## THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

ARY
BOARD AGENDA #_*D-1
AGENDA DATE August 31, 2010
4/5 Vote Required YES ☐ NO ■
2010 Draft Consolidated Annual Performance nt Block Grant (CDBG) and Emergency Shelter ember 21, 2010 and Set a Public Hearing on the CDBG Fiscal Year 2009-2010 CAPER
ft Consolidated Annual Performance ck Grant and Emergency Shelter Grant 2010.
I. to consider adoption of the Community blidated Annual Performance Evaluation.
ution of the Consolidated Annual Performance nent Block Grant Budget. There is no fiscal y CDBG Consortium received an allocation of activities.
No. 2010-551
ded by Supervisor Monteith  Chairman Grover

ATTEST:

CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

File No.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

Whenever a jurisdiction participates in the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) or Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Programs, a Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report (CAPER) must be prepared and made available for a minimum fifteen-day public review period and submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Federal regulations require a public hearing be held to solicit comments relative to the implementation and performance of the jurisdiction's Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plans. Attachment "1" consists of the Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Draft CAPER prepared for public review.

The CAPER consists of a number of discussion points which describe the outcomes of the CDBG and ESG Programs for the previous fiscal year. These discussion items include the accomplishments of the jurisdictions participating in the Stanislaus County CDBG Consortium for Fiscal Year 2009-2010, as well as a summary of accomplishments for the non-profit agencies that were awarded public services grants from both the CDBG and ESG programs. A portion of the discussion includes an assessment of the five-year objectives of the Stanislaus County 2007-2012 Consolidated Plan and the activities for Fiscal Year 2009-2010. The latter portion of the discussion consists of general HUD reporting requirements.

The Stanislaus County CDBG Consortium, consisting of the cities of Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, Waterford, and the County unincorporated areas, received a \$2,601,386 allocation in Fiscal Year 2009-2010 for CDBG and ESG activities. The chart below indicates the distribution of the allocations. Any remaining funds have been carried forward to Fiscal Year 2010-2011 to be budgeted for the same or similar activity (remaining public service fund balances are carried over to the County's active Empire Infrastructure Project). The CAPER assesses the progress of each consortium member in their implementation of projects and programs listed and described in the Consolidated Plan.

Jurisdiction	Distribution		
CDBG Program			
Stanislaus County	\$	965,771	
City of Ceres	\$	267,376	
City of Newman	\$	252,742	
City of Oakdale	\$	215,074	
City of Patterson	\$	226,490	
City of Waterford	\$	240,027	
Public Service Grants	\$	244,219	
Workforce Development	\$	20,000	
MAC Revitalization Strategy	\$	20,000	

Fair Housing Program	\$ 40,000
CDBG Subtotal	\$ 2,491,699
ESG Program	\$ 109,687
Consortium Allocation Total	\$ 2,601,386

#### **Stanislaus County**

The major project underway during Fiscal Year 2009-2010 for Stanislaus County was the Empire Infrastructure Project Phase 1A which is slated for completion in the first quarter of Fiscal Year 2010-2011. The Empire Infrastructure Project consists of installing curb, gutters, handicap returns, new street sections; a storm drain collection system and a self-contained underground french drain in the community of Empire. Phase 1A encompasses the area generally bounded on the south by Highway 132; on the west by E Street; on the east by I Street; and, on the north by 2<sup>nd</sup> Street and Center Avenue. CDGB funds were also utilized to serve over 55,000 individuals with public services, including 780 individuals with technology training to provide them with computer literacy skills to further their job opportunities. Finally, fair housing issues were addressed through the services provided by Project Sentinel.

#### Ceres

The City of Ceres began construction to its 5<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> Street Infrastructure Project, consisting of curb, gutter, and sidewalks. Project construction is scheduled to conclude by the end of August 2010.

#### Newman

The City of Newman completed the construction of Phase I of the PQRST Streets, Fresno, Merced, & West Avenue Infrastructure Project. Phase I consisted of the installation curb, gutter, and sidewalks on Fresno Street, from T to West Ave; Merced Street, from T to West Ave; West Avenue, from Fresno to Merced Streets.

The City completed construction on a second project: Fresno/T Street Infrastructure Project. This project consisted of the installation of curb, gutter, and sidewalk in the residential area of Fresno Street bounded by R Street to the east and T Street to the west.

The City also concluded construction of the Pioneer Park Phase II Improvement Project. This project consisted of demolition/construction of the picnic shelter, concrete slab, new concrete way, and electrical improvements.

The City of Newman also utilized CDBG funds to provide computer literacy training to low income residents within the City and surrounding areas.

#### **Oakdale**

In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the City of Oakdale completed construction of the Oak Avenue Infrastructure Project. This project consisted of water and sewer main replacement, installation of sidewalks, curb, gutter, storm drain, American with Disabilities Act (ADA) ramps, and street overlay.

The City of Oakdale also utilized CDBG funds to provide computer literacy training to low income residents within the City and surrounding areas.

#### **Patterson**

The City of Patterson has completed the engineering and design for the Downtown Infrastructure Project. The project was released out to bid in August 2010 and the City has identified a successful bidder. The construction phase will begin in Fiscal Year 2010-2011. Improvements will consist of replacing water main lines and installation of curb, gutter, storm drain, and street overlay once the improvements are completed.

The City of Patterson also utilized CDBG funds to provide computer literacy training to low income residents within the City and surrounding areas.

#### Waterford

The City of Waterford began construction on Phase I of the Brethren Park Rehabilitation Project. This project consisted of infrastructure improvements including sidewalks, curb, gutter, storm drainage, ADA related improvements, landscaping, and installation of an irrigation system including an irrigation well. Phase II of the project will be undertaken in Fiscal Year 2010-2011.

#### **Public Service Programs**

During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, twenty-one (21) (both CDBG and ESG) public service programs were funded to assist in reaching out to low and very low income individuals and households with essential services. Those programs were:

#### **CDBG Programs**

- Catholic Charities Provided assisted health insurance enrollment assistance to families with children ages 0-18. The program enrolled 126 children.
- Center for Human Services Provided case management services for low income individuals in the eastside communities of Oakdale, Knights Ferry, and Valley Home. Case managers provided assistance to 331 individuals.

- **Center for Human Services** Provided case management services that included health insurance enrollment, resume development, translation services, and parenting and education, among others. The program assisted 223 individuals.
- **Center for Human Services** Provided 36 low to moderate income youth from the Ceres community the opportunity to participate in the Ceres Youth Court.
- Children's Crisis Center Provided shelter and nutritious meals to 114 children at risk of abuse, neglect, or homelessness in Ceres.
- Children's Crisis Center Provided shelter and nutritious meals to 200 children at risk of abuse, neglect, or homelessness in Oakdale
- **Disability Resource Agency for Independent Living (DRAIL)** Provided assistive technology equipment/devices such as wheel chair ramps and grab bars. The program assisted 28 individuals with disabilities.
- **Family Promise** Provided emergency shelter combined with case management services for homeless families. The program assisted 100 individuals.
- Habitat for Humanity Provided new energy efficient windows to 127 low to moderate income owner-occupied households.
- Healthy Aging Provided strength training classes to 295 seniors who reside in all five Consortium cities and the County.
- Healthy Start Orville Wright Provided case management services to low income residents of the Airport Neighborhood of Modesto. The program assisted 197 individuals.
- Project Sentinel Provided 529 clients with service to enforce fair housing and prevent discrimination.
- Second Harvest Food Bank Provided assistance to 49,061 individuals through its Food Assistance Program by providing food to local food pantries at discount prices.
- Stanislaus Literacy Center Provided English classes to English learners. The program assisted 40 individuals from the Oakdale area.
- The ARC/Howard Training Center Provided nutritious meal deliveries to 719 seniors, 60 years of age and older, at congregate sites or to their home.
- United Samaritans Foundation Provided nutritious lunches, five days a week, 52 weeks a year, to 1,910 very low income, low income and homeless people in the communities of Ceres and Keyes.
- We Care Provided a homeless winter shelter and supportive services to 141 homeless individuals
- West Modesto King Kennedy Collaborative Provided food and personal hygiene supplies to 100 homeless individuals of West Modesto.
- Westside Food Pantry Provided an emergency food program for 9,471 westside residents.

#### ESG Programs

- Children's Crisis Center Provided shelter and food to 170 homeless children.
- Community Housing & Shelter Services Provided homeless prevention services to 34 families (a total of 120 individuals), through rental assistance vouchers.
- Inter-Faith Ministries Redwood Family Center Provided shelter and supportive services for 117 homeless women with children.
- **We Care** Provided homeless winter shelter and supportive services to 141 homeless individuals.

#### **Partnerships**

The Consolidated Plan addresses the need for jurisdictions and other agencies to form partnerships to achieve the communities' objectives. Homeless services, work force development and neighborhood revitalization strategies are issues that have been identified in the Consolidated Plan. The CAPER discusses the progress of the programs and partnerships that have been formed to respond to those issues which are presented below:

- The cities of Modesto, Turlock, the non-profit We Care, the faith-based community (including Inter-Faith Ministries), the business communities, the Salvation Army, and the County participated in programs that address the housing needs of the homeless.
- A task force, that includes agencies and jurisdictions who are members of the Stanislaus County Housing and Support Services Collaborative (SCHSSC), have been cooperating with seven (7) non-profit organizations entering data into the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). This system provides a central location, accessible by service agencies, for individual data of homeless persons to more completely provide for their needs. This system requires technical assistance for system expansion and maintenance provided by the Housing Authority of Stanislaus County on behalf of the SCHSSC. The Housing Authority is the lead support agency for this task force and receives support from County staff to maintain data provided by participating ESG agencies. Stanislaus County is a member of the task force.
- Workforce development was also expanded into the cities of Newman, Patterson, Oakdale, and Waterford to offer computer training that offered skill acquisition necessary for individuals to be in the position to qualify for skilled jobs within the community.
- The City of Modesto, Stanislaus County, the faith-based community, residents and businesses are participating in a Weed and Seed Program (Paradise South Partnership) sponsored by the Federal Department of Justice to establish a Neighborhood Strategy to respond to the social, economic and infrastructure needs of West Modesto. This neighborhood is a combination of both city and county territory.

#### **Public Review**

As part of the public review of the Draft CAPER, which is required to be a minimum of fifteen days, staff has conducted a series of public meetings during the month of August in Modesto, Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, Waterford, and throughout the unincorporated areas of the County to solicit input from the public regarding implemented activities and projects. Some of these public meetings were conducted as part of regularly scheduled Municipal Advisory Council meetings and have occurred prior to the official release of the Draft CAPER being released as part of this agenda item. The location and times of all meetings have been advertised in both English and Spanish to the general public in the newspaper and the information is listed in the Draft CAPER.

Any comments received during the authorized public review period, and as follow-up to the public meetings discussed above, will be incorporated as part of the final document, and the CAPER will be presented to the Board of Supervisors during a public hearing for subsequent approval. Once approved, the CAPER will be submitted to HUD as required.

#### **POLICY ISSUES:**

Stanislaus County adheres to guidelines established for the successful implementation of the activities and programs listed in the Consolidated Plan. The Draft CAPER, the fifteen day review period, the public meetings, and the subsequent public hearing are consistent with established HUD policy. Further, the CDBG and ESG programs are consistent with the Board priorities of promoting a Safe Community, a Healthy Community, a Well Planned Infrastructure System and Effective Partnerships. Additionally, the programs are consistent with the Housing Element of the Stanislaus County General Plan and the Implementation Plan of the Stanislaus County Redevelopment Agency.

#### STAFFING IMPACT:

The implementation of the Federal CDBG and ESG programs utilize existing staff and there are no additional staffing impacts associated with the programs.

#### **CONTACT PERSON:**

Kirk Ford, Planning and Community Development Director. Telephone: 209-525-6330

#### **ATTACHMENTS:**

1. Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Draft Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT CONSORTIUM



# Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report CAPER

Fiscal Year 2009-2010

Prepared by the Stanislaus County Planning and Community Development Department

1010 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3400 Mødesto CA, 95354 209.525.6330











#### September 2010

#### **Stanislaus County**

#### William O'Brien District 1 District 2 Vito Chiesa District 3, Chair Jeff Grover

Dick Monteith

Jim DeMartini

District 4, Vice Chair

District 5,

#### **City of Ceres**

Mayor Anthony Canenella Vice Mayor Ken Lane

Councilmember Bret Durossette Councilmember Chris Vierra Councilmember Guillermo Ochoa

#### City of Oakdale

Mayor Farrell Jackson Councilmember Katherine Morgan Councilmember Michael Brennenan Councilmember Tom Dunlop

Councilmember Toni Hanson

#### City of Newman

Mayor Ed Katen Councilmember Robert Martina Councilmember Roberta Davis Councilmember Nicholas Candea

#### **City of Patterson**

Mayor Becky Campo Dominic Farinha Mayor Pro Tem Councilmember **Annette Smith** Councilmember Dejeune Shelton Councilmember Sam Cuellar

#### **City of Waterford**

Mayor Charlie Goeken Vice Mayor Jose Aldaco Councilmember Michael Van Winkle Councilmember Ken Krause Councilmember Joseph Day

