Gonzalez al (209) 525-6330, o en el 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto CA.

POR LA ORDEN DEL CONSEJO. FECHA: 28 de julio del 2011. ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California. BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board

Pub Dates August 6, 2011

PROOF OF PUBLICATION
(2015.5 C.C.P)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
County of Stanislaus

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above-entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer of the Patterson Irrigator, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published once a week on Thursdays, in the city of Patterson, California, County of Stanislaus, and which newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court, of the County of Stanislaus, State of California, under the date of June 23, 1952, Case Number 47304; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy (set in type not smaller than nonpareil), has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

8/4

all in the year 2011

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated at Patterson, California, this 4
day of August, 2011



Signature

This space is for the County Clerk's Filing stamp

Proof of Publication of

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
STANISLAUS COUNTY
SUPERVISORIAL REDISTRICTING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on Tuesday, August 16, 2011, at 6:35 p.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA, to open the hearing regarding discussion of the supervisorial redistricting boundaries.

ADDITIONAL NOTICE IS GIVEN that on August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m., a second hearing will be conducted to introduce and waive the first reading of an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the supervisorial districts in accordance with the redistricting plan. Two draft maps for Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting can be located on the Stanislaus County website at www.stancounty.com/redistricting.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. For further information, contact Richard Robinson or David Jones, Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office, (209) 525-6333 or at 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATED: July 28, 2011

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO
TALLMAN, Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors
of the County of Stanislaus,
State of California

BY: Elizabeth A. King,
Assistant Clerk of the
Board

8/4/11

**DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION
(C.C.P. S2015.5)**

**COUNTY OF STANISLAUS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am a printer and principal clerk of the publisher of **THE MODESTO BEE**, which has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of **STANISLAUS**, State of California, under the date of **February 25, 1951, Action No. 46453**. The notice of which the annexed is a printed copy has been published in each issue thereof on the following dates, to wit:

**Vida En El Valle Publication
AUGUST 3, 2011**

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed at **MODESTO, California** on

AUGUST 3, 2011



(Signature)

**AVISO DE AUDICIÓN PÚBLICA
CONDADO DE STANISLAUS
REDISTRATACIÓN SUPERVISORAL**

SE DA AVISO POR ESTE MEDIO que el día 16 de agosto del 2011, a las 6:35 p.m., o lo más temprano de allí en adelante en que se escuche el asunto, el Consejo del Condado de Stanislaus se reunirá en el despacho de Juntas Públicas en el 1010 10th Street, Modesto, CA para abrir la audiencia referente a la discusión de la redistratación supervisorial.

SE DA AVISO ADICIONAL que el 23 de agosto del 2011, a las 9:05 a.m. una segunda audiencia pública será conducida para introducir y retirar la primera lectura de una ordenanza ajustando las líneas divisorias de los distritos supervisoriales de acuerdo con el plan de redistratación. Dos mapas preliminares para la Redistratación del Condado de Stanislaus pueden ser localizados en la página de internet www.stancounty.com/redistricting.

SE DA AVISO MAS ALLA que a la dicha hora y lugar, se le dará la oportunidad de ser escuchadas a las personas interesadas. Para más información comuníquese con Sandra Ragalo en la Oficina Ejecutiva del Condado de Stanislaus al (209) 525-6333 o Juan Gonzalez al (209) 525-6330, o en el 1010 10th Street, Suite 6800, Modesto CA. POR LA ORDEN DEL CONSEJO. FECHA: 28 de Julio del 2011.