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 4 PEPEFORMANCE & EXPERIENCE  CONSOLIDATED PLAN PROGRESS SUMMARY 5 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING 6 LEVERAGING RESOURCES 9 MANAGING THE PROCESS 12 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION (Summary of Citizen Comments) 14 INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE 16 MONITORING 17 SELF EVALUATION 17 SITUTIONAL STRUCTURE 17 CITY of Ceres 25 CITY of Newman 25 CITY of Old Newman 26 LEAD BASED PAINT 26 HOUSING 17 HOUSING 17 HOUSING 17 HOUSING ACTIONS 27 PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES 28 AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS 28 EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS 30 BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING 31 HOME (ADDI) 32 HOMELESS NEEDS 32 SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS 34 EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG) 34 HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION 41 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 50 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT 50 CITY of Newman 50 CITY of Newman 50 CITY of Newman 50 CITY of Old Care 50 CITY of Newman 50 CITY of Old Care 50 C	GENERAL	
CONSOLIDATED PLAN PROGRESS SUMMARY 5 AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING 6 LEVERAGING RESOURCES 9 MANAGING THE PROCESS 112 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION (Summary of Citizen Comments) 14 INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE 16 MONITORING 17 SELF EVALUATION 17 SELF EVALUATION 17 SELF EVALUATION 17 FIVE YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN OBJECTIVES ASSESSED 17 City of Ceres 25 City of Oakdale 25 City of Newman 25 City of Oakdale 25 City of Patterson 26 LEAD BASED PAINT 26 HOUSING 26 HOUSING 27 PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES 28 EFFORTS TO ADDRESS WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS 30 BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING 31 HOME LESS NEEDS 32 HOMELESS NEEDS 32 HOMELESS NEEDS 32 HOMELESS NEEDS 32 EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG) 34 HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION 41 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY 45 CITY of Valerford 55 CITY of Oakdale 32 CITY of Oakdale 34 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY 45 CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES 46 SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS 46 CITY of Newman 50 CITY of Oakdale 53 CITY of Patterson 54 CITY of Weberford 55 Public Service Grantees 57 CHERCAL TO THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION 51 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION 51 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY 55 CITY of Oakdale 53 CITY of Oakdale 53 CITY of Oakdale 53 CITY of Oakdale 53 CITY of Oakdale 55 CITY of Oakdale 56 CITY of Oakdale 56 CITY of Oakdale 56 CITY of Oakdale 56 CITY OF OAKDAL SING CONDINATION 66 CITY OF OAKDAL SING CONDINATION 66 CITY OF OAKDAL SING CONDINATION 66 CITY OF OAKDAL SING CONDINATION 67 C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives 67 C. Summary of Specifi	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	. 4
AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING 6 EVERAGING RESOURCES 9 MANAGING THE PROCESS 12 CITIZEN PARTICIPATION (Summary of Citizen Comments) 14 INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE 16 MONITORING 17 SELF EVALUATION 17 FIVE YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN OBJECTIVES ASSESSED 21 Stanislaus County 21 City of Ceres 25 City of Newman 25 City of Oakdale 25 City of Patterson 26 City of Patterson 26 City of Waterford 26 LEAD BASED PAINT 26 HOUSING HOUSING 37 HOUSING 41 HOUSING ACTIONS 28 PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES 28 AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS 28 AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS 28 BEFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS 30 PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY 30 BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING 31 HOME (ADDI) 32 HOMELESS NEEDS 32 SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS 34 EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG) 34 HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION 41 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY 45 CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES 46 Stanislaus County 47 City of Oakdale 53 City of Patterson 50 City of Oakdale 53 City of Oakdale 54 City of Oakdale 55 City of Oakda	PERFORMANCE & EXPERIENCE	
LEVERAGING RESOURCES         9           MANAGING THE PROCESS         12           CITIZEN PARTICIPATION (Summary of Citizen Comments)         14           INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE         16           MONITORING         17           SELF EVALUATION         19           FIVE YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN OBJECTIVES ASSESSED         21           Stanisiaus County         21           City of Ceres         25           City of Newman         25           City of Patterson         26           City of Waterford         26           LEAD BASED PAINT         26           HOUSING         27           HOUSING ACTIONS         27           PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES         28           EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS         30           PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY         30           BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING         31           HOMELESS         32           SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS         32           SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS         34           HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION         41           COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT         45           CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES         34           <	CONSOLIDATED PLAN PROGRESS SUMMARY	. 5
MANAGING THE PROCESS   12	AFFIRMATIVELY FURTHERING FAIR HOUSING	. 6
14   INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE	LEVERAGING RESOURCES	. 9
INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE		
INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE	CITIZEN PARTICIPATION (Summary of Citizen Comments)	14
SELF EVALUATION.         19           FIVE YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN OBJECTIVES ASSESSED         21           Stanislaus County.         21           City of Ceres.         25           City of Newman.         25           City of Patterson         26           City of Waterford         26           LEAD BASED PAINT         26           HOUSING         27           HOUSING ACTIONS         27           PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES         28           AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS         28           EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS         30           DUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY         30           BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING         31           HOME LESS         32           HOME LESS         32           SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS         32           SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS         34           HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION         41           COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT         45           SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS         46           Stanislaus County         47           City of Newman         50           City of Patterson         54           City of Odkade </td <td></td> <td></td>		
FIVE YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN OBJECTIVES ASSESSED   21   Stanislaus County   21   Stanislaus County   22   25   25   215 (city of Ceres   25   25   25   25   25   25   25   2	MONITORING	17
Stanislaus County	SELF EVALUATION	19
City of Newman.         25           City of Newman.         25           City of Oakdale         25           City of Patterson         26           City of Waterford         26           LEAD BASED PAINT         26           HOUSING         27           HOUSING ACTIONS.         27           PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES         28           AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS.         28           EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS.         30           BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING         31           HOME (ADDI)         32           HOME (ADDI)         32           HOMELESS         32           SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS         34           HOMELESS LITER GRANTS (ESG)         34           HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION         41           COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT         34           COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT         45           CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES         46           SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS         46           Stanislaus County         47           City of Newman.         50           City of Patterson         54           City of Waterford         55     <	FIVE YEAR CONSOLIDATED PLAN OBJECTIVES ASSESSED	21
City of Newman.         25           City of Oakdale         25           City of Patterson         26           City of Waterford         26           LEAD BASED PAINT         26           HOUSING         27           PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES         28           AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS         28           EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS         30           PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY         30           BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING         31           HOME (ADDI)         32           HOMELESS         31           HOMELESS NEEDS         32           SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS         34           EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG)         34           HOMMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION         41           COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT         45           CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES         46           SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS         46           Stanislaus County         47           City of Oakdale         53           City of Oakdale         53           City of Patterson         54           City of Waterford         55           Public Service Grantees <td< td=""><td>Stanislaus County</td><td>21</td></td<>	Stanislaus County	21
City of Patterson         25           City of Patterson         26           City of Waterford         26           LEAD BASED PAINT         26           HOUSING         27           HOUSING ACTIONS.         27           PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES         28           AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS         28           EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS.         30           PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY         30           BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING         31           HOME (ADDI)         32           HOMELESS         32           HOMELESS         32           SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS         32           EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG)         34           HOMBLESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION.         41           COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT         40           COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT         45           CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES         46           SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS         46           Stanislaus County         47           City of Newman         50           City of Patterson         54           City of Waterford         55           Public Service Grantees	City of Ceres	25
City of Patterson         26           City of Waterford         26           LEAD BASED PAINT         26           HOUSING         27           PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES         28           AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS         28           EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS         30           PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY         30           BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING         31           HOME (ADDI)         32           HOMELESS         32           SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS         34           EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG)         34           HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION         41           COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT         45           CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES         46           SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS         46           Stanislaus County.         47           City of Newman         50           City of Oakdale         53           City of Patterson         54           City of Waterford         55           Public Service Grantees         56           OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION         61           ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY         63           OT	City of Newman	25
City of Waterford       26         LEAD BASED PAINT       26         HOUSING       27         PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES       28         AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS       28         EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS       30         PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY       30         BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING       31         HOME (ADDI)       32         HOMELESS       32         HOMELESS NEEDS       32         SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS       34         HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION       41         COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT       45         CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES       46         SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS       46         Stanislaus County       47         City of Ceres       49         City of Newman       50         City of Waterford       55         City of Waterford       55         Cuty of Waterford       55         Public Service Grantees       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY       63         OTHER NARRATIVE       60         COORDINATION EFFORTS       63	City of Oakdale	25
City of Waterford       26         LEAD BASED PAINT       26         HOUSING       27         PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES       28         AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS       28         EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS       30         PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY       30         BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING       31         HOME (ADDI)       32         HOMELESS       32         HOMELESS NEEDS       32         SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS       34         HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION       41         COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT       45         CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES       46         SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS       46         Stanislaus County       47         City of Ceres       49         City of Newman       50         City of Waterford       55         City of Waterford       55         Cuty of Waterford       55         Public Service Grantees       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY       63         OTHER NARRATIVE       60         COORDINATION EFFORTS       63		
LEAD BASED PAINT       26         HOUSING       27         HOUSING ACTIONS       27         PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES       28         AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS       28         EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS       30         PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY       30         BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING       31         HOME (ADDI)       32         HOMELESS       32         HOMELESS       32         SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS       34         HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION       41         COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT       45         COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT       45         COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY       45         CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES       46         SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS       46         Stanislaus County       47         City of Ceres       49         City of Patterson       50         City of Patterson       54         City of Waterford       55         Public Service Grantees       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY       63         OTHER NARRATIVE       6		
HOUSING ACTIONS		
HOUSING ACTIONS.   27   PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES   28   AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS   28   EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS   30   PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY   30   BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING   31   HOME (ADDI)   32   HOMELESS   40   HOMELESS NEEDS   32   SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS   34   HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION   41   COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT   45   CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES   46   SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS   47   City of Ceres   49   City of Newman   50   City of Newman   50   City of Valerford   55   Public Service Grantees   56   OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION   61   ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY   63   OTHER NARRATIVE   65   A Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals   66   B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives   67   C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees   72	HOUSING	
AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS  EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS.  30 PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY.  30 BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING.  31 HOME (ADDI).  32 HOMELESS  HOMELESS  HOMELESS NEEDS.  SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS.  34 EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG).  34 HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION.  41 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT  COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT  COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY.  45 CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES.  46 SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS.  46 Stanislaus County.  47 City of Ceres.  49 City of Newman.  50 City of Oakdale 53 City of Patterson 54 City of Waterford 55 Public Service Grantees 56 OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION 61 ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY 63  OTHER NARRATIVE  COORDINATION EFFORTS. 63 APPENDIX A. 65 A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals 65 B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives 67 C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees 72	HOUSING ACTIONS	27
EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS	PROGRESS OF SPECIFIC HOUSING OBJECTIVES	28
EFFORTS TO ADDRESS "WORST-CASE" HOUSING NEEDS	AVAILABLE RESOURCES/USE OF FUNDS	28
PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY         30           BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING         31           HOME (ADDI)         32           HOMELESS         32           HOMELESS NEEDS         32           SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS         34           EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG)         34           HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION         41           COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT         41           COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY         45           CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES         46           SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS         46           Stanislaus County         47           City of Ceres         49           City of Newman         50           City of Oakdale         53           City of Patterson         54           City of Waterford         55           Public Service Grantees         56           OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION         61           ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY         63           OTHER NARRATIVE         63           COORDINATION EFFORTS         63           A Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals         66           B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives         67		
BARRIERS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING       31         HOME (ADDI)       32         HOMELESS       32         SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS       34         EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG)       34         HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION       41         COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT       45         CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES       46         SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS       46         Stanislaus County       47         City of Ceres       49         City of Newman       50         City of Patterson       53         City of Patterson       54         City of Waterford       55         Public Service Grantees       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY       63         OTHER NARRATIVE       63         COORDINATION EFFORTS       63         A PENDIX A       65         A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals       66         B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives       67         C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees       72	PUBLIC HOUSING STRATEGY	30
HOME (ADDI)   32     HOMELESS   32     HOMELESS NEEDS   32     SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS   34     EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG)   34     HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION   41     COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT   45     CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES   46     SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS   47     City of Ceres   49     City of Newman   50     City of Oakdale   53     City of Patterson   54     City of Waterford   55     Public Service Grantees   56     OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION   61     ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY   63    OTHER NARRATIVE   63    OTHER NARRATIVE   65     A Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals   66     B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives   67     C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees   72     C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives   67     C. Summary of Specific Annual Ob		
HOMELESS NEEDS 32 SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS 34 EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG) 34 HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION 41 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY 45 CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES 46 SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS 46 Stanislaus County 47 City of Ceres 49 City of Newman 50 City of Oakdale 53 City of Patterson 54 City of Waterford 55 Public Service Grantees 56 OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION 61 ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY 63  OTHER NARRATIVE COORDINATION EFFORTS 63 A PPENDIX A 65 A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals 66 B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives 67 C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees 72		
HOMELESS NEEDS   32		
EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG)       34         HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION       41         COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT       45         CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES       46         SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS       46         Stanislaus County       47         City of Ceres       49         City of Newman       50         City of Oakdale       53         City of Patterson       54         City of Waterford       55         Public Service Grantees       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY       63         OTHER NARRATIVE       63         COORDINATION EFFORTS       63         A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals       66         B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives       67         C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees       72		32
EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG)       34         HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION       41         COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT       45         CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES       46         SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS       46         Stanislaus County       47         City of Ceres       49         City of Newman       50         City of Oakdale       53         City of Patterson       54         City of Waterford       55         Public Service Grantees       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY       63         OTHER NARRATIVE       63         COORDINATION EFFORTS       63         A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals       66         B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives       67         C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees       72	SPECIFIC HOMELESS PREVENTION ELEMENTS	34
HOMELESS DISCHARGE COORDINATION       41         COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY       45         CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES       46         SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS       46         Stanislaus County       47         City of Ceres       49         City of Newman       50         City of Patterson       53         City of Patterson       54         City of Waterford       55         Public Service Grantees       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY       63         OTHER NARRATIVE         COORDINATION EFFORTS       63         APPENDIX A       65         A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals       66         B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives       67         C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees       72	EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANTS (ESG)	34
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY         45           CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES         46           SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS         46           Stanislaus County         47           City of Ceres         49           City of Newman         50           City of Patterson         54           City of Waterford         55           Public Service Grantees         56           OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION         61           ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY         63           OTHER NARRATIVE         63           COORDINATION EFFORTS         63           APPENDIX A         65           A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals         66           B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives         67           C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees         72		
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT SUMMARY       45         CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES       46         SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS       46         Stanislaus County       47         City of Ceres       49         City of Newman       50         City of Oakdale       53         City of Patterson       54         City of Waterford       55         Public Service Grantees       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY       63         OTHER NARRATIVE       63         COORDINATION EFFORTS       63         APPENDIX A       65         A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals       66         B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives       67         C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees       72		
CHANGES IN PROJECT OBJECTIVES       46         SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS       46         Stanislaus County       47         City of Ceres       49         City of Newman       50         City of Oakdale       53         City of Patterson       54         City of Waterford       55         Public Service Grantees       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY       63         OTHER NARRATIVE       63         COORDINATION EFFORTS       63         A Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals       66         B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives       67         C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees       72		45
SUMMARY OF CDBG PROGRAM ACCOMPLISHMENTS       46         Stanislaus County       47         City of Ceres       49         City of Newman       50         City of Oakdale       53         City of Patterson       54         City of Waterford       55         Public Service Grantees       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY       63         OTHER NARRATIVE       63         COORDINATION EFFORTS       63         A Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals       66         B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives       67         C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees       72		
Stanislaus County.       47         City of Ceres.       49         City of Newman.       50         City of Oakdale.       53         City of Patterson.       54         City of Waterford.       55         Public Service Grantees.       56         OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION.       61         ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY.       63         OTHER NARRATIVE       63         APPENDIX A.       65         A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals.       66         B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives.       67         C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees.       72		
City of Ceres		
City of Newman		
City of Oakdale		
City of Patterson	<b>3</b>	
City of Waterford		
Public Service Grantees		
OTHER COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION 61 ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY 63  OTHER NARRATIVE COORDINATION EFFORTS 63 APPENDIX A 65 A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals 66 B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives 67 C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees 72		
ANTI-POVERTY STRATEGY		
OTHER NARRATIVE  COORDINATION EFFORTS		
COORDINATION EFFORTS	THE TOTAL TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL OT THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE TOTAL CONTROL OT THE TOTAL CONTROL OF THE	55
COORDINATION EFFORTS	OTHER NARRATIVE	
APPENDIX A		63
A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals		
B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives		
C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDBG Public Service Grantees72	B Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Appual Objectives	67
	C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for CDRG Public Service Grantees	72
	D. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for ESG Grantees	



# Third Program Year CAPER 2009-2010 Consolidated Annual Performance Evaluation Report

#### **GENERAL**

#### **Executive Summary**

Stanislaus County annually receives Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). In 2002, Stanislaus County formed the Stanislaus County Community Development Block Grant Program Consortium, which now includes Stanislaus County unincorporated communities and the Cities of Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford, hereafter called "the Consortium". HUD requires Stanislaus County to prepare and submit either a three or five-year Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plans as applications for these funds. The County is also required to annually prepare the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) to report the progress made in accomplishing goals set forth in the Consolidated Plan and Annual Action Plan for the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, and the Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Program. This report is for the Fiscal Year beginning July 1, 2009, and ending June 30, 2010.

Stanislaus County is also a partner in the City of Turlock/Stanislaus County HOME Consortium, which includes the Cities of Oakdale, Patterson, Ceres, Newman, and Waterford. As the lead agency, the City of Turlock administers the HOME program for the County and reports the partnering jurisdictions' activities in the HOME Consortium's CAPER.

The major highlight for this fiscal year was the Consortium's focus on the effective administration and implementation of newly funded HUD programs: Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-housing (HPRP), and Community Development Block Grant – Recovery (CDBG-R). Along with the newly funded HUD programs, the Community Development Division continued the administration and implementation of its traditional HUD programs: Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG), and HOME Investments Partnership Program (HOME).

CDBG funding is mandated to primarily serve the low-income community as determined through the utilization of the Area Median Income (AMI) limits as designated by Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for Stanislaus County. The AMI's are broken down into the following categories:

FY 2010 Income Limit Area	Median Income	FY 2010 Income Limit Category	1 Person	2 Person	3 Person	4 Person	5 Person	6 Person	7 Person	8 Person
Stanislaus County	\$59,500	Very Low (50%)	\$20,850	\$23,800	\$26,800	\$29,750	\$32,150	\$34,550	\$36,900	\$39,300
		Extremely Low (30%)	\$12,500	\$14,300	\$16,100	\$17,850	\$19,300	\$20,750	\$22,150	\$23,600
		Low (80%)	\$33,350	\$38,100	\$42,850	\$47,600	\$51,450	\$55,250	\$59,050	\$62,850

All of the above named funding sources were tailored towards helping effect change within our local troubled housing market through acquisition, rehabilitation, down payment, energy efficiency, homeless prevention, and rapid re-housing opportunities for the low and moderate income community. These programs have also had an impact on the local workforce and economy as they have created job opportunities for those in the construction, solar, real estate, and social service industries.

#### PERFORMANCE & EXPERIENCE

#### **Consolidated Plan Progress Summary**

- 1. Assessment of the one-year goals and objectives:
  - a. Describe the accomplishments in attaining the goals and objectives for the reporting period.
  - b. Provide a breakdown of the CPD formula grant funds spent on grant activities for each goal and objective.
- 2. Describe the manner in which the recipient would change its program as a result of its experiences.

A summary of the accomplishments per jurisdiction for the Consortium is contained in the Community Development Summary section of this CAPER. This section includes a breakdown of grant funds spent on grant activities.

As a result of its experiences over the last six fiscal years staff has moved towards an infrastructure timeline that provides guidance to our Consortium partners. This timeline helps outline a process that will ensure timely use of funds and increase project efficiency. Without this in place infrastructure projects may not be prioritized to meet the timeliness deadline every fiscal year.

Staffing constraints continue to be a challenge, especially with the current State financial crisis. To compensate for this need staff has reduced monitoring requirements for certain public service (non-profit) providers that have traditionally been funded and continue to score as "high-performers" during the grant monitoring process. These providers are now monitored on a bi-annual monitoring basis as opposed to quarterly monitoring.

Experiences with new HUD funded programs such as Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-housing (HPRP) and Community Development Block Grant – Recovery (CDBG-R) have brought new and exciting

opportunities for Stanislaus County communities. Staff sets and follows firm timelines for program administration and implementation that allow timely expenditure of funds and project completion.

#### Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing

- 3. Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing:
  - a. Provide a summary of impediments to fair housing choice.
  - b. Identify actions taken to overcome effects of impediments identified.
- 4. Describe Other Actions in Strategic Plan or Action Plan taken to address obstacles to meeting underserved needs.

A jobs and housing imbalance continues to be prevalent throughout Stanislaus County. The average wage earned by a Stanislaus County resident that earns less than 80% of the area median income (AMI) is not sufficient to afford a home in Stanislaus County. Data from the 2000 Census and American Community Survey (ACS) reflect the increasing housing cost burden throughout the County, both in general, and with respect to rental housing in particular. The 2000 Census reported that as of 1999, 28.7% of owners spent 30% or more of their income on housing costs, whereas 42.8% of renters devoted 30% or more of their income to rent. By 2005-2007, the percentage of owners expending more than 30% of their income on housing costs had risen to 39.6%. For renters, this figure had jumped to an alarming 54.4%.

For families fleeing the prohibitively high cost of housing in many Northern California areas, the County is viewed as one of the most affordable areas in the state. Conversely, new immigrants, who have accounted for much of the Consortium's population growth, face a different set of challenges. Many of these residents have lower-paying jobs, larger families with young children, limited English proficiency, and limited education. For them, the County offers great promise, but the lack of housing affordable to low and very low income household's results in stifling housing cost burdens. The same is true of persons with disabilities, female-headed households, and elderly residents with fixed incomes.

Stanislaus County and Consortium Cities have continued to provide and/or acquire funding to continue the provision of affordable housing programs and/or projects such as housing rehabilitation programs, Down Payment Assistance (DPA) programs, and public facility fee deferrals.

Funding from Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), Community Development Block Grant-Recovery (CDBG-R), and Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing (HPRP) have provided the Consortium new resources for the provision of additional affordable housing opportunity opportunities for very low, low, and moderate income families and individuals. Without these programs, many of those assisted would otherwise not have been able to receive such assistance given the local and national economic situation.

The following are the actions taken during Fiscal Year 2009-2010 in the area of affordable housing:

#### FAIR HOUSING SERVICE PROGRAM

Stanislaus County has a consistent Fair Housing program that is administered by Project Sentinel. Within the county, as a whole, there are 35,261 persons 18 and

over who speak Spanish. This figure represents 11.4 percent of that population These persons are deemed limited English proficient by group in the county. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and upon request are provided oral interpretation services through our non-profit provides and division staff. This allows for the public as a whole to be involved in the citizen participation process on the basis of national origin and limited English proficiency as stated in 24 CFR 1.4(b). The objectives of this program are to increase the level of public awareness concerning fair housing laws and to provide services to help residents resolve discrimination problems. This is accomplished through in-depth investigations, networking, outreach, education, conciliation/mediation and when necessary, litigation. In hopes that this will help further fair housing awareness and education, the County has an agreement with Project Sentinel to provide services for the unincorporated areas of the County and the Cities of Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson and Waterford. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, \$39,860.48 was expended to provide fair housing services to the above-mentioned areas. The following activities were conducted by Project Sentinel in the area of Fair Housing:

Project Sentinel has helped to strengthen and establish new relationships with multiple local non-profit agencies and to further expand the availability of fair housing services for hard-to-reach residents.

Project Sentinel has met their goal of expanding their services throughout Stanislaus County, which has been previously limited to only two cities in the County. The agency conducted several owner/manager-training sessions on educating housing providers in their responsibilities and rights in establishing fair access to housing in Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford and the unincorporated areas of Stanislaus County. Fair housing literature, such as brochures and flyers on housing discrimination and fair housing rights, was also distributed throughout the County.

Project Sentinel conducted mobile home park testing throughout the unincorporated areas of the county to examine the quality of the existing structures.

Project Sentinel has provided Fair Housing information in Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford and the unincorporated areas in both English and Spanish at community forums, collaborative meetings and in partnership with other non-profits and city and county departments.

In addition, the agency conducted an education/outreach campaign that included the use of mass media; radio, TV Public Service Announcements, newspaper ads, transit posters and billboards. An agency website is maintained at <a href="https://www.housing.org">www.housing.org</a> that provides fair housing education.

In 2008, the Analysis of Impediments to Fair Housing (AI) was updated. This analysis reviewed a broad array of public and private practices and policies. These included land use, zoning, lending, complaint referrals, advertising, and housing affordability. Local fair housing case activity was evaluated for capacity and impact. Demographic information such as income, housing stock and the geographic concentration of ethnic groups was reviewed. The report identified affordability and the need to educate program and managerial staff at social service and government offices how to identify a fair housing complaint and how to make appropriate referrals to combat the primary impediments to fair housing. Through the services provided by Project Sentinel, the County has taken steps to educate not only the

public, but also staff on fair housing laws, procedures and regulations. The findings and recommendations of the 2008 AI, took effect beginning Fiscal Year 2009-2010.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION PROGRAM (NSP)

In an effort to maximize the overabundance of vacant single-family properties in the County as a potential resource for affordable housing for moderate, low and very-low income households, the county has been participating in the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP). NSP connects first time homebuyers to DPA and affordable single family homes within the Consortium cities and unincorporated areas of the county. The Consortium was awarded a total of \$9,744,482 million, under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act (HERA) of 2008, for the purchase of foreclosed or abandoned homes to rehabilitate, redevelop, and resell to first time home buyers with incomes up to 120% of the Area Median Income (AMI).

During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Consortium has acquired a total of 61 homes through the NSP program. Consortium-wide, 20 units have been resold to first time homebuyers with the assistance of DPA to purchase NSP homes. The Consortium has expended more of its grant than the national average for NSP grantees, and plans to meet the objectives of households and persons benefiting from the program. The Consortium has yet to meet all of their objectives because the programs initial concentration has been on acquiring properties in order to expend the funds prior to a September 2010 deadline established by HUD. At the end Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Consortium had committed \$8,719,990.00 of NSP funds, which is approximately 90% of the grant (As of August 19<sup>th</sup>, 2010 the Consortium has committed 100% of the NSP funds). By meeting this initial deadline, the Consortium will now concentrate on reselling the acquired properties to households of moderate, low and very-low income.

The rehabilitation of NSP homes has had an economic benefit to local workers, material suppliers, and small business owners. The NSP program created or expanded the jobs of approximately 50 local workers of different construction trades. These trades included, but were not limited to; general contractors, HVAC technicians, flooring installers, glazing installers, plumbers, painters, landscapers. NSP rehabilitation processes included weatherizing homes and installing current, energy efficient appliances to decrease the energy use of NSP units.

#### HOMELESS PREVENTION & RAPID RE-HOUSING PROGRAM (HPRP)

HUD awarded the Consortium \$1,023,163 under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act). The funding is being utilized to prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless and to help those who are experiencing homelessness to be quickly re-housed and stabilized. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year (FY), 54 individuals, made up of 14 households, were assisted with Homeless Prevention and 71 individuals, made up of 28 households, were assisted with Rapid Re-housing. The HPRP program will continue to be implemented during the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year.

#### DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE (DPA) PROGRAM

Stanislaus County provides a DPA Program for first time homebuyers during the last fiscal year; the program assists owners in their homeownership goals in amount not to exceed \$50,000. The County utilized HOME, CalHome, and Redevelopment Agency Housing Set-Aside funds for the program to assists families. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, fifteen (15) DPA loans were funded to qualifying households. The

program is for very low and low-income households that purchase a home in the unincorporated areas of the County.

The City of Oakdale funds a DPA Program for income eligible households. The City provides up to \$50,000 in assistance to first time homebuyers for homes in the City of Oakdale. This program was able to assist six (6) families during the fiscal year.

In Fiscal Year 2007-2008, the City of Patterson began a DPA Program for income eligible households. The City provides up to \$30,000 in loan assistance to residents that qualify to purchase a home within the city limits. The program assisted one (1) eligible family.

#### HOME REHABILITATION PROGRAM

With HOME funds, the County funds a Home Repair Program in partnership with the Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus. This program is available to homeowners that need assistance with the costs of repairing emergency health and safety issues into their homes. This program only assists owner-occupied homes. The program is available for residents of the unincorporated areas of the County and assists approximately six (6) households per fiscal year. This fiscal year, a total of six (6) households were assisted with home repairs through this program. With the utilization of CalHome and RDA Housing Set-Aside funds this program is able to meet its match obligation.

The City of Oakdale also offers a Housing Rehabilitation Program to income eligible residents. A loan of up to \$60,000 is available for qualifying seniors.

#### Leveraging

#### 5. Leveraging Resources

- a. Identify progress in obtaining "other" public and private resources to address needs.
- b. How Federal resources from HUD leveraged other public and private resources.
- c. How matching requirements were satisfied.

#### DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE (DPA)

The County and Consortium partners make continued efforts to further affordable housing by offering programs such as a First Time Homebuyers program and Housing Rehabilitation programs. These programs have proven to be effective tools for leveraging other public resources to address affordable housing needs within the Consortium partner's service areas. Leveraging includes the following sources:

#### CALHOME FUNDS – Down Payment Assistance (DPA)

In Fiscal Year 2007-2008 the County was awarded a Callhome grant, through the California Department of Housing and Community Development, in the amount of \$600,000. This funding was awarded and became available in November 2007 and was incorporated into the existing DPA Program. The loans accrue 3% simple interest.

#### CALHOME FUNDS – Housing Rehabilitation

In Fiscal Year 2008-2009 the County was awarded a CalHome grant, through the State of California Department of Housing and Community Development, in the

amount of \$750,000. This funding was awarded and became available in May 2009 and was incorporated into the existing Housing Rehabilitation Programs. As loan funds from both programs are distributed, the repayment of principal and interest will be made by the program participants.

#### **PUBLIC FACILITY FEES**

The County partners with the Consortium cities to develop affordable housing projects; and by deferring the collection of its Public Facilities Fees affordable housing becomes a reality.

#### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT (CDBG) FUNDS

In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Consortium set-aside approximately 10% of its CDBG funds for the Public Service Grant program which funded seventeen (17) grantees to serve the very low and low-income residents of Stanislaus County. This funding assisted in expanding services to the under-served in Stanislaus County. The County CDBG grantees were able to expand their services into the unincorporated areas and Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford. These areas had been previously neglected due to a lack of funding. Through the Public Service Grant program, the County was able to fund the Westside Food Pantry and Inter-Faith Ministries that both provide emergency food assistance to needy families throughout the west side of the County. For more information on other grantees and their accomplishments with CDBG funding see Sections G and H of the CAPER.

The County also provided \$40,000 in CDBG funding to Project Sentinel for fair housing services, including discrimination investigations, education and outreach. This program provides a valuable service to not only the general public but also residents with special needs. Often, landlords are unwilling to provide accommodations for those individuals who become disabled while renting from them, and who may need modifications to the living environment, such as wheelchair ramps. Project Sentinel assists in conciliating such cases, so that the landlord is aware of their responsibilities and the law, and the tenant can either remain in the unit, or be given adequate time to find other housing.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION PROGRAM (NSP)

In 2009, the Consortium was allocated a total of \$9,744,482, under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act (HERA) of 2008, for the purchase of foreclosed or abandoned homes to rehabilitate, redevelop, and resell to first time home buyers with incomes up to 120% of the Area Median Income (AMI).

During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Consortium has acquired a total of 61 homes through the NSP program. Consortium-wide, approximately 20 first time homebuyers have been provided DPA to purchase NSP homes. The rehabilitation of NSP homes has had an economic benefit to local workers, material suppliers, and small business owners.

#### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT - RECOVERY (CDBG-R)

Stanislaus County was awarded \$669,134 in Community Development Block Grant Program funding under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009. Following the intent of the Recovery Act, Stanislaus County's CDBG-R funds designed a program utilizing CDBG-R to modernize and improve energy efficiency and expand educational opportunities within the housing industry. These services are being provided in the form of rehabilitation or retrofitting of Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) acquired units with solar systems and other related energy efficiency improvements. These improvements will help those impacted by

the recession by reducing their energy costs and foster energy independence for first time home buyers.

Stanislaus County's CDBG-R program has a goal of retrofitting a minimum of twenty (20) Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) housing units with solar systems and other related energy efficiency improvements. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Stanislaus County approved solar system installations on seventeen (17) NSP housing units. Program activity will continue and be completed during Fiscal Year 2010-2011.

The CDBG-R program has leveraged funds from program partners to create a total of twenty (20) solar and weatherization jobs. Modesto Junior College provided direct classroom experience and 1<sup>st</sup> Light Energy Solar has provided hands on training to twenty (20) displaced workers. In turn, The Alliance has provided the funds necessary for worker salaries.

#### HOMELESS PREVENTION AND RAPID RE-HOUSING PROGRAM (HPRP)

HUD awarded the Consortium \$1,023,163 under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act). The funding is being utilized to prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless and to help those who are experiencing homelessness to be quickly re-housed and stabilized. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, 54 individuals, made up of 14 households, were assisted with Homeless Prevention and 71 individuals, made up of 28 households, were assisted with Rapid Re-housing. The HPRP program will continue to be implemented during the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year.

#### LEVERAGING SUMMARY

Collectively, these resources assist the Consortium in addressing its affordable housing needs and to provide services to the most vulnerable of our community. Stanislaus County will continue to seek funding through other sources to leverage and address needs of the community.

Most consortia members are able to complete infrastructure projects by leveraging CDBG funds with local redevelopment and state eligible funds. Without the combination of multiple funding sources many projects would not assist the low-income population within the community.

Federal resources also leveraged other state and local resources in the provision of affordable housing programs such as DPA, housing rehabilitation, homeless prevention, and rapid re-housing. Without the availability of these funds, individuals may not have been able to purchase their first home, maintain a decent living environment, or keep a roof over their head(s).

#### MATCHING REQUIREMENTS

The Consortium has continued its efforts in leveraging as many other sources of funding as possible. The Consortium provides various forms of financial assistance through grants or loans. The Consortium's main objective is to make the most efficient and effective use of CDBG, HOME, and eligible redevelopment funds. Each program is subject to a selection process that evaluates proposals that can best maximize all available funding sources.

Through the Public Service Grant Program, the Consortium provides funding to non-profit service providers using a performance-based contract. This ensures that CDBG funds are spent on the actual activities they pledged to provide the community, and that they will serve the population defined in their grant application.

Under the Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Program, County staff reviews quarterly ESG statistical tables, narratives, Request for Funds forms, and budget printouts which identify the total funds used/requested by each grantee during that reporting period. Staff verifies and cross-references the information on the quarterly budget activity reports. Monitoring visits are also scheduled quarterly by County staff for each grantee to ensure appropriate expenditure of funds. As part of the ESG monitoring process, invoices and accompanying receipts were reviewed for reimbursement eligibility. Once eligibility was confirmed, fifty percent (50%) of the costs related to the project are reimbursed. In this manner, the sub-recipient in turn commits their dollar-to-dollar match by paying the remainder of the expenses from non-Federal sources.

The County and the Cities of Ceres, Oakdale and Patterson provide funding for DPA programs. These agencies carefully review applications to ensure that the applicant has a fair share of initial funds to purchase a home, and the continuing capacity to be a long-term homeowner. This ensures a reduced risk of the new homeowner facing default. The County program also verifies that the prospective family has attended a homeownership counseling class prior to approval of a DPA loan.

During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Consortium received public service applications, affordable housing proposals, housing rehabilitation bids, capital improvement project bids and requests for DPA. County, city staff, and committee members review these projects to determine eligibility and the best "mix" of "other" funding sources. The Consortium also considers impacts of the projects and activities on the prioritized needs of the community.

#### Managing the Process

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to ensure compliance with program and comprehensive planning requirements.

#### COMPREHENSIVE PROGRAM PLANNING COMPLIANCE

It is the County's intent to monitor all sub-recipients of HUD Program funds on a regular basis. Staff conducts program and project monitoring on a quarterly basis to ensure statutory and regulatory requirements are being met and that information submitted to the County is accurate and complete.

Agreements were executed with all sub-recipients that clearly state the project scope of work, performance measurement standards, reporting requirements, draw-down requirements, and all applicable federal requirements. The monitoring process consisted of on-site field visits, desk audits, open communication and assistance to sub-recipients to create an acceptable data collection and reporting system.

Specifically, the objective of the County's monitoring program is to:

• Ensure that sub-recipient implements its program and its individual activities, as described in the application and the sub-recipient Agreement.

- Ensure that sub-recipient conducts its activities in a timely manner, and in accordance with the schedule included in the Agreement.
- Ensure that sub-recipient charges costs to the project, which are eligible under applicable laws and CDBG regulations, and reasonable in light of the services or products delivered.
- Ensure that sub-recipient conducts activities with adequate control over program and financial performance, and reasonable in light of the services or products delivered.
- Ensure that sub-recipient has continuing capacity to carry out the approved project, as well as other grants for which it may apply.
- Identify potential problem areas and assist the sub-recipient with applicable laws and regulations compliance.
- Assist sub-recipients in resolving compliance problems through discussion, negotiation, and the provision of technical assistance and training.
- Provide adequate follow-up measures to ensure that performance and compliance deficiencies are corrected and not repeated.
- Comply with the federal monitoring requirements of 24 CFR 570.501(b) and 24 CFR 85.40.
- Determine if any conflicts of interest exist in the operation of the CDBG program per 24 CFR 570.611.
- Ensure that required records are maintained to demonstrate compliance with applicable regulations.
- Verify that the outputs and outcomes are realized in a timely manner.
- Track grantee's progress in fulfilling its goals and objectives set forth in The Plan measured with established guidelines to assure that the program remains on task. Additionally, with data collected by the grantee during monitoring visits is entered into the IDIS system; this program is capable of presenting the data to defend its progression towards accomplishment of its goals and objectives set forth in The Plan. On a semi-annual basis this information is compiled and compared with the goals and objectives in The Plan. If this information reflects the accomplishments set forth in The Plan, the programs will proceed as planned. If this information falls short of the goals set forth, appropriate adjustments will be made and notification sent to the respective sub-recipients to be made aware of their need to meet certain milestones and timeliness requirements to ensure receipt of expected funds for their respective programs. The coordinated monitoring process has been established to verify and confirm that grant funds have been used in an eligible and appropriate manner for each and every program funded with CDBG, HOME, and ESG funds.
- Under the Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Program, County staff reviews quarterly ESG statistical tables, narratives, Request for Funds forms and budget printouts, which identify the total funds used/requested by each grantee during that reporting period. Staff verifies and cross-references the information on the quarterly budget activity reports. Monitoring visits are also scheduled quarterly by County staff for each grantee to ensure appropriate expenditure of funds. As part of the ESG monitoring process invoices and accompanying receipts were reviewed for reimbursement eligibility. Once eligibility was confirmed, fifty percent (50%) of the costs related to the project are reimbursed. In this manner, the sub-recipient in turn commits their dollar-to-dollar match by paying the remainder of the expenses from non-Federal sources. In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, ESG recipients utilized approximately \$760,740 in matching funds from other public and/or private sources to ensure successful programs.

#### Citizen Participation

- 1. Provide a summary of citizen comments.
- 2. In addition, the performance report provided to citizens must identify the Federal funds made available for furthering the objectives of the Consolidated Plan. For each formula grant program, the grantee shall identify the total amount of funds available (including estimated program income), the total amount of funds committed during the reporting period, the total amount expended during the reporting period, and the geographic distribution and location of expenditures. Jurisdictions are encouraged to include maps in describing the geographic distribution and location of investment (including areas of minority concentration). The geographic distribution and expenditure requirement may also be satisfied by specifying the census tracts where expenditures were concentrated.

In order to elicit public participation in the preparation of the Draft CAPER, public notices were published defining the process and how persons, agencies and interested groups could participate. The County posted announcements regarding the CDBG program on the Planning and Community Development internet homepage, which facilitated the receipt of citizen input online. A series of public meetings were held in August 2010 to discuss the Draft CAPER. These include:

JURISDICTION	<u>DATE</u>		LOCATION	<u>ADDRESS</u>				
Stanislaus	8/10/10	<u>10:00</u> <u>am</u> & <u>6:00pm</u>	County Admin. Building Tenth Street Place	Basement Training Room 1010 10 <sup>th</sup> St., Modesto				
Ceres	8/19/10	<u>4:00pm</u>	Ceres Community Ctr Chambers	2701 4 <sup>th</sup> St., Ceres				
Newman	8/5/10	<u>5:00pm</u>	Newman Council Chambers	1200 Main St., Newman				
Oakdale	8/18/10	<u>4:30pm</u>	Community Dev. Dep. Conference Room	120 S. Sierra Ave., Oakdale				
Patterson	8/11/10	<u>6:00pm</u>	City Hall	1 Plaza, Patterson				
Waterford	8/18/10	<u>6:00pm</u>	Beard Community Center	540 C St., Waterford				
MUNICIPAL ADVISORY COUNCILS								
Denair	8/3/10	<u>7:00pm</u>	Denair Senior Center	3756 Alameda, Denair				
Hickman	8/5/10	<u>7:00pm</u>	Hickman Charter School Office	Hickman				
Salida	8/24/10	<u>7:00pm</u>	Salida Library Community Room	4835 Sisk Rd., Salida				
Empire	8/9/10	<u>7:00pm</u>	Empire Community Center	18 S. Abbie, Empire				
South Modesto	8/12/10	<u>6:00pm</u>	Stanislaus County Ag Center	3800 Cornucopia Way., Modesto				
Keyes	8/19/10	<u>7:00pm</u>	Keyes Sub-station	5463 7 <sup>th</sup> St., Keyes				

The Consortium follows its citizen participation plan by releasing the Draft CAPER for a 21 day public comment period (exceeding the minimum requirement of 15 days) commencing on August 31, 2010 and ending on September 21, 2010. An English and Spanish public notice is published in The Modesto Bee on September 5, 2010 announcing the release of the Draft CAPER and opening of the public comment period. Furthermore, the Draft CAPER is made available on August 31, 2010 for public review and input, via the internet, on Stanislaus County's Planning and Community Development website.

Copies of the Draft CAPER are also made available for review at the County Planning and Community Development Department, the Planning Departments of the Cities of Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford and the Stanislaus County Main Library. The Draft CAPER is taken to the city councils of Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford for review during the public review period in addition to community meetings already held. A final public hearing will be held September 21, 2010 before the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors.

The Planning and Community Development Department considered any oral and written public comments received in preparing and revising the CAPER. A summary of responses to public comments on the review of the Draft CAPER will be located within the section entitled "Summary of Citizen Comments" below.

#### **SUMMARY OF CITIZEN COMMENTS**

(Not all comments appear in the initial draft as some comments may be provided during the September 21, 2010 Public Hearing or before the final document has been completed.)

#### Stanislaus County

On August 10, 2010 the County held a morning and evening meeting for the public regarding the content of the Draft CAPER.

No comments were received.

#### City of Ceres

A community meeting was held on August 19, 2010.

No comments were received.

#### City of Newman

A community meeting was held on August 5, 2010.

No comments were received.

#### City of Oakdale

A community meeting was held on August 18, 2010.

A citizen would like additional public notification of the CDBG and ESG funds for informational purposes. He suggested providing the City of Oakdale Council with a brief presentation on the funds, how they work and what services are available through them. The citizen discussed announcing meetings and disseminating information about funds through utility bill mailings.

Response: City staff provides a brief presentation on the CDBG and ESG funds biannually at their respective City Council meetings.

A citizen also commented that utilizing churches as partners for public service and emergency shelter funded programs would be a great asset for clients of these

programs due to the churches offering a social network that can provide on-going support.

#### City of Patterson

A community meeting was held on August 11, 2010.

No comments were received.

#### City of Waterford

A community meeting was held on August 18, 2010.

No comments were received.

#### Town of Denair

A community meeting was held on August 3, 2010.

Community representatives requested more information on our Targeted Technology Training program and the Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-housing program to be provided by a member of County staff.

#### Town of Empire

A community meeting was held on August 9, 2010.

Community representatives wanted to express their appreciation for the work undertaken by Granite Construction, Nolte Associates, and sub-contractor(s) on the Empire Infrastructure project.

#### Town of Hickman

A community meeting was held on August 5, 2010.

Community representative requested more information on the Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-housing Program to be provided by a member of County staff.

#### South Modesto

A community meeting was held on August 12, 2010.

Comments pending receipt of minutes from the Municipal Advisory Council to be provided at the close of the close of the public comment period.

#### Town of Keyes

A community meeting was held on August 19, 2010.

Comments pending receipt of minutes from the Municipal Advisory Council to be provided at the close of the close of the public comment period.

#### Stanislaus County- Final Public Hearing

The Board of Supervisors will conduct the final Public Hearing and close the 15-day comment period on September 21, 2010.

#### **Institutional Structure**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to overcome gaps in institutional structures and enhance coordination.

# OVERCOMING GAPS IN INSTITUTIONAL STRUCTURE AND ENHANCING COORDINATION

The Consortium recognizes that gaps could exist in the institutional structure of any entity that performs a service or facilitates construction of a project. To the extent

that a gap exists, a strategy of the Consolidated Plan is to take action to close that gap. Example of gap closure is the effort of the Housing and Support Services Collaborative to link potential partners to successfully and fully implement a program project as well as new partnerships formed with agencies supporting the Probation Action Committee Team (P.A.C.T.).

During monitoring, agency-to-agency referrals are also tracked to verify that participants receiving services do not experience any gaps as they strive to reach their goal of independence from the need of public services within the community. The development of the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) also has the potential to actively refer consumers of homeless services in a way that greatly reduces, if not eliminates, the needs of those transitioning from the streets towards permanent housing.

There is considerable work going on in Stanislaus County to bring together governmental agencies, non-profit service providers, and consumers of services to identify where there are gaps and how they can be best filled.

One of the major issues seen in the community is not a lack of agencies but a lack of prioritizing by the agencies. Many agencies tend not to look at long-term funding due to either lack of staff or capacity. Building the capacity of local agencies has also become an important issue for the Consortium and other entitlement cities. The Consortium also has an excellent working relationship with both the cities of Turlock and Modesto, which are separate CDBG entitlement jurisdictions, to strategically prioritize projects and programs more efficiently and effectively for the region. The Consortium is able to: a) effectively strategize to fill in institutional gaps; and, b) continue to work and build cooperatively and collaboratively; and c) provide technical assistance to the non-profit community; and, d) increase their capacity to provide services.

#### Monitoring

- 1. Describe how and the frequency with which you monitored your activities.
- 2. Describe the results of your monitoring including any improvements.

#### PROGRAM AND PLANNING REQUIREMENTS

A coordinated monitoring process has been established to verify and confirm that grant funds have been used in an eligible and appropriate manner for each of the following programs:

#### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT CONSORTIUM

County staff reviews quarterly project progress reports, request for funds reports and budget printouts, which identify the total funds used by all jurisdictions during a given month. Staff verifies and cross-references the information on the monthly budget activity reports. Monitoring visits are also scheduled quarterly by County staff for each jurisdiction and non-profit agency grantee to ensure appropriate expenditure of funds. The County staff also reviews outputs and outcomes related to the proposals of the grantee and actual numbers served. If there is a shortfall the sub-recipient is encouraged to reach out further with its efforts in the community.