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN,
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California. BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk of the Board. v03108

County of Stanislaus

2011 Supervisorial Redistricting Project

August 16, 2011



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

Project Inception

- January 2011 – Chief Executive Officer began meeting with staff to create outline and plan for redistricting project
- April 5, 2011 – Board of Supervisors approves formation of Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee
- Citizen applications received from April 6 through April 20, 2011



Project Inception

- April 26, 2011 Board of Supervisors actions
 - Approved Redistricting Charter
 - Approved Q2 Data and Research, LLC as consulting firm for redistricting
 - Selected Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Committee members



Project Charter

- Charter included
 - Project scope
 - Deadlines
 - Data preparation standards
 - Budget
 - Goals



Project Charter

- Charter included
 - Project deliverables
 - Elections timeframe
 - Committee and team membership
 - Roles and responsibilities
 - Various internal plans (quality, risk and scope management)



Steering Committee

Richard W. Robinson, CEO

John Doering, County Counsel

Dave Cogdill, County Assessor

Lee Lundrigan, Clerk Recorder/Registrar of Voters

Matt Machado, Public Works Director

Kirk Ford, Dir. of Planning and Community Development

Marcia Cunningham, Director of Strategic Bus. Technology

Sandy Regalo, Associate Management Consultant, CEO

Mark Loeser, Associate Management Consultant, CEO

David Jones, Senior Management Consultant, CEO



Project Team

Patrick Cavanah, Clerk Recorder/Registrar of Voters

Juan Gonzalez, Planning and Community Development

Aron Harris, Public Works

Diane Haugh, Public Works

Sandy Hopp, Board of Supervisors

David Jones, Senior Management Consultant, CEO

Peou Khiek, Public Works



Project Team

Mark Loeser, Associate Management Consultant, CEO

Don Oppman, Assessor's Office

Sandy Regalo, Associate Management Consultant, CEO

Diane Rodrigues, Planning and Community Development

Aaron Rosa, Clerk Recorder/Registrar of Voters

Debbie Siebrecht, Strategic Business Technology

Dean Wright, County Counsel's Office



Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee

- May 3, 2011 Board of Supervisors
Approved the Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting
Advisory Committee membership

District One:

Reg Blair Smith

Cynthia Thomlison

District Two:

Sharon Silva

Dorinda Soiseth



Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee

District Three:

John Ervin III
Maggie Mejia

District Four:

Luis Enrique Bustamante
Louis Friedman

District Five:

Kenny Buehner
William J. Parks

At Large:

Donald Ulrich



Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee

**Chairperson
Sharon Silva**

**Vice-Chairperson
Louis Friedman**



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

Philosophy of Transparency & Public Involvement

- Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee
- County web-based resources at stancounty.com/redistricting
- 1304 visitors to redistricting website with more than 2900 page views (thru July)



Philosophy of Transparency & Public Involvement

- Increased public meetings (7 total)
- Email updates
- Public review of redistricting options



Legal Requirements

- Board of Supervisors required to adjust supervisorial district boundaries every 10-years
- Each district to be as nearly in population as may be
- One person, one vote



Legal Requirements

- US Constitution and Federal law determine some criteria
- CA Elections Code Section 21500 adds other criteria
- Use the U.S. Census as a basis (note – census blocks can be oddly shaped)
- American Community Survey not required



Legal Requirements

Voting Rights Act

- Can you create a majority/minority district
- 65% Latino Voting Age Population
- Unable to draw majority/minority district



State Elections Code 21500

Section 21500 includes:

- Board of Supervisors shall hold one public hearing
- Criteria that may be given consideration for redistricting
- Timeframes for completion
- Process to use



State Elections Code 21500


Topography & Geography

- Topography refers to the shape or configuration of the land
- Geography refers to the physical features of the land
- These criteria give guidance when adjusting boundary lines



State Elections Code 21500

Topography & Geography



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

State Elections Code 21500

Cohesiveness & Integrity

- Cohesiveness: the sum of all parts working together
- Integrity: a group of people or a geographic area with commonalities remaining whole and undivided
- Criteria refer to a district “making sense”




State Elections Code 21500

Contiguity

- Definition: A district in which all parts are connected to each other
- A district in which one may travel from any location to any other location without crossing the district boundary




State Elections Code 21500



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

State Elections Code 21500



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

State Elections Code 21500


Compactness

- Addresses the geography of the district
- Many different measures developed
- “eyeball approach” “appearances do matter”
- Assumed to “guard against all types of gerrymandering” “drastic departures from compactness are a signal that something may be amiss”



State Elections Code 21500

Compactness



Circle is the Most Compact Shape



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

State Elections Code 21500

Community of Interest (COI)

- A Group of people with specific common interests (“actual shared interests” Miller vs. Johnson, 1995)
- Consideration given to effective and fair representation
- COI must answer some questions



State Elections Code 21500

Community of Interest (COI)

- Cities or other local jurisdictions
- Can be defined geographically
- Areas such as schools, school districts, transportation hubs, community centers - with consideration of language, cultural considerations, redevelopment, etc.