#### PUBLIC SERVICE GRANT PROGRAM

County staff reviews quarterly CDBG statistical data, narratives, requests for funds forms and budget printouts which identify the total funds used/requested by each grantee during that reporting period. Staff verifies and cross-references the information on the quarterly budget activity reports and data tables. Monitoring visits are also scheduled quarterly by program staff for each grantee to ensure appropriate expenditure of funds. Non-profits that reach a certain performance threshold become eligible for bi-annual monitoring reviews in place of the quarterly visits.

#### **EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT PROGRAM**

Under the Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) Program, County staff reviews quarterly ESG statistical tables, narratives, Request for Funds forms and budget printouts, which identify the total funds used/requested by each grantee during that reporting period. Staff verifies and cross-references the information on the quarterly budget activity reports. Monitoring visits are also scheduled quarterly by County staff for each grantee to ensure appropriate expenditure of funds. As part of the ESG monitoring process invoices and accompanying receipts were reviewed for reimbursement eligibility. Once eligibility was confirmed, fifty percent (50%) of the costs related to the project are reimbursed. In this manner, the sub-recipient in turn ensures that dollar to dollar matching requirements are satisfied by paying the remainder of the expenses from non-Federal sources.

#### DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE (DPA) LOAN PROGRAM

County staff meets with HOME Consortium staff on a quarterly basis to monitor the progress of DPA Program applicants and loans in progress. On an average, the program receives 4-8 applicants per month. Many applicants have credit problems and are immediately referred to a HUD approved credit counseling non-profit to seek assistance in correcting those problems, and are encouraged to reapply in six (6) to twelve (12) months. Staff makes every effort to work with applicants to help sort out and in many cases solve family budget issues. Once the applicant resolves their issues, they are encouraged to re-apply to the program. HOME Consortium staff provides quarterly updates on the funding availability for the program.

#### HOUSING REHABILITATION PROGRAM

The Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus administers this program for the County and provides quarterly reports to program staff. Progress on current loans and funding availability are provided, as well any other issues that may arise from projects. A loan committee reviews all projects prior to approval of rehabilitation work.

There are monitoring procedures tailored to the above-mentioned programs. Staff is continually working on improving program oversight by attending training on compliance topics, remaining up to date with amendments to regulations and/or Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars, and developing written procedures and forms.

#### MONITORING RESULTS

The monitoring process in place has proven to be effective. Conducting monitoring visits quarterly allows staff to be informed of the programs and projects' activity and status. It also allows for sub-recipients to be on track with timeliness requirements and deadlines. In the event of a shortfall in any of the projects/programs, staff provides any technical assistance necessary. The process also allows for an open line of communication among Consortium staff throughout the year.

#### Self Evaluation

#### 3. Self Evaluation

- a. Describe the effect programs have in solving neighborhood and community problems.
- b. Describe progress in meeting priority needs and specific objectives and help make community's vision of the future a reality.
- c. Describe how you provided decent housing and a suitable living environment and expanded economic opportunity principally for low and moderate-income persons.
- d. Indicate any activities falling behind schedule.
- Describe how activities and strategies made an impact on identified needs.
- f. Identify indicators that would best describe the results.
- g. Identify barriers that had a negative impact on fulfilling the strategies and overall vision.
- h. Identify whether major goals are on target and discuss reasons for those that are not on target.
- i. Identify any adjustments or improvements to strategies and activities that might meet your needs more effectively.

All Community Development Block Grant (CDBG), HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME), and Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) funded programs contribute to the improvement of neighborhoods & communities and the elimination of community problems. CDBG, HOME, and ESG funded programs and projects address the objective of benefiting low and moderate income persons. Without the assistance, many individuals would be deprived of valuable services that address some of their basic needs. As a result, these services provide them with a more suitable living environment. Providing a suitable living environment via public services, infrastructure improvements in low income neighborhoods, and housing programs have the potential to provide improved educational and economic opportunities that in the long term, may lead to improving the lives of individuals and the community as a whole.

Staff supports Consortium members on their path towards the goals provided by the community that were sampled during the Consolidated Plan process. Those goals included infrastructure improvements, public services, and affordable housing programs among the most those considered the most important for the community as a whole. With that framework in mind, the consortia and the public service review panel (made up of representatives throughout the consortia) assures that the Consolidated Plan priorities are made a reality for the community.

# DECENT HOUSING, SUITABLE LIVING ENVIRONMENTS & EXPANDED ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY

The Consortium provides decent affordable housing through partnerships with local non-profits such as Habitat for Humanity and government agencies such as the Housing Authority of Stanislaus County. Examples of these projects include a combination of sweat-equity programs with Habitat for Humanity to provide first time home owners their first home, the Housing Authority partners with the Consortium to provide a rent-to-own homeownership program along with various rehabilitation programs to improve existing income eligible homeowners a suitable living environment free from health and safety concerns within their home.

The door to economic opportunities is opened up to eligible participants through the Consortium's workforce development and technology training program. Without programs such as this low and moderate-income persons would not be prepared for jobs as they become available to the community to earn a livable wage for their family.

#### **ACTIVITY TIMELINESS**

All activities are currently on task during this reporting cycle. Activities that span more than one fiscal year may fall behind schedule for reasons such as staffing reductions, due to general fund limitations. Infrastructure projects can experience periodic delays due to weather conditions beyond the control of Consortium staff. If this occurs it will be reflected in future reports.

All Recovery Act programs (NSP, CDBG-R, and HPRP) are currently on target to meet proposed timelines and objectives.

#### STRATEGIC IMPACTS TO IDENTIFIED NEEDS

The Consortium allocates approximately 10% of its annual allocation for public service grants, and is made available to nonprofit organizations to provide services to low income individuals. In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, a total of twenty-one (21) public service programs (including ESG programs) were awarded \$353,906. Over 56,000 individuals received a form of service through the funded agencies. Services ranged from meals and shelter for low income children to emergency food assistance programs. The services provided through the funded programs positively impact the lives of the individuals served. Without the assistance to non-profit service providers, low income individuals may not otherwise have access to the services.

Activities of this nature, combined with fair housing, workforce development, and various infrastructure projects that serve extremely low to low-income individuals within the community, have a potential to improve the living conditions of the underprivileged.

#### PROGRAM PROGRESS INDICATORS

Annually we develop a newsletter/brochure that provides direct testimonials supporting the results outlined in the CAPER. In the CAPER the numbers of individuals served sets a baseline for indicators showing the consortia is successful in improving the environment in which CDBG funds serve.

#### BARRIERS TO ACCOMPLISHING VISION

Generally, the only negative impact the community faces annually in its goal to fulfill their strategy and overall vision is the shortage of funding to consistently improve and progress worthwhile programs within the community. With continued State cutbacks to essential services, CDBG funds are not sufficient to bridge the "need" gap.

#### **OTHER MAJOR GOALS**

Despite this setback all major goals are still on target as of the completion of this third Fiscal Year of the Consolidated Plan.

#### Five Year Consolidated Plan Objectives Assessed

The Stanislaus County Consolidated Plan was adopted by the Board of Supervisors, on March 20, 2007. As part of the Consolidated Plan there were several goals and housing objectives outlined for the period 2007-2011 by the Consortium. The following are the objectives and assessments of accomplishments:

#### STANISLAUS COUNTY OBJECTIVES

**AFFORDABLE HOUSING:** To increase and maintain the supply of affordable housing.

#### Analysis and Actions

Stanislaus County funds a *DPA Program* for first time homebuyers. The program provides up to \$50,000 to qualifying low-income households that purchase a home in the unincorporated area of the County. In partnership with the Housing Authority, the County also funds a Home Repair Program for owner occupied income eligible households. Further partnerships with Cities within the County via programs such as Public Facility Fee deferrals allow the increase of affordable housing.

of One the County's most valuable partners in affordable housing development/creation is the Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus (H.A.), the largest property manager in Stanislaus County. This fiscal year, the H.A. continued to partner with the County and the Cities of Ceres, Oakdale and Patterson in the operation of these jurisdictions' housing rehabilitation programs. objective in having the Housing Authority administer these programs for Consortium partners is to have a "one-stop" shop as a means to deliver services efficiently and effectively.

The Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) funds have further allowed the Consortium increase the supply of affordable housing. In Fiscal Year 2009-2010 the Consortium in partnership with our developer the H.A. who successfully acquired 61 foreclosed properties within identified target areas. All of these homes have undergone or will undergo rehabilitation of some sort. Consortium-wide, a total of 20 NSP homes have been re-sold to low and moderate income households.

Community Development Block Grant – Recovery (CDBG-R) funds will further enable the Consortium maintain affordable housing as these funds are utilized for solar system and weatherization improvements for a minimum of 20 NSP housing units. These improvements will help those impacted by the recession by reducing their energy costs and foster energy independence for first time home buyers.

Stanislaus County continues to partner with *Habitat for Humanity* whenever possible for the provision of affordable housing within unincorporated areas of the County. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010 Habitat for Humanity continued to work on the demolition/reconstruction of homes within the Airport Neighborhood of Modesto, through which the County partnered to acquire the properties in Fiscal Years 2007-2008 and 2008-2009.

**INFRASTRUCTURE:** To retrofit communities and neighborhoods with public infrastructure.

#### Analysis and Actions

Robertson Road Infrastructure Project: One of the County's major infrastructure projects, Robertson Road, completed its development (design) phase during the 2003-2004 Fiscal Year. Although it had originally been scheduled to start in 2003, the project had delays. In Fiscal Year 2008-2009 the lateral connection program was underway and under contract with our local Housing Authority for its final year of lateral connections and concluded in June 2009. Approximately 184 sewer connections were completed and are now receiving sewer service.

Shackelford Sewer Connection Program: The County completed the construction of sewer, storm drain, curb, gutter, and sidewalk infrastructure to serve approximately 400 low and moderate-income households in the Shackelford Neighborhood as well as completing the lateral connection program assisting a total of 273 eligible households.

Empire Infrastructure Program: The construction phase of the Empire Infrastructure Project, Phase IA, began in Fiscal Year 2009-2010. The project consists of installing a storm-water management system in the area bounded by: E St. to the west, Hwy. 132 (Yosemite Blvd.) to the South, I St. and G St. to the East, and Center Ave. to the North. The underground work, including the installation of the storm-water collection lines and a horizontal drain system were completed this year. Construction began on the topside improvements including grinding and re-grading of roads, installation of catch basins and pouring of the concrete curb and gutter and forming of ADA accessible ramps. Construction of Phase IA is scheduled to be completed in the first quarter of Fiscal Year 2010-2011. This includes finishing of curb and gutter and ADA accessible ramps, repaving of roads, and the installation of signage and striping.

The Empire Infrastructure Project Phase 1A will directly benefit 69 properties (approximately 242 individuals) and indirectly benefit the entire community of Empire by improving road conditions. The project will eliminate many of the problems caused by inadequate drainage of storm water including traffic problems and health concerns caused by standing water.

**SENIOR PROGRAMS:** To provide activities, essential social services, including informational and referral services to low-income seniors.

#### **Analysis and Actions**

The Consortium has been able to achieve progress through the CDBG Public Service Grant program. Several grants were awarded since 2002 to service providers such the Healthy Aging Association that offer services countywide. These services include, but are not limited to, senior meals, fall prevention, and assistive technology. In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, 7 (approximately 41%) out of 17 CDBG public service providers directly assisted the senior community throughout the Consortium.

**YOUTH PROGRAMS:** To provide essential social services, such as a day care and recreational opportunities to low-income persons and families.

#### **Analysis and Actions**

The Consortium has been able to achieve progress through the CDBG Public Service Grant program. Several grants were awarded since 2002, to youth programs such as: the Children's Crisis Center for temporary shelter and supportive services, Los Arcos Learning Center, and the Center for Human Services at their Patterson Teen

Center (Westside Resource Center). These services include, but are not limited to, low income sports scholarships, domestic violence prevention, and homeless prevention. In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, 7 (approximately 41%) out of 17 CDBG public service providers directly provided children and/or youth programs throughout the Consortium. Of four ESG recipients, two directly provided services to children and/or youth.

**ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT/WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT:** To encourage economic development activities to create and retain jobs.

#### **Analysis and Actions**

Workforce Development Opportunity Center Project -The Consortium has developed a Community program for service providers that target specific income areas to improve economic conditions.

Workforce Development Program - In 2002 through 2006, Stanislaus County provided technical and monetary support to the Workforce Development Program. Redevelopment funds were used for land acquisition by Habitat for Humanity, which is the agency that provides onsite, pre-construction experience for program participants. This program is a collaborative effort between Stanislaus County and various agencies that include, but are not limited to the Alliance Worknet, and Modesto Junior College. Program participants in the pre-construction training course are low income and receive vocational training in the construction trades associated with residential construction. They also are provided courses in academic and personal improvement needed to progress in the construction field, including Construction Math, English as a Second Language, and GED preparation. The Consortium has identified workforce development as one of its main priorities in the Consolidated Plan and will continue offering assistance to the program.

In 2009, and continuing into Fiscal Year 2010-2011, several Consortium members used CDBG funds to expand into the technology field, offering a program to very low and low-income residents to receive training from Computer Tutor to gain a command of programs such as Excel and Microsoft Word. These skills enable participants to receive the qualifications to enter the workforce within the community.

The Consortium has also contributed to the maintenance of a strong local economy through the Neighborhood Stabilization Program. Through this program, 61 homes, many in various stages of foreclosure, were acquired and have been re-habilitated or are in the process of being rehabilitated. In addition to the standard re-habilitation work completed on these units, 20 of the homes will have Solar Photovotaic systems installed along with retrofitting work for energy efficiency through the utilization of Recovery Act funding. This innovative program provided an opportunity for dislocated workers referred from the Alliance Worknet to obtain hands on training in the "green" occupations of Solar Panel Installation and Home Weatherization.

This program benefits the local economy by reducing blight, assisting first time home buyers in the purchase of their first home, and by providing economic benefit to local workers, material suppliers, and small businesses.

**SPECIAL NEEDS/SUPPORTIVE SERVICES:** To provide support services and facilities for the homeless and increase the supply of transitional housing.

#### Analysis and Actions

During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Consortium continued a partnership with WE CARE and the City of Turlock to maintain an overnight emergency winter shelter for the months of December-March. The Shelter provided a safe and clean environment. Area churches provided meals, and referrals for social services. Job services provided by non-profit providers were also available. Stanislaus County continues to partner with the Salvation Army and the City of Modesto through the operation of a day facility, permanent shelter, and the development of twenty-two (22) units of transitional housing for the homeless. The County assisted the We Care shelter in Turlock through the CDBG program to serve residents of the unincorporated area of the County.

In December 2009, the County partnered with the We Care Program for a seventh year to establish a temporary shelter for the homeless for the winter months. It was opened in December 2004 at a new location in downtown Turlock, (and operated for four months). Several individuals were able to find other types of shelter and employment after having stayed at the homeless shelter. With an expansion, the facility was able to serve approximately thirty-five (35) people per night at the homeless shelter. An interest to create a permanent shelter for the homeless in the City of Turlock has been on-going and will continue to be one of the goals supported by the Consortium. There are efforts currently underway by the Turlock Community Collaborative to establish a homeless shelter for that community utilizing Redevelopment, HOME, CDBG, and ESG funds.

Homeless Strategic Plan/Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Project: The project will enter its sixth year of operation. Data input for the Stanislaus County COC began during the 2005-2006 Fiscal Year for all Consortium ESG Grantees, and Housing Authority Homeless Related Programs (SPC). In 2008, the City of Modesto ESG participants began participating in Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) data entry and continue to do so, making it a more comprehensive homeless data collection system. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, implementation of the Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program required that the HMIS system be upgraded to allow for increased capacity of the system to meet HPRP reporting and monitoring requirements. Part of this update requires HPRP grant providers coordinate limited client level HMIS data elements to prevent duplication of services. Dedicated Housing Authority staff, funded by HMIS grant funds, continually work to improve the HMIS software for both homeless service providers and grant administrators. The Consortium will continue to explore ways to expand the usefulness of the HMIS system to service those currently using it.

10 Year Plan to End Homeless - Stanislaus Housing & Supportive Services Collaborative: The Collaborative has approved the final draft of the 10 Year Plan to End Long-Term Homelessness and it was also presented to the Turlock Collaborative during Fiscal Year 2005-2006 for review and approval. The review committee made final changes and has taken the document to the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors, the City Council of Modesto, and all participating Consortia City Councils within the Consortium (Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford), where it received unanimous approval. The 10 Year Plan to end homelessness would reach completion by Fiscal Year 2014-2015.

#### CITY OF CERES OBJECTIVES

**INFRASTRUCTURE:** To retrofit communities and neighborhoods with public infrastructure.

#### Analysis and Actions

During this fiscal year the City of Ceres began construction of the 5<sup>th</sup> and 9<sup>th</sup> Street Infrastructure projects. These projects are located within Low/Moderate income Areas and consisted of curb, gutter, sidewalk, ADA accessible ramp improvements, and street reconstruction.

**AFFORDABLE HOUSING:** To increase and maintain the supply of affordable housing.

#### **Analysis and Actions**

DPA Program: The program provides first time homebuyers 20% of the purchase price of a home. In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the City of Ceres provided DPA to nine (9) first time home buyers, utilizing HOME funds.

#### CITY OF NEWMAN OBJECTIVES

**INFRASTRUCTURE:** To retrofit communities and neighborhoods with public infrastructure.

#### **Analysis and Actions**

In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the City completed the Fresno/T Street Infrastructure project which consisted of installation of curb, gutter, and sidewalk in the residential area of Fresno Street bounded by R Street to the east and T Street to the west.

The City of Newman also began construction of Phase I of the PQRST, Fresno, Merced, & West Avenue Infrastructure Project. Improvements consisted of the installation curb, gutter, sidewalks, and reconstruction of:

- Fresno Street, from T to West Ave
- Merced Street, from T to West Ave
- West Avenue, from Fresno to Merced Streets

#### CITY OF OAKDALE OBJECTIVES

**INFRASTRUCTURE:** To retrofit communities and neighborhoods with public infrastructure.

#### **Analysis and Actions**

The City of Oakdale completed the construction of the Oak Avenue Infrastructure Project. The project consisted of water and sewer main replacement, installation of sidewalks, curb, gutter, storm drain, ADA ramps, and street overlay.

**AFFORDABLE HOUSING:** To increase and maintain the supply of affordable housing.

#### **Analysis and Actions**

DPA Program: The program provides a maximum of \$50,000 to first time homebuyers. In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the City of Oakdale provided DPA to six first time home buyers.

Oakdale Housing Rehabilitation Program: During Fiscal Year 2003-2004, the City of Oakdale began a Housing Rehabilitation program in partnership with Self-Help Enterprises. The program was designed for income eligible homeowners within the city limits of Oakdale. Marketing was done throughout the city for the program, but they were only able to assist two families. During Fiscal Year 2005-2006 the City Council increased the rehabilitation limits. Subsequently, a request for proposal was distributed to solicit a new program consultant. The Housing Authority was selected as the City's Housing Rehabilitation Program administrator.

#### CITY OF PATTERSON OBJECTIVES

**INFRASTRUCTURE:** To retrofit communities and neighborhoods with public infrastructure.

#### **Analysis and Actions**

In Fiscal Year 2009-2010 the City of Patterson completed engineering and design work for its Downtown Infrastructure Project. Construction will begin in Fiscal Year 2010-2011.

**AFFORDABLE HOUSING:** To increase and maintain the supply of affordable housing.

#### Analysis and Actions

DPA Program: The City of Patterson provides DPA Program with HOME, CDBG, and local RDA funds. The program provides a maximum of \$30,000 to first time homebuyers.

#### CITY OF WATERFORD OBJECTIVES

**INFRASTRUCTURE:** To retrofit communities and neighborhoods with public infrastructure.

#### **Analysis and Actions**

The City of Waterford began construction of the Phase I Brethren Park Rehabilitation Project and will continue Phase II in Fiscal Year 2010-2011.

#### **Lead-based Paint**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to evaluate and reduce leadbased paint hazards.

The Health Services Agency of Stanislaus County is the lead agency for Stanislaus County in the identification, documentation and prevention of lead poisoning. The Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program of Stanislaus County, administered through the Health Services Agency, becomes involved with childhood lead-based poisoning when notification of an elevated screening blood level is received either

from the laboratory or physician. If the blood level is 10ug/dL (micrograms per deciliter), notification is made to the family. Once a child meets the case definition, an environmental investigation is performed by a Registered Environmental Health Specialist to determine, if possible, the source of lead exposure. The Stanislaus County Health Services Agency in partnership with the Department of Environmental Resources conducts the investigation of residences where children with elevated levels of lead reside.

Between July 2001 and June 30, 2010, there were twenty two cases of childhood lead-based poisoning investigated by Stanislaus County. The cause of the lead exposure was connected to the housing unit in 8 of these cases. The cause of the poisoning in 10 cases was the presence of lead in food and home-remedies and hobbies that entailed materials comprised of lead paint (bullet-making and ceramic figure painting). In 4 of the cases the cause was not determined.

During the Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Planning and Community Development Department partnered with the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program to distribute information in the unincorporated areas and the participating jurisdictions. If the source of lead exposure is related to the residential physical environment (e.g. peeling paint that indicates the presence of lead) then the Housing Rehabilitation Program may participate in source eradication.

The lead-based paint regulation that became effective April 22, 2010 is being implemented. Contractors bidding on rehabilitation of homes built prior to 1978 must provide documentation of EPA Lead Renovation, Repair and Painting certification. During the implementation of local housing rehabilitation programs, appropriate steps are taken when the presence of lead-based paint is detected. Steps include full encapsulation, complete abatement (removal), painting or spot-repair (as per HUD-sponsored abatement course protocol). These actions are part of the overall strategy of the Consolidated Plan and will continue in funded housing activities.

#### HOUSING

#### **Housing Actions**

# 1. Describe Actions taken during the last year to foster and maintain affordable housing.

Stanislaus County and Consortium Cities have continued to provide and/or acquire funding to continue the provision of affordable housing programs and/or projects such as housing rehabilitation programs, DPA programs, and public facility fee deferrals.

Funding from Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), Community Development Block Grant-Recovery, and Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing (HPRP) have provided the Consortium new resources for the provision of additional affordable housing opportunity opportunities for very low, low, and moderate income families and individuals. Without these programs, many of those assisted would otherwise not have been able to receive such assistance given the local and national economic situation.

#### **Progress of Specific Housing Objectives**

- Evaluate progress in meeting specific objective of providing affordable housing, including the number of extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income renter and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.
- 2. Evaluate progress in providing affordable housing that meets the Section 215 definition of affordable housing for rental and owner households comparing actual accomplishments with proposed goals during the reporting period.

All Entitlement funds utilized in projects and programs mentioned in this section served those at or below 80% of the area median income (the Workforce Development program also utilized local redevelopment funds that served a small number of families between the 80%-120% AMI threshold for Redevelopment Agencies).

During the reporting period the Consortiums' various affordable housing programs were able to assist families in acquiring their first home, or to enable a family/individual to maintain their affordable home, through the assistance of rehabilitation grants or low interest deferred loans, or loans with payments. Refer to Table 3B (Attachment A) attached to this CAPER for a summary of the Consortium's Annual Housing Completion Goals.

All families assisted under the various Consortium First Time Homebuyer DPA programs meet the Section 215 definitions of affordable housing. All related program goals were met. Refer to Table 3B (Attachment A) attached to this CAPER for a summary of the Consortium's Annual Housing Completion Goals.

The Consortium identified actions to overcoming constraints and barriers to affordable housing in the Consolidated Plan. The following is a summary of the coordinated efforts by Stanislaus County and the Cities of Ceres, Oakdale and Patterson to further affordable housing.

#### Available Resources/Use of Funds

The following are the actions taken during Fiscal Year 2009-2010 in the area of affordable housing:

#### NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION PROGRAM (NSP)

In an effort to maximize the overabundance of vacant single-family properties in the Consortium as a potential resource for affordable housing for moderate, low and very-low income households, the Consortium has been participating in the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP). NSP connects first time homebuyers to DPA and affordable single family homes within the Consortium cities and unincorporated areas of the county. The Consortium was awarded a total of \$9,744,482 million, under the Housing and Economic Recovery Act (HERA) of 2008, for the purchase of foreclosed or abandoned homes to rehabilitate, redevelop, and resell to first time home buyers with incomes up to 120% of the Area Median Income (AMI).

During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Consortium has acquired a total of 61 homes through the NSP program. Consortium-wide, approximately 20 first time homebuyers have been provided DPA to purchase NSP homes. The rehabilitation of NSP homes has had an economic benefit to local workers, material suppliers, and small business owners.

#### COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT - RECOVERY (CDBG-R)

The Consortium was awarded \$669,134 in Community Development Block Grant Program funding under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009. Following the intent of the Recovery Act, the Consortium's CDBG-R funds designed a program utilizing CDBG-R to modernize and improve energy efficiency and expand educational opportunities within the housing industry. These services are being provided in the form of rehabilitation or retrofitting of Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) acquired units with solar systems and other related energy efficiency improvements. These improvements will help those impacted by the recession by reducing their energy costs and foster energy independence for first time home buyers.

The Consortium's CDBG-R program has a goal of retrofitting a minimum of twenty (20) Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) housing units with solar systems and other related energy efficiency improvements. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010 The Consortium approved solar system installations on 17 NSP housing units. Program activity will continue and be completed during Fiscal Year 2010-2011.

#### HOMELESS PREVENTION & RAPID RE-HOUSING PROGRAM (HPRP)

HUD awarded the Consortium \$1,023,163 under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act). The funding is being utilized to prevent individuals and families from becoming homeless and to help those who are experiencing homelessness to be quickly re-housed and stabilized. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, 54 individuals, made up of 14 households, were assisted with Homeless Prevention and 71 individuals, made up of 28 households, were assisted with Rapid Re-housing. The HPRP program will continue to be implemented during the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year.

#### **DOWN PAYMENT ASSISTANCE (DPA) PROGRAM**

Stanislaus County provided a DPA Program for first time homebuyers during the last fiscal year. The program assisted owners in their homeownership goals in amounts not to exceed \$50,000. The County utilized HOME, CalHome, and Redevelopment Agency Housing Set-Aside funds for the program and assist families. During the Fiscal Year 2009-2010, fifteen (15) DPA loans were funded to qualifying households. The program is for very low and low-income households that purchase a home in the unincorporated areas of the County.

The City of Oakdale funds a DPA program for income eligible households. The City provides up to \$50,000 in assistance to first time homebuyers for homes in the City of Oakdale. The program consists of a 3% loan that is due after 30 years or when the property is first sold or the deed is transferred.

In Fiscal Year 2007-2008, the City of Patterson began a DPA Program for income eligible households. The City provides up to \$30,000 in loan assistance to residents that qualify to purchase a home within the city limits.

#### HOUSING REPAIR PROGRAM

In Fiscal Year 2008-2009 the County was awarded \$750,000 in CalHome funds. This funding became available in the Spring of 2009 and was incorporated into the existing Housing Rehabilitation Program. The funds are supplied in the form of grants and/or loans to households at or below 80% of the AMI.

The City of Oakdale also offers a Housing Rehabilitation Program to income eligible residents. A loan of \$45,000-60,000 is available for qualifying seniors. Very low-income households are offered a loan at 0%, and low and moderate income households can qualify for a deferred payment loan at 3% that is due and payable after 20 years.

## **HOUSING PROJECTS**

As the Consortium develops affordable housing projects they provide the opportunity for developers of affordable housing to defer the collection of related Public Facilities Fees and pass that cost savings to lower income residents of the respective community.

#### MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

Since 2005 there have been two high density affordable housing projects for seniors, either approved or in the process of construction. One is located within the City of Oakdale and one is located within the City of Patterson. As economic conditions improve and growth returns, the need for affordable multi-family rental units will likely resurface.

# **Efforts to Address "Worst-case" Housing Needs**

3. Describe efforts to address "worst-case" housing needs and housing needs of persons with disabilities.

In efforts to address "worst-case" housing needs and housing needs of persons with disabilities, the Consortium partners with organizations such as the Housing Authority and Disability Resource Agency for Independent Living (DRAIL). DRAIL promotes and implements the concept of independent living by aiding persons with disabilities to obtain the tools they need to be self-sufficient. The Consortium partners with DRAIL through the CDBG Public Service Program, to provide assistance to individuals with disabilities to obtain items such as wheelchair ramps that would therefore facilitate accessibility to the person's home.

## **Public Housing Strategy**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to improve public housing and resident initiatives.

The Consortium actively partners with the Stanislaus Housing and Support Services Collaborative (CoC) in all activities related to improving public housing and resident initiatives. During past fiscal years, Consortium cities have partnered with the Housing Authority to rehabilitate public housing units.

The Housing Authority of the County of Stanislaus (H.A.) is the largest landlord of multi-family and single household public housing units for the lower income population of Stanislaus County. The H.A. is committed to provide decent affordable

housing to its residents and in doing so, the H.A. keeps public housing units in favorable conditions so that its residents have a safe and healthy living environment. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the H.A. had a total of thirteen (13) public housing modernization projects. Modernization activity included from replacement of windows with new energy efficient units, re-roofing, interior improvements, installation of new energy star rated appliances, and replacement of outdated HVAC systems with high efficiency energy star rated systems.

Public housing improvements were conducted at different sites throughout Stanislaus County which include: Riverbank, Patterson, Westley, Hughson, Turlock, Ceres, and Modesto. The H.A. was able to fund these improvements on a total of 662 housing units, via American Recovery and Reinvestment Act and HUD Capital Fund Program funds.

# **Barriers to Affordable Housing**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to eliminate barriers to affordable housing.

# **ZONING POLICIES**

In 2009, the County adopted an update to the Housing Element of the General Plan reflective of the County's 2007-2014 Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA). The amount of land zoned for residential development is limited in the unincorporated areas Stanislaus County. There are residential zoned areas that are vacant, but some lack the sewer and water infrastructure that is necessary for any type of dense development to occur. In 2008, the voters of Stanislaus County approved a ballot measure "Measure E", which limits the County ability to rezone Agriculture zone properties for residential projects. Due to the passage of Measure E, affordable housing development is encouraged to occur within the cities of Stanislaus County as they have the infrastructure available to support the development of housing. However, the County's Housing Element clearly shows that policies are in place to ensure that affordable housing needs will be meet. Also in 2009, the County, Patterson, and Oakdale either began or continued the process of preparing comprehensive General Plan updates which will further address policies designed to eliminate barriers to affordable housing.

## THE FORECLOSURE CRISIS

The changed economic circumstances confronting the Consortium, especially as those affecting homeownership and rental housing markets, must be viewed as potential threats to fair housing choice. Clearly, vacancy rates in single-family dwellings have been rising rapidly throughout the County as more and more homes have gone into foreclosure or been abandoned, while the number of properties teetering on the verge of delinquency and default remains high.

In response to the foreclosure crisis in the Northern San Joaquin Valley, there have been local efforts to address the crisis. In partnership with local, state, federal, and private entities the County and some Consortium cities have been involved in the efforts to assist homeowners that are in foreclosure and/or at risk of foreclosure. County staff regularly attends Northern California Housing Counseling Network meetings to learn about the resources available to people facing foreclosure. This allows staff to provide information and referrals to individuals seeking assistance of this sort.

# HOME/American Dream Down Payment Initiative (ADDI)

- 1. Assessment of Relationship of HOME Funds to Goals and Objectives
  - a. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using HOME funds, including the number and types of households served.

Stanislaus County is a partner in the City of Turlock/Stanislaus County HOME Consortium, which includes the Cities of Oakdale, Patterson, Ceres, and Newman. As the lead agency, the City of Turlock administers the HOME program for the County and reports the partnering jurisdictions' activities in the HOME Consortium's CAPER.

# HOMELESS

# **Homeless Needs**

- 1. Identify actions taken to address needs of homeless persons.
- 2. Identify actions to help homeless persons make the transition to permanent housing and independent living.
- 3. Identify new Federal resources obtained from Homeless SuperNOFA.

During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Consortium partnered with four (4) homeless service providers through the Emergency Shelter Grant Program.

- The Children's Crisis Center received \$17,606 in renovation funds to increase safety in the facility which provides shelter services to homeless children who are abused, neglected, and at-risk.
- Community Housing and Shelter Services (CHSS) received \$65,812 for homeless prevention activities. CHSS provided rental assistance vouchers to families at risk of becoming homeless.
- Inter-Faith Ministries was funded \$9,818 for rehabilitation of the Redwood Family Center. The Center is a shelter for homeless women with children working to gain full custody of their children while addressing obstacles that contribute to homelessness such as drug and alcohol addiction, lack of education, and poor job skills.
- The We Care Program received \$10,969 in ESG funds to provide security at their Emergency cold Weather Shelter which serves single adult males suffering from homelessness.

# TRANSITION FROM HOMELESS TO PERMANENT HOUSING AND INDEPENDENT LIVING

The Consortium partnered with We Care, Community Housing & Shelter Services, Children's Crisis Center and Inter-Faith Ministries in efforts to help homeless persons and families make a transition to permanent housing and independent living. With the use of Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program (HPRP) grant funds, both the chronically homeless populations and temporarily homeless households were provided the opportunity to be placed into permanent housing. While enrolled in the program, case managers work with each household to set goals and work on a

housing action plan in order to identify and connect with any needed services such as TANF, Food Stamps, Veteran's Benefits, future employment opportunities, etc. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010 the HPRP program was able to permanently house a total of 71 individuals, made up of 28 households. The HPRP program will continue to be implemented during the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year.

Agencies funded under the HPRP program were also awarded Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) funds through a competitive grant application process. ESG funds were utilized to provide emergency and transitional shelter to homeless individuals and households. Clients that show progress and motivation toward self-sufficiency receive extended case management in conjunction with HPRP funds to assist in the placement of job and permanent housing placement.

The Redwood Family Center, operated by Inter-Faith Ministries, is a shelter for homeless women with children working to gain full custody of their children while addressing obstacles that contribute to homelessness such as drug and alcohol addiction, lack of education, and poor job skills. During their stay at the shelter, residents are provided food, shelter, and the tools and training necessary to remain clean and sober and secure permanent housing. Residents meet with case managers to develop goals that are specific to their needs. Center staff meets with the participants regularly to monitor their progress and determine what needs to be accomplished before each resident leaves the facility. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the program served 117 women and children.

We Care of Turlock, provides emergency shelter to chronically homeless adult males during the worst winter months. Case Managers work with these individuals on a plan for self sufficiency. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year a total of 141 individuals were sheltered at the We Care facility. Many entering the emergency shelter were permanently housed through the HPRP program.

The Children's Crisis Center's Guardian House facility utilized ESG funds to operate a daycare and shelter facility for homeless children and for children at-risk of homelessness in the Oakdale area. Through the facility and with HPRP funds, parents receive case management services, allowing them to find and maintain jobs, housing and other necessary services. The Guardian House shelter facility served 170 children and adults throughout the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year.

# HOMELESS SUPER-NOFA (NOTICE OF FUNDING AVAILABILITY) RESOURCES

The following chart indicates the resources acquired through the Stanislaus Housing and Support Services Collaborative to assist those individuals/families directly suffering from homelessness along with those in jeopardy of becoming homeless.

# Turlock/Modesto/Stanislaus

<u>Program</u>	<u>Source</u>	<b>Amount Received</b>
HALO 7	SHP	\$262,189.00
HALO Homes	SHPR	\$570,052.00
Homes for Homeless	SHPR	\$264,741.00
Miller Pointe Project	S+CR	\$132,120.00
Pathways	SHPR	\$232,500.00

Shelter plus Care 1-4 Project S+CR \$538,140.00

Supportive Housing SHP \$474,160.00

Total: \$2,473,902.00

SHP: Supporting Housing Program

SHPR: Supporting Housing Program Renewal

S+CR: Shelter Plus Care Renewal

# **Specific Homeless Prevention Elements**

# 1. Identify actions taken to prevent homelessness.

During Fiscal Year 2009-2010 there have been several actions taken to prevent homelessness. The Consortium partnered with Community Housing & Shelter Services and the Children's Crisis Center in efforts to prevent homelessness with the use of Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program (HPRP) grant funds. While enrolled in the program, case managers work with each household to set goals and work on a housing action plan in order to identify and connect with any needed services such as TANF, Food Stamps, Veteran's Benefits, future employment opportunities, etc. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, 54 individuals, made up of 14 households, were assisted with Homeless Prevention. The HPRP program will continue to be implemented during the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year.

The Children's Crisis Center's Guardian House facility utilized ESG funds to operate a daycare and shelter facility for children at-risk of homelessness in the Oakdale area. In conjunction with HPRP funds, the facility provided parents case management services, allowing them to find and maintain jobs, housing and other necessary services. The Guardian House shelter facility served 170 children and adults throughout the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year.

The Community Housing and Shelter Services also received ESG funds to provide homeless prevention assistance via rental vouchers. The program provides assistance to families at risk of becoming homeless by providing a month's assistance. The family must demonstrate under what circumstances the case is an emergency and that such emergency will be eliminated and self-sustainability will be accomplished and they will be able to make their rent the following month. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year the agency provided rental assistance to 120 unduplicated households. The agency also provides foreclosure prevention assistance for County residents through other grant funding.

# **Emergency Shelter Grants (ESG)**

1. Identify actions to address emergency shelter and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families (including significant subpopulations such as those living on the streets).

- 2. Assessment of Relationship of ESG Funds to Goals and Objectives
  - a. Evaluate progress made in using ESG funds to address homeless and homeless prevention needs, goals, and specific objectives established in the Consolidated Plan.
  - b. Detail how ESG projects are related to implementation of comprehensive homeless planning strategy, including the number and types of individuals and persons in households served with ESG funds.

## 3. Matching Resources

a. Provide specific sources and amounts of new funding used to meet match as required by 42 USC 11375(a)(1), including cash resources, grants, and staff salaries, as well as in-kind contributions such as the value of a building or lease, donated materials, or volunteer time.

## 4. State Method of Distribution

a. States must describe their method of distribution and how it rated and selected its local government agencies and private nonprofit organizations acting as subrecipients.

## 5. Activity and Beneficiary Data

- a. Completion of attached Emergency Shelter Grant Program Performance Chart or other reports showing ESGP expenditures by type of activity. Also describe any problems in collecting, reporting, and evaluating the reliability of this information.
- b. Homeless Discharge Coordination
  - i. As part of the government developing and implementing a homeless discharge coordination policy, ESG homeless prevention funds may be used to assist very-low income individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless after being released from publicly funded institutions such as health care facilities, foster care or other youth facilities, or corrections institutions or programs.
- c. Explain how your government is instituting a homeless discharge coordination policy, and how ESG homeless prevention funds are being used in this effort.

The Consortium partnered with the We Care Program, the Children's Crisis Center's Guardian House and Inter-Faith Ministries' Redwood Family Center to address emergency and transitional housing needs of homeless individuals and families.

The Redwood Family Center, operated by Inter-Faith Ministries, is a shelter for homeless women with children working to gain full custody of their children while addressing obstacles that contribute to homelessness such as drug and alcohol addiction, lack of education, and poor job skills. During their stay at the shelter, residents are provided food, shelter, and the tools and training necessary to remain clean and sober and secure permanent housing. Residents meet with case managers to develop goals that are specific to their needs. Center staff meets with the participants regularly to monitor their progress and determine what needs to be accomplished before each resident leaves the facility. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the program served 117 women and children.

We Care of Turlock, provides emergency shelter to chronically homeless adult males during the worst winter months. Case Managers work with these individuals on a plan for self sufficiency. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year a total of 141 individuals were sheltered at the We Care facility. Many entering the emergency shelter were permanently housed through the HPRP program.

The Children's Crisis Center's Guardian House facility utilized ESG funds to operate a daycare and shelter facility for homeless children and for children at-risk of homelessness in the Oakdale area. Through the facility and with HPRP funds, parents receive case management services, allowing them to find and maintain jobs, housing and other necessary services. The Guardian House shelter facility served 170 children and adults throughout the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year.

## **ESG GOALS & OBJECTIVES**

The Consortium has made progress using ESG funds to address homeless and homeless prevention needs, goals, and specific objectives of the Consolidated Plan. Specific Objectives of the Consolidated Plan include: Education and Outreach, Partnerships, Prevention and Supportive Services, and Vocational and Employment Training.

#### **EDUCATION AND OUTREACH**

In order to better serve the homeless population outreach is required by service providers. Most agencies that deal with the homeless population are non-profits and community groups. The Consortium awarded grants to non-profit homeless service providers that among other services, provided education and outreach to the general public, homeless population, and public agencies. Services to be provided by awarded agencies are announced in the Annual Action Plan which is shared with multiple stakeholders at Municipal Advisory Committees, local homeless action committees as well as at city public review meetings for comment and input. In addition, the County publishes a public services pamphlet every year highlighting the services available through our ESG funded programs.