State Elections Code 21500

Community of Interest (COI)

- If race/ethnicity are raised, it may summarize:
 - Shared experiences
 - Access (or lack of) to education
 - Higher number of kids per household
 - Younger overall population



State Elections Code 21500


Community of Interest (COI)

- You need to document – burden of proof
- Create a map of boundaries
- What is the mission
- What is different outside your boundaries
- Why should COI be kept whole



State Elections Code 21500

Community
of Interest



**Empire Municipal
Advisory Council**



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

US Census Statistics

- Stanislaus County population increased to 514,453 in 2010 census. Up from 446,998 in 2000 census. 15.1% increase
- Hispanic or Latino origin listed as 41.9% of total population in 2010, up from 31.7% in 2000 census



Population Statistics

Stanislaus County Total Population in 2010

Census: **514,453**

Ideal population per district:

102,891 (+/- 2%)



Population Statistics

Ideal Population 102,891

	2010 Census Population	Target Population	Population over/under	Percentage over/under
District One	113,756	102,891	+ 10,865	+ 9.55%
District Two	110,722	102,891	+ 7,831	+ 7.07%
District Three	91,713	102,891	- 11,178	- 12.19%
District Four	91,922	102,891	- 10,969	- 11.93%
District Five	106,340	102,891	+ 3,449	+ 3.24%

24% spread in existing districts



Committee Work

- Calendar of meetings created
- Steering and Ad Hoc Committees met separately, but invited to other's meetings
- Orientation and training for all Committee and Project Team members



Committee Work

- Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee selects Chairperson Sharon Silva and Vice Chairperson Louis Friedman
- Committees are appraised of work leading up to public meetings
- Six public meetings scheduled during June
- Public meetings to acquire community of interest information and other comment



Public Meetings

Public meeting notice given by:

- News releases & newspaper articles
- Email rosters
- Newspaper ads (English/Spanish in eight newspapers; 21 ads in Modesto Bee)
- Electronic sign board
- Special presentations



Public Meetings

Public meetings held 6 – 8 p.m.

June 13: Turlock City Hall

June 14: Riverbank Scout Hall

June 15: Patterson City Hall

June 28: Modesto Senior Center

June 29: Modesto – King Kennedy Center

June 30: Salida – Fire Station #1



Public Meetings

- Information handouts and public comment forms available in English and Spanish
- Spanish translation available at each meeting for public comment
- Basic instruction on redistricting provided
- Public comment received



Public Meetings

- Redistricting Steering Committee, Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee and Project Team members attend public meetings
- 50 attendees at six June meetings
- 23 public comments received during the June meetings



Public Meetings

- All meeting comments are tracked in several forms; electronic notes typed from verbal comment, filled out public comment forms and maps drawn for COIs
- Three additional comments received via email to the County's redistricting email address
- All comments logged in GIS System



Mapping Process

- Mapping process began after June public meetings
- All line drawing done by County staff
- Redistricting Steering Committee and Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee agree to meet jointly to draw draft maps
- Two draft maps completed



Mapping Process

- Two additional draft maps drawn and rejected
- Two draft maps released publicly for review on July 14. Maps are called Draft Option 1 and Draft Option 2
- Rejected options are also released publicly on July 14. Options are called Rejected Option A and Rejected Option B



Public Meeting

- Public meeting conducted on July 20
- Nine attendees and three comments taken
- Informational handouts and public comment forms available in English and Spanish
- Spanish translation available at meeting for public comment
- Basic instruction on redistricting provided



Public Comment Summary

- Total of 59 people attended all seven public meetings
- A total of 26 comments received at all seven public meetings
- A total of three comments received via email
- A grand total of 29 comments