## **PARTNERSHIPS**

The Consortium is involved with collaboration service providers, community groups, and partnerships throughout the County. By creating and retaining partnerships, the Consortium has been better able to provide services to communities and agencies.

The County and other local jurisdictions coordinate with local service providers in the area to improve homeless services by communicating upcoming events and available services at a number of local collaborative meetings; including the Stanislaus County Housing and Supportive Services Collaborative (SHSSC), the Ceres Collaborative and the Turlock Collaborative. By allowing a regular open forum for networking, providers and administrators of ESG funds are able to identify homeless service gaps and to discuss solutions to homeless service issues in the area.

During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, County staff had the opportunity to collaborate with the City of Modesto and the City of Turlock on the development of a common ESG & CDBG Public Services application process, as well as on common data collection and reporting forms.

#### PREVENTION AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

The Consortium awarded ESG grants to several service providers such as Community Housing and Shelter Services (CHSS), We Care, Inter-Faith Ministries, and Children's

Crisis Center, all of which provide prevention and supportive services. The agencies provided services such as permanent housing search, employment training and placement, and mental health referrals. Utilized in conjunction with HPRP grant funds, these agencies have made great improvements in their outreach, intake & resource & referral processes to help persons at risk of becoming homeless and who were homeless to find and maintain permanent housing.

Homeless prevention activities are provided by various non-profits within the community. These non-profits accept referrals from publicly funded institutions that would otherwise have to release very-low income individuals onto the streets, with nowhere to reside upon release. These non-profits such as Community Housing and Shelter Services and Inter-Faith Ministries regularly attend P.A.C.T. meetings to assist those leaving incarceration in their quest to find stable housing.

#### **VOCATIONAL & EMPLOYMENT TRAINING**

Stanislaus County continued to be a partner in the Workforce Development Collaborative which includes non-profit agencies, affordable housing providers, the Community Services Agency, the City of Modesto, and Modesto Junior College. The Collaborative Workforce Development Program offered vocational and employment training to individuals on TANF. The program also offers supportive services to special populations such as ESL classes, writing skills classes, math classes, legal referrals, and housing referrals.

The Consortium's CDBG-R funds designed a program utilizing CDBG-R to modernize and improve energy efficiency and expand educational opportunities within the housing industry. These services are being provided in the form of rehabilitation or retrofitting of Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) acquired units with solar systems and other related energy efficiency improvements. These improvements will help those impacted by the recession by reducing their energy costs and foster energy independence for first time home buyers.

The Consortium's CDBG-R program has a goal of retrofitting a minimum of twenty (20) Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP) housing units with solar systems and other related energy efficiency improvements. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Consortium approved solar system installations on 17 NSP housing units. Program activity will continue and be completed during Fiscal Year 2010-2011.

In collaboration with the Alliance WorkNet, Modesto Junior College and 1<sup>st</sup> Light Energy Solar, Consortium CDBG-R funds helped to prepare, through direct classroom and hands on training, a total of twenty (20) displaced workers for a future in the solar and weatherization industry.

# IMPLEMENTATION OF A COMPREHENSIVE HOMELESS PLANNING STRATEGY

Stanislaus County and the Cities of Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford are members of the Continuum of Care Housing and Supportive Services Collaborative of Stanislaus County. At the local level, this collaborative provides the most comprehensive analysis of the homeless population and service availability in Stanislaus County. This collaborative is comprised of the Housing Authority of Stanislaus County, Community Housing and Shelter Services, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services, Center for Human Services, Stanislaus County Redevelopment Agency, the City of Turlock, the City of Modesto, faith-based organizations, and over a dozen service providers.

One of purposes of the Continuum of Care Collaborative is to fund projects that assist homeless persons in self-sufficiency and permanent housing. Funds may be allocated through a competitive process and used for the Supportive Housing Program (SHP) and Shelter Plus Care.

The Continuum of Care System consists of three components. They are:

- 1) Emergency shelter/assessment effort which provides immediate shelter and can identify an individual's needs; or,
- 2) Offering transitional housing and necessary social services. Such services include substance abuse treatment, short-term mental health services, independent living skills, job training; or,
- 3) Providing permanent supportive housing arrangements. ESG funded projects are related to the Continuum of Care System as they provided emergency shelter while working on identifying needs of the homeless (We Care Program), offered transitional housing and necessary social services (Redwood Family Center), and provided permanent supportive housing arrangements (Community Housing & Shelter Services Homeless Prevention Program).

#### **EMERGENCY SHELTER GRANT PROGRAM GRANTEES**

The Emergency Shelter Grant (ESG) program is designed to be the first step in a continuum of assistance to prevent homelessness and to enable homeless individuals and families to move toward independent living. The ESG program was originally established by the Homeless Housing Act of 1986, in response to the growing issue of homelessness among men, women, and children in the United States. In 1987, the ESG program was incorporated into subtitle B of Title IV of the McKinney-Vento Homeless Assistance Act (42 U.S.C. 11371-11378).

ESG is a formula-funded program that uses the CDBG formula as the basis for allocating funds to eligible jurisdictions, including states, territories, and qualified metropolitan cities and urban counties. While flexible in terms of serving all homeless subpopulations and preventing persons from becoming homeless, the ESG program legislation and implementing regulations do limit the types of activities and amount of funds that can be spent on different activities. The following are the five categories of eligible activities and their relative percentage caps of total ESG funds:

- Rehabilitation/Renovation/Conversion (no cap)
- Essential Services (30%)
- Operational Costs (10%)
- ➤ Homeless Prevention Activities (30%)
- ➤ Administrative Costs (5%)

The ESG Program is intended to supplement state, local and private efforts to improve the quality and number of emergency shelters and transitional facilities for homeless people. The purpose of ESG funds is to help operate these facilities, to provide essential support services to residents, and to help prevent at-risk families or individuals from becoming homeless.

The Consortium became eligible for ESG program funds for the first time during the 2004-05 Fiscal Year. Funds were set aside for this program to allow non-profits and service providers to apply through a competitive process for an ESG grant. Funds must be utilized to assist eligible Consortium residents within the framework of HUD approved ESG activities.

During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, The Consortium's ESG funds included \$104,203 for Operational, Essential, Prevention and Rehabilitation costs and \$5,484.00 for administrative funds.

Applications were released in January 2009 and were due in February 2009. Applications were then received by the County Planning and Community Development Department and reviewed and scored by a review team, consisting of a representative from the County Planning and Community Development Department (non-Community Development Division), the cities of Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, the County Chief Executive Office, and a representative from the Housing and Supportive Services Collaborative of Stanislaus County. The top scoring applications were then presented to the County Board of Supervisors for final approval.

A total of three homeless service providers, including three shelter facilities, and one homeless prevention and assistance provider, received funds during the fiscal year to provide ESG services throughout the County. Fiscal Year 2009-2010 ESG recipients utilized approximately \$760,000 in matching funds from other public and/or private sources to ensure successful programs. The following is a summary of these matching funds:

## **MATCHING RESOURCES**

**State**: \$479,740 **Local**: \$281,000 **Total**: **\$760,740** 

#### STATE METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION

Not Applicable.

# **ESG PROGRAM PERFORMANCE**

Organization Name	Program/Project	Essential Services	Operational Costs	Homeless Prevention	Renovation/ Rehabilitation	Total
Children's Crisis Center	Guardian House Renovation				\$17,604	\$17,604
Community Housing and Shelter Services	Homeless Prevention	\$32,906		\$32,906		\$65,812
Inter-Faith Ministries	Redwood Family Center Renovation				\$9,818	\$9,818
We Care Program	Emergency Winter Shelter Security		\$10,969			\$10,969
Stanislaus County	Administration					\$5,484
Total		\$32,906	\$10,969	\$32,906	\$27,422	\$109,687

Following is a summary of the accomplishments of ESG program grantees:

Stanislaus County ESG Administration

Project # ESG-09-01

\$5,484.00 Funds Budgeted \$5,484.00 Funds Expended

ESG funds were used to pay for administration costs for staff involved with the program. This includes, but is not limited to time that is devoted to the coordination and administration of the ESG program and Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). Funds will be expended within the 24-month perimeters established for the ESG program.

#### Children's Crisis Center

<u>Guardian House Renovation Project</u> <u>Project #</u> ESG-09-02

\$17,606.00 Funds Budgeted \$17,606.00 Funds Expended

Guardian House offers homeless, low-income children relief from potentially neglectful or abusive circumstances and furnishes them with the opportunities to benefit their growth and development from within a nurturing environment rich with comfort stability and affection. The program includes a therapeutic activity component which engages children in specialized activities designed to form healthy attachments, gain trust, and develop the skills required to succeed in school. Games and playtime activities were facilitated by the therapeutic teacher who was responsible for designing and implementing therapeutic activities tailored around the child's age, emotional needs, and developmental capabilities. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, ESG funds were utilized to improve safety within the play area of the facility by constructing built in shelving. The program served a total of 170 unduplicated children during the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year.

# Community Housing & Shelter Services (CHSS) <u>Homeless Prevention</u> <u>Project #</u> ESG-09-03

\$65,812.00 Funds Budgeted \$49,047.12 Funds Expended

CHSS's Homeless Prevention program provides financial assistance to low income individuals and families throughout Stanislaus County. Support is available to assist households with moving costs into a new unit or to prevent a household from becoming homeless. Financial assistance comes in the form of a month's rent, rental deposits, utility arrears or utility deposits. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year CHSS had three predominate causes of impending homelessness including overcrowding within the home, foreclosure of rental units and sudden loss of income due to job loss or illness. CHSS was able to provide rental assistance to 120 unduplicated individuals with Fiscal Year 2009-2010 ESG funds. This project is slated to be completed during the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year.

**Inter-Faith Ministries** 

Redwood Family Center Renovation

Project # ESG-09-04

\$9,818.00 Funds Budgeted \$00.00 Funds Expended The Redwood Family Center (RFC) is a clean, sober, safe and transitional shelter for women with children. Many women entering the facility have been recently released from jail or have been referred from other treatment programs. At RFC, women are able to work on their clean and sober issues, while simultaneously working toward keeping or regaining custody of their children. During this Fiscal Year 2008-2009 ESG funds were dedicated to go toward a fire safety system for the facility. This project is slated to be completed during the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, matching funds assisted 117 unduplicated women and children were served at the Redwood Family Center.

# We Care Program

Emergency Cold Weather Shelter

**Project # ESG-09-05** 

**\$10,969.00 Funds Budgeted \$10,969.00 Funds Expended** 

During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the We Care Cold Weather Emergency Shelter provided shelter to 141 homeless single males between the hours of 6pm to 9am, seven days a week through the winter months of December to March. Daily meals were served to program participants by local churches in the area and participants were required to do their own housekeeping during their stay in the shelter. Program participants also received information and referral services and case management in the areas of counseling, housing and employment.

# **Homeless Discharge Coordination**

On July 10, 2001 the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors unanimously designated the Stanislaus Housing and Support Services Collaborative (SHSSC) as the planning and coordinating body for homeless programs and services in Stanislaus County; directed staff to seek Modesto City Council Support for similar designation to facilitate the collaboration of efforts on behalf of the homeless (City of Modesto adopted June 26, 2001 by Resolution No. 2001-313); directed staff to explore the feasibility of a collaborative effort to fund a grant writing capability to be shared by the City/County and Housing Authority; requested SHSSC submit bylaws for Board review; and, designated the SHSSC to develop and implement the Continuum of Care Plan as required by HUD – Behavioral Health and Recovery Services (BHRS) and Community Services Agency 2001-530.

The Continuum of Care Plan includes the following discharge policy (excerpt from the SHSSC Continuum of Care Plan):

The Stanislaus County Continuum of Care is working with the appropriate local and State government agencies to ensure that discharge policies for persons leaving publicly funded institutions or systems of care are being developed and implemented to prevent the discharge of persons from immediately resulting in homelessness. The following illustrates policies currently in place within the County and future plans to improve the discharge planning process.

Through contracted services provided by Telecare SHOP, (the county's primary agency which conducts extensive outreach to chronically homeless mentally ill individuals), Behavioral Health and Recovery Services provides treatment and discharge planning to adults with serious mental illness and/or chemical addiction. SHOP has extensive policies in place to ensure that patients and mentally ill inmates

are not discharged into inappropriate settings such as shelters, the streets, hotels or motels. Discharge planning is multi-disciplinary and begins at the time of admission to the facility. SHOP has the primary responsibility of discharge planning for each patient. The discharge planning team involves the patient, family, guardians, and community agencies to develop a plan for a living situation, medication, vocational, social and educational needs; community based follow-up; support services; and meaningful life activities. The discharge planning process also includes looking at a supportive or protective environment if the patient is expected to be incapable of independent living. SHOP case managers work directly with BHRS to arrange appropriate follow-up services, including housing, for the patients who are discharged. Information regarding the patient's diagnosis and medications and other pertinent information is forwarded to the various agencies that will be providing the follow-up services for the patient. To ensure that discharges do not result in homelessness, the SCHSSC works closely with SHOP to ensure current policies are effective.

Appropriate discharge settings include nursing homes, basic care facilities, adult foster care, and independent living. SHOP assists individuals in completing applications for this and other mainstream resources such as Social Security prior to the patient's discharge. In addition, the Housing Authority will be collaborating with BHRS to provide Section 8 Vouchers for persons who are homeless and being discharged from institutions, hospitals, or jail facilities.

In Stanislaus County, Social Service Agencies are responsible for ensuring that children and youth in foster care settings are returned to their families or to settings other than homeless circumstances. During Fiscal Year 2003-2004 a planning group comprised of mental health representatives and the members of the SCHSSC who provide transitional and permanent supportive housing to youth out of foster care or other children's systems of care developed a comprehensive policy designed to decrease discharges to McKinney Vento beds, emergency shelters, psychiatric hospitalization and homelessness. In October 2003, the Stanislaus County Youth Focus Group developed a report, which is now utilized statewide to address the needs of youth exiting children's systems of care. With direction from the focus group, the needs assessment was conducted by consumer representatives within the mental health system who interviewed and evaluated their young adult peers and developed an assessment of gaps in services.

The Stanislaus County Community Services Agency in collaboration with supportive service and housing providers have developed programs to prevent youth from entering McKinney-Vento emergency shelter systems. Presently, there are four government and community-based projects designed to provide transitional to permanent supportive housing. Supportive services through Child Welfare includes an after care social worker who connects the youth with services such as access to mainstream resources, education, financial, vocational, and mental health/substance abuse services. Three district transitional and permanent supportive housing service programs are offered for young adults, and young families through age 24, and one program is specifically designed for youth 17 to 19 years of age.

The CA Department of Corrections (CDC) recently developed the Police and Corrections Team Program (PACT), which is required within each parole district statewide. This program, also referred to as Community Policing, is a nationally recognized partnership between law enforcement and local service providers to ensure that parolees are provided with a connection to adequate supportive and

housing services to improve their success in reintegrating into the community. In June 2004, members of the SCHSSC met with the coordinators of this program to discuss their role in the CoC Plan. Members of the PACT team expressed an interest in working with the collaborative in developing a comprehensive discharge plan for parolees residing in Stanislaus County. On July 8, 2004, the PACT team held its first meeting, which consisted of local law enforcement, parolees, and local service providing agencies.

The SCHSSC envisions the discharge planning process beginning at the time of admission to a facility. However this is not occurring consistently. Currently, discharge arrangements are made only for the inmates with serious mental illness or who have HIV/AIDS who choose to receive services from the local Human Service Centers. The Department of Corrections does make arrangements with the local Probation and Parole (P&P) when necessary. P&P does monitor the living situations of the parolees on an on-going basis. Because of the nature of some of the persons' crimes (felonies, sexual offenders, drug related charges), persons exiting the penitentiary are faced with limited discharge options, which may include shelters.

The SCHSSC is working with the coordinators of PACT and is in the process of establishing a Discharge Planning Subcommittee. This sub-committee will attend county and possibly statewide discharge policy planning meetings, make connections with local and state government agencies regarding their discharge planning policies and processes, report their findings to the SCHSSC, and monitor the discharge processes to ensure that discharges do not result in homelessness.

This committee will comprise of members who represent the mentally ill, substance abusers, youth out of foster care, disabled and parolee populations and who can identify the specific needs within each subpopulation in order to create better discharge plans for these populations. This committee will be "liaison" to work with the SCHSSC, Department of Corrections (DOC), local law enforcement, service providers, housing providers and other institutions or facilities. With its diverse membership representing special populations, this committee will be well positioned to serve as an "overseer" for discharge planning. If necessary, this committee can, as a countywide advocacy group, raise concerns regarding discharge planning to the appropriate agencies. The liaison will also report to the SCHSSC any issues of concern to determine whether there are ongoing issues surrounding inappropriate discharges.

Other actions, which will be undertaken, include contacting the DOC to discuss the importance of initiating discharge planning at the time of admissions and the need to hold regular meetings of aftercare coordinators regarding the discharge of inmates with serious mental illnesses and chemical dependency needs into the community. Based on the results of this research, the SCHSSC will consider the needs in developing permanent supportive housing for inmates released from facility settings.

The Consortium Community Development Block Grant Program (CDBG), in response to the federal requirement that all Annual Action Plans have a policy that relates to potential homelessness of individuals being released from local, state, or federal funded institutions (e.g. jail, prison, and foster-care program), provides for the following support for those persons.

There are a number of local service providers that are part of the Police and Corrections Team Program (P.A.C.T.) and meet twice a month to share their support services with recent parolees. Agencies participating include:

United Samaritan Foundation - meals

Employment Development Dept. - employment opportunities

Dept. of Motor Vehicles - identification

Advancing Vibrant Communities - matches needs with providers

King-Kennedy Memorial Center - educational skills

People's Christian Fellowship Church - supportive living

Modesto Learning Center - education

AEGIS Medical Systems - addiction treatment

New Hope Recovery - addiction treatment

Friends Outside - job development

Salvation Army - meals, rehabilitation

Reformers Unanimous - faith-based

Modesto Gospel Mission - meals, shelter

AGAPE House - shelter, discipleship

Solidarity Fellowship - shelter, sober living communities, employment opportunities Community Rehabilitation and Educational Services - shelter, recovery program for men

Celebrate Recovery - recovery program

Dept. of Child Support Services - Angel Tree Network

Proposition 36 - drug treatment programs

To the extent that shelter services are needed, Stanislaus County will interface with the appropriate service agency to access shelter. Should there be a need that cannot be met utilizing any of the above shelter providers, Stanislaus County will utilize other agencies that are not part of PACT, but nevertheless provide shelter for the homeless and Target Income Group.

These agencies include:

We Care Community Housing and Shelter Services Interfaith Ministries

The CDBG program will interface with the Stanislaus County Community Services Agency to respond with shelter needs of those persons 'aging-out' of the Foster Care system.

County Staff will also work with agencies (e.g. Behavioral Health and Recovery Services (BHRS) to develop housing projects that respond to needs beyond a standalone shelter (i.e. a facility that would include among other things medical services).

# COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

# **Community Development Summary**

- 1. Assessment of Relationship of CDBG Funds to Goals and Objectives
  - a. Assess use of CDBG funds in relation to the priorities, needs, goals, and specific objectives in the Consolidated Plan, particularly the highest priority activities.
  - b. Evaluate progress made toward meeting goals for providing affordable housing using CDBG funds, including the number and types of households served.
  - c. Indicate the extent to which CDBG funds were used for activities that benefited extremely low-income, low-income, and moderate-income persons.

The priorities for "Non-Housing Community Development Needs" identified in the Consolidated Plan are public infrastructure and public services. In many neighborhoods and communities of the planning area, public infrastructure is minimal or non-existent, causing this to be a high priority need. Infrastructure such as sewer, water, curb, gutter, sidewalk, and storm drainage are typical development standards in newer neighborhoods, but are non-existent in older neighborhoods. The cities of Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Waterford, as well as Stanislaus County utilized CDBG funds for infrastructure improvement related projects. As a result of these improvements, residents of the surrounding project area enjoy an improved quality of life.

Through the Public Services Program, the Consortium sets aside 10% of its annual CDBG allocation for programs that provide services to low to moderate-income families or individuals. In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, a total of seventeen (17) public service programs were awarded \$244,219. Over 55,000 individuals received a form of service through the funded agencies. Funded services ranged from meal and shelter for low-income children to emergency food assistance programs. The services provided through the funded programs positively impact the lives of the individuals served.

### CDBG & AFFORDABLE HOUSING PROGRESS

The third year CAPER for this Consolidated Plan cycle has met or exceeded the goals set by the community and staff within the Entitlement area. All homes served meet the moderate-income limits and fall below the 80% area median income (AMI) for the area. Over 5% of the population served by program funds falls below the 0%-50% AMI for the area, and approximately 95% of the population served falls within the 50%-80% AMI for the community being served. Specific numbers are reflected in project charts at the conclusion of this document.

#### CDBG & LOW/MODERATE INCOME

All Entitlement funds utilized in projects and programs served those at or below 80% of the area median income (the Workforce Development program also utilized local redevelopment funds that served a small number of families between the 80%-120% AMI threshold for Redevelopment Agencies).

# **Changes in Program Objectives**

- 2. Changes in Program Objectives
  - a. Identify the nature of and the reasons for any changes in program objectives and how the jurisdiction would change its program as a result of its experiences.
- 3. Assessment of Efforts in Carrying Out Planned Actions
  - a. Indicate how grantee pursued all resources indicated in the Consolidated Plan.
  - b. Indicate how grantee provided certifications of consistency in a fair and impartial manner.
  - c. Indicate how grantee did not hinder Consolidated Plan implementation by action or willful inaction.

One area in which there is a slow shift in program objectives is towards workforce development and economic development partnering opportunities. The Consortium has a goal to expand technology training opportunities throughout the County Unincorporated areas and within the boundaries of the five partner Cities. The primary reason for this change in methodology is a result of seeing a need for the population to receive the skills necessary for them to receive a livable wage to provide for their needs. Workforce technology development training will be expanded in Fiscal Year 2010-2011 into the City of Waterford.

#### PLANNED ACTION ASSESSMENT

Consortium staff actively pursues state and federal funding sources for all programs that are offered as well as offering incentives for public service programs to provide local leverage to help sustain their program as demand for services grow. During the past two Fiscal Years County staff was successful in securing \$1,350,000 in CalHome funds to use in combination with HOME and local Redevelopment funds.

All certification requests submitted to staff for consideration and acknowledgement that their program is consistent with the Consolidated Plan were reviewed and compared with the Consolidated Plan document and reviewed again by a second staff person for consensus. To date all requests for certifications have met consistency standards and have been approved by the Consortium.

Consortia staff meet and exceed all public noticing requirements and also attend many community meetings (that include but are not limited to the following: Housing & Support Services Collaborative, various Municipal Advisory Committee meetings, community meetings throughout the County and within all Consortia Cities, City Council meetings, Board of Supervisor meetings, Services to Older Adults Advisory Council meetings, Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) Stakeholder Committee meetings, and other various community meetings throughout the Consortia to assure that Consortia staff does not hinder implementation of the Consolidated Plan and stays in touch with the needs of the target population. Also noticing is also provided in Spanish and interpretation services are also provided upon request.

# **Summary of CDBG Program Accomplishments**

A summary of the accomplishments per jurisdiction for the Consortium is contained in this section of the CAPER. All projects included in the 2009-2010 Annual Action Plan were scheduled for implementation during that fiscal year. However, there were

some projects (e.g. extensive infrastructure) that require funding from multiple years in order to complete. Preliminary work was begun in the year that the projects were initially funded.

#### STANISLAUS COUNTY

Planning and Project Administration \$335,416.00 Funds Budgeted \$166,119.73 Funds Expended Project # SC-09-01

CDBG funds were used to pay for administration costs for staff involved with the program. Time that is devoted to the implementation or project planning by the jurisdictions and public agencies on behalf of the CDBG program are eligible for reimbursement. Any remaining funds will be used for the Empire Infrastructure project.

### Fair Housing Program

Project # SC-09-02

**\$40,000.00 Funds Budgeted \$39,860.48 Funds Expended** 

Stanislaus County contracted with Project Sentinel to provide fair housing services that enabled and empowered members of the community to have open and informed housing opportunities and to overcome housing discrimination. This is accomplished by in-depth conciliation/mediation, and when necessary, litigation. The main objective of the agency is to raise the level of awareness of fair housing rights and responsibilities among home seekers, owners, managers, and the general public.

During the fiscal year, Project Sentinel provided information and referral services to 529 individuals. The agency's fair housing consultation and investigation services assisted 47 unduplicated Stanislaus County residents during the 2009-2010 funding Services provided for these cases included testing, canvassing, statistical analysis, witness interviews and counseling. Of the 21 cases that were opened, thirteen (13) were handicap/disability related; two (2) were race related; three (30 were related to family status; one (1) was related to age; one (1) was related to national origin and one (1) was related to sexual orientation. Twenty (20) tenant and landlord cases were opened during the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year. All twenty (20) cases were successfully counseled and educated in fair housing and/or reached conciliatory agreements. The Fair Housing hotline received a total of 286 landlord/tenant and fair housing calls during the year. In addition, twelve (12) Fair Housing presentations were conducted to client groups or other agencies. Tester training and recruitment was conducted throughout the year as needed. Also, throughout the year, the agency attended and participated in numerous community activities, meetings, and presentations where 2,185 educational materials, fair housing literature, agency flyers, or business cards were distributed to the attendees or left at sites for public display.

# Empire Infrastructure Project

Project # SC-09-03

\$630,355.00 Funds Budgeted \$75,592.93 Funds Expended

The construction phase of the Empire Infrastructure Project, Phase IA, began in Fiscal Year 2009-2010. The project consists of installing a storm-water management system in the area bounded by: E St. to the west, Hwy. 132 (Yosemite Blvd.) to the

South, I St. and G St. to the East, and Center Ave. to the North. The underground work, including the installation of the storm-water collection lines and a horizontal drain system were completed this year. Construction began on the topside improvements including grinding and re-grading of roads, installation of catch basins and pouring of the concrete curb and gutter and forming of ADA accessible ramps. Construction of Phase IA is scheduled to be completed in the first quarter of Fiscal Year 2010-2011. This includes finishing of curb and gutter and ADA accessible ramps, repaving of roads, and the installation of signage and striping.

The Empire Infrastructure Project Phase 1A will directly benefit 69 properties and indirectly benefit the entire community of Empire by improving road conditions. The project will eliminate many of the problems caused by inadequate drainage of storm water including traffic problems and health concerns caused by standing water.

As previous Fiscal Year (FY) CDBG funds have been set aside to allow for a viable project; Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds have only begun to be utilized with the remainder to be expended during the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter of Fiscal Year 2010-2011.





Photos reflect the installation of storm drainage and ADA curb cut improvements.

## Stanislaus County T3 Program

Project # SC-09-04

\$20,000.00 Funds Budgeted \$20,000.00 Funds Expended

This program provided job and career development opportunities to the underserved in the community. The Targeted Technology Training Program (T3) conducted training sessions 2-3 times weekly throughout the low-income areas of the community, such as the Santa Fe Homeless Shelter in Empire and Redwood Family Center in Modesto project areas. A total of 780 individuals participated in 105 classes.

The T3 classes range from learning basic computer terminology and troubleshooting to Internet basics and word processing for beginners. Intermediate-level classes in spreadsheets, databases, and web design have been added to assist those preparing

for more challenging work assignments. The program is offered in both English and Spanish language. A strong relationship with Computer Tutor, a private computer software training provider, ensures that qualified instructors and professionally developed curriculum are at the core of each class.

T3 has found a way to reach out to those who are falling further behind in technology awareness by providing them with a way to become more competitive in the work force. T3 instills, on those who attend workforce classes, a boost of confidence and accomplishment, which serves as an important catalyst for further self-improvement and a more competitive employability.

#### CITY OF CERES

# Project Administration

Project # CE-09-01

**\$26,738.00** Funds Budgeted **\$26,738.00** Funds Expended

This expenditure includes costs associated with general management, oversight, and coordination of the CDBG program. City staff track the time spent for project administration and submits to County CDBG staff for verification and processing.


## 5<sup>th</sup> Street Infrastructure Project

Project # CE-09-02

\$240,638.00 Funds Budgeted \$122,868.50Funds Expended

Construction of the 5<sup>th</sup> Street Project was conducted during Fiscal Year 2009-2010. Improvements consisted of installation of curb gutter, sidewalks, ADA accessible ramps, and matching pavement from North Street to the south to Whitmore Avenue to the north. Project construction scheduled to conclude by the end of August 2010.






Photos reflect gutter, sidewalks, ADA accessible ramps, and matching pavement improvements.

# 9<sup>th</sup> Street Infrastructure Project

# **Project #** CE-08-02

Construction of the 9<sup>th</sup> Street Project was conducted during Fiscal Year 2009-2010. Improvements consisted of installation of curb, gutter, sidewalks, ADA accessible rams, and matching pavement from Roeding Road to the north to El Camino Avenue to the south. The project is approximately 90% complete. Project construction is scheduled to conclude by the end of August 2010. No Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds were budgeted for this project. Funds utilized consisted of previous fiscal year funds.





Photos reflect gutter, sidewalks, ADA ramps, and matching pavement improvements

## **CITY OF NEWMAN**

**Project Administration** 

\$25,274.00 Funds Budgeted \$25,015.03 Funds Expended **Project # NE-09-01** 

This expenditure includes costs associated with general management, oversight, and coordination of the CDBG program. City staff track the time spent for project administration and submits to County CDBG staff for verification and processing. Remaining funds will be rolled over into Newman's Fiscal Year 2010-2011 infrastructure project(s).

PQRST, Fresno, Merced, West Ave Project Project # NE-09-02

\$117,468.00Funds Budgeted \$ 8,329.89Funds Expended The City of Newman completed the construction of Phase I of this project. Phase I consisted of the installation curb, gutter, and sidewalks on:

- Fresno Street, from T to West Ave
- Merced Street, from T to West Ave
- West Avenue, from Fresno to Merced Streets

A total of \$48,329.89 was expended, of which \$8,329.89 are Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds. The remaining Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds will be utilized in the coming fiscal year.





Photos reflect the installation curb, gutter, and sidewalk improvements

PORST... Street Reconstruction \$100,000.00Funds Budgeted \$ 47,701.04Funds Expended Project # NE-09-02

In concurrence with the construction of the PQRST, Fresno, Merced, and West Avenue Infrastructure Phase I project, street reconstruction was carried out in the project area of:

- Fresno Street, from T to West Ave
- Merced Street, from T to West Ave
- West Avenue, from Fresno to Merced Streets

A total of \$58,422.88 was expended, of which \$47,701.04 are Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds. The remaining Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds will be utilized in the coming FY.

T3 Workforce Technology Development

Project # NE-09-04

\$10,000.00 Funds Budgeted \$ 9,800.00 Funds Expended This program provided job and career development opportunities to the underserved in the community. The Targeted Technology Training Program (T3) conducted training sessions 2-3 times weekly to low and moderate income residents of the City of Newman. A total of 167 individuals received T3 during this fiscal year as part of 35 classes offered in the community.

# Fresno/T Street Infrastructure Project

**Project #** NE-08-02

\$150,000.00Funds Budgeted \$4,325.73 Funds Expended

The Fresno/T Street Infrastructure Project was completed in Fiscal Year 2009-2010. This project consisted of the installation of curb, gutter, and sidewalk in the residential area of Fresno Street bounded by R Street to the east and T Street to the west. Some Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds were used for this project. Funds not utilized will be rolled over into a Fiscal Year 2010-2011 project consistent to this activity.






Photos reflect the installation of curb, gutter, and sidewalk

#### Pioneer Park Project Phase II

#### <u>Project #</u> NE-05-01

The Pioneer Park Project Phase II construction was completed in the month of August 2009. This project consisted of demolition/construction of the picnic shelter, concrete slab, new concrete way, and electrical improvements.

The City of Newman has reported that the improvements to Pioneer Park have had a significant positive impact on the aesthetics of the park and surrounding community. Also, the City has noticed an increase of use of the park by residents during weekdays and the weekends. No Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds were budgeted for this project. Funds utilized consisted of previous fiscal years' funds.





Photos consist of park improvements that include a new concrete slab, new concrete way, and electrical improvements

## **CITY OF OAKDALE**

**Project Administration** 

\$20,986.00 Funds Budgeted \$20,986.00 Funds Expended **Project # OA-09-01** 

This expenditure includes costs associated with general management, oversight, and coordination of the CDBG program. City staff track the time spent for project administration and submits to County CDBG staff for verification and processing.

#### T3 Workforce Technology Development

\$ 5,000.00 Funds Budgeted \$ 5,000.00 Funds Expended Project # OA-09-02

This program provided job and career development opportunities to the underserved in the community. The Targeted Technology Training Program (T3) conducted training sessions 2-3 times weekly to low and moderate income residents of the City of Oakdale. A total of 142 individuals from the Oakdale community received T3 training from 19 classes during this fiscal year.

Oak Avenue Infrastructure Project \$184,088.00Funds Budgeted \$184,088.00 Funds Expended <u> Project #</u> OA-08-02

The City of Oakdale completed the construction phase of the Oak Avenue Infrastructure Project. This project consisted of water and sewer main replacement, installation of sidewalks, curb, gutter, storm drain, ADA ramps, and street overlay.

A total of \$472,925.12 was expended, of which \$184,088 are Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds.





Photos consist of preparation for installation of water and sewer main, gutter, and storm drain replacement.

## **CITY OF PATTERSON**

## **Project Administration**

**\$22,129.00 Funds Budgeted \$22,129.00 Funds Expended**  Project # PA-09-01

This expenditure includes costs associated with general management, oversight, and coordination of the CDBG program. City staff track the time spent for project administration and submits to County CDBG staff for verification and processing.

#### T3 Workforce Technology Development

\$ 10,000.00Funds Budgeted\$ 9,520.00 Funds Expended

Project # PA-09-02

This program provided job and career development opportunities to the underserved in the community. The Targeted Technology Training Program (T3) conducted training sessions 2-3 times weekly to low and moderate income residents of the City of Patterson. A total of 72 individuals from the Patterson community received T3 training from 35 classes during this fiscal year.

**Downtown Infrastructure Project** 

Project # PA-08-02

\$194,361.00Funds Budgeted \$ 0.00 Funds Expended The City of Patterson has completed the engineering and design for this project. The project was released out to bid in August 2010 and has identified a successful bidder. The construction phase will begin in Fiscal Year 2010-2011. Improvements will consist of replacing water main lines installation of curb, gutter, storm drain, and street overlay once the improvements are completed.

Previous fiscal years' funds were utilized to pay for engineering and design related costs. Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds will be utilized in the construction phase(s) of the project.

## **CITY OF WATERFORD**

# **Project Administration**

Project # WA-09-01

**\$24,003.00 Funds Budgeted \$14,295.25 Funds Expended** 

This expenditure includes costs associated with general management, oversight, and coordination of the CDBG program. City staff track the time spent for project administration and submits to County CDBG staff for verification and processing. Remaining funds will be rolled over into Waterford's Fiscal Year 2010-2011 infrastructure project(s).

# <u>Downtown Residential Valley</u> <u>Gutter Project</u>

Project # WA-08-02

\$108,012.00 Funds Budgeted \$ 0.00 Funds Expended

According to HUD census tract data, the City of Waterford does not have complete census tracts that contain enough low/moderate individuals to qualify this project for CDBG funded projects. Therefore, the city had planned to contract a consulting firm to perform housing surveys to document City areas that will qualify for CDBG funded projects. However, under the direction of the HUD field representative, the appropriate approach to conduct projects in Waterford is under the Urgent Need designation, given that a number of projects will meet Urgent Need criteria. The City of Waterford will work on identifying projects that meet Urgent Need criteria and carry those out until the new 2010 U.S. Census results are released with hopes that the new Census data accurately reflects the City of Waterford's low and moderate income census tracts and neighborhoods.

#### **Brethren Park Rehabilitation Project**

**Project # WA-08-03** 

\$108,012.00 Funds Budgeted \$ 0.00 Funds Expended

This project was approved by the HUD field representative via the results of a door to door survey of a previously conducted project (Northwestern Avenue Infrastructure Project). Phase I of Brethren Park Rehabilitation Project construction started in Fiscal Year 2009-2010 and Phase II will be undertaken in Fiscal Year 2010-2011. Phase I of this project consisted of infrastructure improvements such as sidewalks, curb, gutter, storm drainage, ADA related improvements, landscaping, and installation of an irrigation system including an irrigation well.

A total of \$191,058.95 of previous fiscal years' funds were utilized to pay for these costs. Fiscal Year 2009-2010 funds will be utilized in the next phase of the project.





Photos consist of infrastructure improvements such as sidewalks, curb, gutter, storm drainage, ADA related improvements.

## **PUBLIC SERVICE GRANTEES**

The Consortium agreed to set-aside \$244,219, or approximately 10% of its CDBG Entitlement funds, for the Public Service Grant Program. Under the program, The Consortium public service grants are awarded to non-profit organizations that provide new or expanded services to eligible Consortium area residents. The activities funded must be targeted for one of the following CDBG national objectives: directly benefit low and moderate income individuals; elimination of blighting conditions; or, response to economic distress and dislocation. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, The Consortium provided funding to seventeen (17) non-profit service Non-profits and service providers applied for the grants through a competitive process, with a maximum grant amount award of \$20,000. Applications were released on January 12, 2009 and were due February 15, 2009. Applications received were reviewed and scored by a committee of six (6) consortium representatives as well as one representative from Stanislaus County Chief Executive Office and Stanislaus County Behavioral Health and Recovery Services Department. The top scoring applications were then presented to the Board of Supervisors for final approval. A summary of what was accomplished by the seventeen (17) funded agencies with 2009-2010 CDBG funding is provided below:

Catholic Charities, Stanislaus Child Health Initiative

Project # PSG-09-01

\$8,600.00 Funds Budgeted \$8,600.00 Funds Expended The Children's Health Initiative Program identifies and assists families with children ages 0-18 applying for Medi-Cal, Healthy Families or Kaiser. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the program enrolled 126 children in one of the above health care plans. Catholic Charities also assisted families with making medical and dental appointments.

# Center for Human Services (CHS) Oakdale Family Support Network

Project # PSG-09-02

\$8,589.00 Funds Budgeted \$8,408.71 Funds Expended

The Oakdale Family Support Network provided case management for low-income individuals in the eastside communities of Oakdale, Knights Ferry and Valley Home. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, case managers provided 331 individuals with outreach, resource and referral, education and life skills development at the Family Support Network.

# Center for Human Services (CHS) Patterson Family Resource Center

Project # PSG 09-03

\$6,600.00 Funds Budgeted \$6,584.17 Funds Expended

The Patterson Family Resource Center is operated by the Westside Community Alliance or WCA who have been providing services on the Westside of Stanislaus County for six years. The purpose of the Patterson Family Resource Center is to educate, support, and link low to moderate income families residing in the Westside communities of Stanislaus County to appropriate resources through case management. Case management activities include but are not limited to assistance with applications for services, health insurance enrollment and/or employment search assistance, resume development, translation of forms/procedures of monolingual clients, transportation to appointments, support group facilitation and parenting education. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the program assisted 223 unduplicated individuals with case management and connections to supportive services.

# Children's Crisis Center of Stanislaus County <u>Cricket's House</u>

Project # PSG-09-04

\$12,500.00 Funds Budgeted \$12,500.00 Funds Expended

During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the Cricket's House Shelter provided nutritious meals (snacks, breakfasts, lunches and dinners) to 114 unduplicated homeless, abused, neglected and at risk children. Every meal is comprised of the essential food groups which are used in conjunction with MyPyramid coloring sheets, worksheets and activities to teach the children about proper nutrition. Parents also receive education about healthy eating including healthy recipes, safe food handling, role modeling and fun family physical activities. The program reduced hunger amongst the children served, increased nutrition awareness, and helped children develop healthier eating habits. All of these factors play a significant role in achieving good health, improving academic success and maintaining emotional stability.

# Children's Crisis Center of Stanislaus County <u>Guardian House</u>

Project # PSG-09-05

**\$20,000.00 Funds Budgeted \$19,887.37 Funds Expended** 

During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the Guardian House Shelter provided nutritious meals (snacks, breakfasts, lunches and dinners) and shelter to 200 unduplicated homeless, abused, neglected and at risk children. Every meal is comprised of the essential food groups which are used in conjunction with MyPyramid coloring sheets, worksheets and activities to teach the children about proper nutrition. Parents also receive education about healthy eating including healthy recipes, safe food handling, role modeling and fun family physical activities. The program reduced hunger amongst the children served, increased nutrition awareness, and helped children develop healthier eating habits. All of these factors play a significant role in achieving good health, improving academic success and maintaining emotional stability.