Mapping Process

- Redistricting Steering Committee and Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee met to consider public comment and make no changes to map Draft Option 1 and Draft Option 2
- Committees considered and rejected one additional option called “Rejected Option C”



Mapping Process

- Committees voted to approve the release of Option 1 and Option 2 as the recommended options for the Board of Supervisors
- Final recommended options were released publicly for review on August 1
- Additional rejected option called “Rejected Option C” was also released publicly on August 1



Mapping Process

- Additional review of Option 1 and Option 2 revealed opportunities for refinement
- Option 2 Alternative was created by staff then reviewed and recommended by Redistricting Steering Committee and Ad Hoc Citizen Redistricting Advisory Committee on August 11, 2011




Rejected Option A


Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting Rejected Option "A"

This option was able to unite all of Chatham School District with the Turlock School District in one Supervisor District. This was viewed favorably. Challenges to this option were primarily a result of splitting the South Modesto Municipal Advisory Council. This was considered highly unfavorable and caused this option to be rejected.

MAC split



Rejected Option A




Rejected Option B

Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting Rejected Option "B"

This option was able to unite all of Chatham School District with the Turlock School District in one Supervisor District. This was viewed favorably. All of Ceres and the South Modesto Municipal Advisory Council was unified under one Supervisor. Significant changes were made to District One which would span from the northern to the southern County border. District Two became more geographically compact, while District Three expanded geographically. The towns of Grayson and Westley were moved into District Three. Removing the towns of Grayson and Westley from the West Side community of interest was considered to be highly unfavorable and caused this option to be rejected.

West Side split




Rejected Option C


Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting Rejected Option "C"

This option was able to unite all of Chatham School District with the Turlock School District in one Supervisor District. All of Ceres was unified under one Supervisor. Multiple community of interests were maintained including the West Modesto/King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative and the Modesto Community Development Corporation. Oakdale and Riverbank remained in one district as did Turlock, Denair and Keyes. Newman, Patterson, Westley and Grayson also remained in one district. There was a substantial shift of Latino Voting Age Percentage (LVAP) in several districts in this option. The shift of LVAP could cause the perception of diluting the Latino vote in Districts Three and Four and the proposal was rejected.

LVAP changes



Rejected Option C



Recommended Options

Option 1

Option 2

Option 2 - Alternative



Recommended Option 1


OPTION ONE:

Ideal Population 102,891

District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5
103,000	102,284	103,888	102,764	102,517
+ .1%	- .58%	+ .96%	- .12%	- .36%




Option 1




Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

Option 1

North section




Option 1




South section



Option 1




Option 1



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

Option 1 COIs



Option 1 pdf

[View PDF](#)



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

Recommended Option 2


OPTION TWO:

Ideal Population 102,891

District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5
102,709	102,880	103,611	102,052	104,201
- 1.14%	- .01%	+ .69%	- .81%	+ 1.27%




Option 2




Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

Option 2

North section




Option 2




South section




Option 2



Option 2



Option 2 COIs



Option 2 pdf

[View PDF](#)



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011


Recommended Option 2 Alternative

OPTION TWO - Alternative: Ideal Population 102,891

District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5
101,712	102,232	103,611	101,971	104,927
- 1.14%	- .64%	+ .7%	- .89%	+ 1.98%




Option 2 - Alternative




Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

Option 2 - Alternative

North section




Option 2 - Alternative



South section




Option 2 - Alternative




East section




Option 2 - Alternative



Option 2 – Alt. COIs



Option 2 – Alt. COIs



Option 2 Alternative pdf

[View PDF](#)



Stanislaus County Supervisorial Redistricting 2011

Staff Recommendations

1. Approve and authorize the Board to conduct a 6:35 p.m. public hearing on August 16, 2011, to receive input and comment regarding supervisorial redistricting



Staff Recommendations

2. Approve and authorize the Board to set a public hearing for August 23, 2011, at 9:05 a.m. for the introduction, waiving of the first reading, and approving an ordinance adjusting the boundaries of the Supervisorial districts in accordance with the adopted redistricting plan.