#### DRAIL

# Assistive Technology Program

Project # PSG-09-06

\$20,000.00 Funds Budgeted \$19,887.37 Funds Expended

DRAIL assists in the purchase of assistive technology such as a portable wheel chair ramps and grab bars, for low income residents of Stanislaus County's designated Consortium areas. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, a total of 28 unduplicated clients with disabilities were assisted with the purchase of items necessary for independent living. Those items included: a lift chair, wheelchair batteries, talking watch, talking oven thermometer, walker with seat, transfer pole, portable ramp & grab bars. Case mangers worked with each client to find the best method for obtaining the required assistive device. This includes any insurance the client may have had or other agencies that may cover costs. Price comparisons are always utilized to find the best price for the purchased item.

# **Family Promise**

### Case Management Program

<u>Project #</u> PSG-09-07

\$5,933.00 Funds Budgeted \$5,720.00 Funds Expended

Family Promise of Greater Modesto provides emergency shelter combined with case management services for homeless families in need. Families are sheltered for a week at a time with one of the participating congregations in the area. Each week the family moves to a different congregation. A meal is donated every evening. During the day, children are taken to school and the adults come to the main Family Promise site where they are assisted with job and housing search as well as maintain contact with their case manager. Case managers connect each family to resources in the community that will assist them in maintaining permanent housing for the family. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the program served 100 individuals.

Habitat for Humanity Windows of Hope Program

Project # PSG-09-08

\$17,500.00 Funds Budgeted \$17,500.00 Funds Expended

Habitat for Humanity's Windows of Hope Program makes double paned windows available to low-income applicants residing within qualified Consortium areas at a cost of \$30 per window. Windows of Hope's objective is to keep low-income persons in their homes by lowering utility costs while simultaneously improving energy efficiency. After receiving the windows, each qualified household has 30 days to install the windows. During this fiscal year the program assisted 446 unduplicated individuals. In addition to the window deliveries multiple marketing and outreach strategies were undertaken during the year.

Healthy Aging Association Young at Heart Program

Project # PSG-09-09

\$20,000.00 Funds Budgeted \$20,000.00 Funds Expended

The mission of the Healthy Aging Association is "to help older Americans live longer, healthier, more independent lives by promoting increased physical activity and sound health and nutrition practices". Young at Heart classes help older adults manage diseases such as diabetes, osteoporosis, arthritis, depression, heart disease, and help to minimize the effects of strokes. The agency provided Strength Training classes to 295 income eligible persons residing within the cities of Oakdale, Patterson, Westley/Grayson, Newman, Ceres and Waterford during the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year.

Healthy Start Orville Wright

Project # PSG-09-10

\$8,750.00 Funds Budgeted \$8,750.00 Funds Expended

During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the Healthy Start program was able to assist 197 unduplicated individuals with case management services. These services included counseling, support in filling out paperwork to receive medical and social service assistance as well as assistance with purchasing uniforms. The program also provided a mobile health check bus on site on a monthly basis, increasing the neighborhood's access to medical care. This fiscal year the program also added 6 computers available for clients to conduct job and services searches and began to offer parenting classes at the site.

Second Harvest Food Bank Food Assistance Program

Project # PSG-09-11

\$20,000.00 Funds Budgeted \$20,000.00 Funds Expended

To break the cycle of hunger, Second Harvest Food Bank is committed to providing a cost effective and centralized system for collecting and distributing food that reduces waste and alleviates hunger throughout the valley. CDBG funds were used to expand the distribution efforts of the agency's Food Assistance Program. Through

this program, this agency is able to collect, store, and distribute a large quantity and diversity of food product, and in turn make these groceries available to local non-profit charities. By centralizing the collection, storage, and distribution of product this agency is able to serve more families and individuals in need of food assistance in Stanislaus County. A total of 439,888 pounds of food, valued at \$659,832, were distributed during the fiscal year to the qualifying areas. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010, Stanislaus County CDBG funds were able to serve approximately 49,061 unduplicated low and low-income individuals.

# **Stanislaus Literacy Center**

English for All Program

Project # PSG-09-12

\$7,300.00 Funds Budgeted \$7,199.67 Funds Expended

Courses for English learners are taught at St. Mary's Catholic Church in Oakdale from an all volunteer tutoring staff. The classes meet every Monday for two hours. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, 40 unduplicated low-income Oakdale area residents were enrolled in the English for All courses. Classes were offered in a series of three levels of difficulty: Beginning, Beginning High and Intermediate Low.

The Arc of Stanislaus Senior Meals Programs

**Project # PSG-09-13** 

\$20,000.00 Funds Budgeted \$20,000.00 Funds Expended

This program provided seniors 60 years and older a nutritious meal 5 days a week. Meals are provided at congregate sites throughout the county, and delivered to the homes of seniors who are homebound. Seniors were also provided with pet food (if appropriate), nutrition education materials, linkages/referrals for additional services needed, and contact with caring staff. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010 a total of 719 unduplicated seniors were provided meals. Seniors reported that the meals helped them maintain a more nutritious diet and stretch their food money. Those attending the congregate sites were also provided the ability to make friendship connections and to develop support systems.

United Samaritans Foundation

Daily Bread Mobile Lunch Program

Project # PSG-09-14

\$20,000.00 Funds Budgeted \$20,000.00 Funds Expended

The United Samaritans' Daily Bread Mobile Lunch Program is a unique program which offers a mobile lunch service five days a week to low income and homeless families and individuals. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, 1,910 individuals received a lunch from the mobile lunch truck within the communities of Ceres and Keyes. This program decreases the number of people who are unable to receive nutritious meals every day.

We Care Program

Emergency Cold Weather Shelter

Project # PSG-09-15

\$20,000.00 Funds Budgeted

## \$20,000.00 Funds Expended

During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the We Care Cold Weather Emergency Shelter provided shelter to 141 homeless single males between the hours of 6pm to 9am, seven days a week through the winter months of December to March. Daily meals were served to program participants by local churches in the area and participants were required to do their own housekeeping during their stay in the shelter. Program participants also received information and referral services and case management in the areas of counseling, housing and employment.

# West Modesto King Kennedy Neighborhood Collaborative \*Re-fresh Program\*\* Project # PSG-09-16

**\$11,947.00 Funds Budgeted \$11,913.50 Funds Expended** 

During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year, the Re-fresh Program provided a backpack full of food and hygienic supplies for 100 homeless individuals who utilized the Zephyr Clark Drop-in Center in the unincorporated area of West Modesto.

## **Westside Food Pantry**

Emergency Food Assistance Program

Project # PSG-09-17

\$16,500.00 Funds Budgeted \$16,500.00 Funds Expended

The Westside Food Pantry is a community-based organization that works to provide emergency food assistance and referrals to social service agencies for individuals and families. CDBG funds were used to provide emergency food assistance to very low, low and moderate-income families in the City of Patterson and the unincorporated communities on the west side of Stanislaus County. The agency provided assistance to families, single mothers, and senior citizens stretching budgets to make ends meet. Additionally, all families with children under the age of thirteen (13) requesting assistance received an age-appropriate reading book. During the 2009-2010 Fiscal Year the agency served 9,471 individuals within the eligible CDBG communities.

# **Other Community Development Information**

# FOR FUNDS NOT USED FOR NATIONAL OBJECTIVES

- 4. For Funds Not Used for National Objectives
  - a. Indicate how use of CDBG funds did not meet national objectives.
  - b. Indicate how did not comply with overall benefit certification.

All CDBG funds utilized by the Consortium met the national objectives primarily servicing individuals/households of low or moderate incomes.

#### ANTI-DISPLACEMENT AND RELOCATION

- 5. Anti-displacement and Relocation for activities that involve acquisition, rehabilitation or demolition of occupied real property
  - a. Describe steps actually taken to minimize the amount of displacement resulting from the CDBG-assisted activities.

The Consortium includes regulatory language in all of its program documents addressing the anti-displacement and relocation laws. Per program guidelines,

Consortium partners will not provide assistance through any of its programs if the assistance will cause the displacement of a family or individual.

#### LOW/MOD JOB ACTIVITIES

 Low/Mod Job Activities – for economic development activities undertaken where jobs were made available but not taken by low- or moderate-income persons

Not applicable.

#### LOW/MOD LIMITED CLIENTELE ACTIVITIES

7. Low/Mod Limited Clientele Activities – for activities not falling within one of the categories of presumed limited clientele low and moderate income benefit

All CDBG activities fell within limited clientele or low and moderate area benefit.

#### **PROGRAM INCOME**

- 8. Program income received
  - a. Detail the amount of program income reported that was returned to each individual revolving fund, e.g., housing rehabilitation, economic development, or other type of revolving fund.

No program income received.

### HOUSING REHABILITATION

- Housing Rehabilitation for each type of rehabilitation program for which projects/units were reported as completed during the program year
  - a. Identify the type of program and number of projects/units completed for each program.
  - b. Provide the total CDBG funds involved in the program.

Six (6) households were assisted under the County's Home Repair Program. These households received assistance addressing health and safety related home repairs.

Consortium members utilize HOME, CalHome or Redevelopment Agency funds for its housing rehabilitation programs.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD REVITALIZATION

- 10. Neighborhood Revitalization Strategies for grantees that have HUDapproved neighborhood revitalization strategies
  - a. Describe progress against benchmarks for the program year. For grantees with Federally-designated EZs or ECs that received HUD approval for a neighborhood revitalization strategy, reports that are required as part of the EZ/EC process shall suffice for purposes of reporting progress.

Not Applicable.

# **Antipoverty Strategy**

1. Describe actions taken during the last year to reduce the number of persons living below the poverty level.

To reduce the number of persons living under poverty level, Stanislaus County has continued its partnership with the Stanislaus Housing and Support Services collaborative in support of activities such as "point in time counts" as well as assists with application of Super NOFA funding opportunities to offset the outstanding need for homeless shelter and services within the community. As well as, provide a portion of CDBG and ESG funding to various non-profits that have a proven track record of assisting the homeless on their path towards toward work and full time housing.

# OTHER NARRATIVE

# **Coordination Efforts**

1. Include any CAPER information that was not covered by narratives in any other section.

Stanislaus County recognizes that the Consortium cannot work alone in achieving the goals outlined in the Consolidated Plan. Therefore the Consortium is a member of and participates with various collaboratives throughout the County. The Consortium participates in the following in order to better serve and coordinate the needs of the community.

### **HUD TELECASTS**

Stanislaus County began offering the availability of HUD telecasts at the City-County Administration building. The County believed it would be more convenient and affordable for agencies to view the broadcast locally. The local telecasts also encourage the agencies to discuss any issues and questions that arise from the broadcast.

#### TURLOCK COMMUNITY COLLABORATIVE

Stanislaus County is a member of the Turlock Community Collaborative. This collaborative was begun initially to deal with homeless issues facing Turlock. A group of concerned community members, faith-based groups, and government agencies formed the collaborative to effectively deal with current and future issues concerning the homeless and the community.

#### HOUSING AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES

Stanislaus County and the Cities of Ceres, Newman, Oakdale, Patterson, and Waterford are members of the Housing and Supportive Services Collaborative, which is the governing body of the Continuum of Care plan for the area. The Collaborative consists of service providers, the Sheriff's Department, affordable housing developers, government agencies, and community advocates. This collaborative has developed a homeless and consumer survey that is distributed by member agencies on an annual basis. The information is then collected and shared among the agencies for efficient service delivery, as well as for purposes of resource identification and development. During Fiscal Year 2009-2010 County staff has played a key role in the functionality of the countywide Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) that

was implemented in October 2004. The Planning Department, Behavioral Health and Recovery Services Agency (BHRS), and the Housing Authority have worked throughout the fiscal year to ensure the operation of a Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) for the Collaborative in order to meet HUD's mandate that all ESG program participants are part of, and actively enter the pertinent universal data elements into the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS). County staff serves on several subcommittees of the Collaborative such as the Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), Special Populations, Grant Review, Funding and Clearinghouse, and the Executive Committee.

## HOUSING AUTHORITY

The Housing Authority and Stanislaus County have a strong relationship and continue to work towards furthering decent, safe, and affordable housing throughout the County. The County funds several programs such as the Home Repair Program and Emergency Sewer Lateral Connection program that the Housing Authority administers. The Housing Authority also serves on several housing and community development related committees for the County.

# Appendix - A -

- A. Table 3B: Annual Housing Completion Goals
- B. Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for FY 2009-2010
- C. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for FY 2009-2010 CDBG Public Service Program Grantees
- D. Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for FY 2009-2010 ESG Grantees

# Table 3B ANNUAL HOUSING COMPLETION GOALS

2009 (Year 3)

Grantee Name:	<b>Expected Annual</b>	Actual Annual	Resou	irces used	during the pe	eriod
Program Year:	Number of Units To Be Completed	Number of Units Completed	CDBG	НОМЕ	ESG/ HPRP	NSP
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE HOUSING GOALS (SEC. 215)						
Homeless households	5	28				
Non-homeless households	10	49		$\boxtimes$	$\boxtimes$	
Special needs households	1	7		$\boxtimes$		
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE RENTAL HOUSING GOALS (SEC. 215)						
Acquisition of existing units	0	14				$\boxtimes$
Production of new units	3	0		$\boxtimes$		
Rehabilitation of existing units	0	14				$\boxtimes$
Rental Assistance	0					
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Rental	3	105				$\boxtimes$
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE OWNER HOUSING GOALS (SEC. 215)						
Acquisition of existing units	1	47				$\boxtimes$
Production of new units	3	0		$\boxtimes$		
Rehabilitation of existing units	8	50		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
Homebuyer Assistance	8	27			CalHome, RDA	
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Owner	20	124		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
ANNUAL AFFORDABLE						
HOUSING GOALS (SEC. 215)						
Acquisition of existing units	1	61				$\boxtimes$
Production of new units	6	0				
Rehabilitation of existing units	8	64		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
Homebuyer Assistance	8	27		$\boxtimes$	CalHome, RDA	
Total Sec. 215 Affordable Housing	23	152				
ANNUAL HOUSING GOALS						
Annual Rental Housing Goal	3	28		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
Annual Owner Housing Goal	20	124		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
<b>Total Annual Housing Goal</b>	23	152		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$

## Stanislaus County Consortium Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for 2009-2010

**Summary of Specific Annual Objectives** 

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective  Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livin	g Environment					
SL-1.1 Stanislaus County Empire Infrastructure	Address the need for infrastructure improvements in the town of Empire. Phase IA Construction: Storm water management	<b>CDBG</b> \$630,355	Number of residents benefiting from infrastructure improvements in the income eligible area.	2007 2008			
Project	system installation in the area bounded by E St. to west, Yosemite Blvd to south, I St and G St to east, and Center Ave to north. Work			2009	2453	2453	100%
	included installation of storm water collection lines and horizontal drain system,			2010			
	installation of catch basins, and installation of curb, gutter, ADA accessible ramps, repaying of roads, and signage and striping.  Project Status: Underway			GOAL			***************************************
EO.1	Address the need for workforce technology	CDBG	Number of program participants	2007			
Stanislaus County tanislaus Workforce enhance participants' computer skills, and turn allow them to re-enter the workforce.	therefore enabled to enter the	2008		530 780	133% 195%		
Development Program (T3)	dam anow them to be enter the workforce.		workforce.	2010		700	19370
	Project Status: Complete			2011 <b>GOAL</b>			
DH-2	Affordability of Decent Housing				1		
DH-2.1	Address the need for affordable decent	HOME	Number of low-income	2007	,		
Stanislaus County First Time Home	housing by offering down payment assistance to low income first time	\$37,500 CalHome	households receiving down payment assistance.	2008		5	100%
Buyer Down Payment Assistance Program	homebuyer households.	\$496,500	Number of first time     homebuyers.      Number receiving	2010		15	13070
	Project Status: Ongoing	<b>RDA</b> \$115,400	counseling.	2011			
		Ψ113,400		GOAL	,		
DH-2.2	Address the need for affordable decent	НОМЕ	Number of housing units brought to compliance	2007			
Stanislaus County Housing	housing by offering minor and major home rehabilitation assistance to low-income		with local standards.	2008			500
Rehabilitation	households.	RDA	<ul> <li>Number of units made accessible.</li> </ul>	2009		6	50%
Program	Project Status: Ongoing			2011			
				GOAL	,		

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livin	ng Environment					
DH-2.1	Address the need to affirmatively further	CDBG	<ul> <li>Number of individuals</li> </ul>	2007			
Stanislaus County	fair housing through fair housing	\$40,000	receiving information and referral.	2008	5	5	100%
Fair Housing Program	enforcement, fair housing awareness, and housing counseling.		<ul> <li>Number of unduplicated</li> </ul>	2009	246	529	215%
			individuals receiving fair housing counseling.	2010			
Project Status: Complete		Number of individuals     benefitting from fair     housing enforcement.	2011				
				GOAL	,		

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective  Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Living	Environment					
SL-1.1	Address the need for infrastructure	CDBG	Number of residents in the 9 <sup>th</sup>	2007			
City of Ceres	improvements in the 9 <sup>th</sup> Street residential	\$0.00	Street eligible area that will	2008			
9 <sup>th</sup> Street	area. Improvements will consist of installation of curb, gutter, sidewalk, matching pavement,		benefit from infrastructure improvements.	2009	653	653	100%
and ADA accessible ramps in the low-			2010				
	moderate income area.			2011			
	Project Status: Underway			GOAL			
SL-1.1	Address the need for infrastructure	CDBG	Number of residents in the 5 <sup>th</sup>	2007			
City of Ceres	improvements in the 5 <sup>th</sup> Street residential area. Improvements consisted of installation	\$240,638	Street eligible area that will benefit from infrastructure	2008			
5 <sup>th</sup> Street Infrastructure	of curb, gutter, sidewalk, matching pavement,		improvements.	2009	653	653	100%
minastructure	and ADA accessible ramps in the low-		·	2010			
	moderate income area.	100 to 10	-	2011	and the second s		
	Project Status: Underway			GOAL	,		

**Summary of Specific Annual Objectives** 

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective  Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Living	Environment					
SL-1.1	Address the need for infrastructure improvements to the low-income residential	<b>CDBG</b> \$0.00	Number for low-income residents with access to	2007			
City of Newman Fresno/T Street	area of Fresno Street bounded by Fresno	Ψ0.00	improved infrastructure facilities.	$\frac{2008}{2009}$		238	100%
Infrastructure Project	from Yolo Street to Inyo Avenue. Improvements include the installation of curb,			2010			
	gutter, and sidewalks.	·		2011			
	Project Status: Complete			GOAL			
SL-1.1	Address the need for infrastructure	CDBG	Number residents, in 284	2007			
City of Newman	improvements to low-income residential area consisting of the following streets: P, Q, R, S,	\$117,468	households, benefiting from infrastructure improvements in	2008			
PQRST, Fresno, Merced, Patchett,	Γ, Fresno, Merced, Patchett, and West		the eligible residential area.	2009	994	994	100%
West Avenue Avenue Improvements will consist of			2010				
Infrastructure Project	limatellistica of our on outton and aiderralize			2011			
	Project Status: Underway			GOAL			
SL-1.1	Street repair and overlay (due to infrastructure	CDBG	Number residents, in 284 households, benefiting from infrastructure improvements in	2007			
City of Newman	repairs) on P and Q Streets, from Yolo to Inyo Streets.	\$100,000		2008			
Street Reconstruction Project			the eligible residential area.	2009	994	994	100%
(concurrence w/				2010			
above project)	Project Status: Underway			2011			
				GOAL			
SL-1.1		CDBG	Number of program	2007		.,,	-
City of Newman	Address the need for workforce technology development training programs that will	\$10,000	participants with enhanced computer skills therefore	2008			
T3 Workforce Technology	enhance participants' computer skills and, in		enabled to enter the workforce.	2009	300	167	56%
Development	turn, allow them to re-enter the workforce.			2010			
	Project Status: Complete			2011			
	, A			GOAL			

9

**Summary of Specific Annual Objectives** 

Specific Obj.#	Outcome/Objective  Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Living	Environment					
SL-1.1  City of Oakdale  Oak Avenue  Infrastructure Project	Address the need for infrastructure improvements in the eligible residential area of the west-side of North Oak Avenue between West F Street and Poplar Street. Improvements include the replacement of antiquated sewer and water lines and street improvements.  Project Status: Complete	CDBG \$184,088	Number of residents benefiting from infrastructure improvements in the income eligible area.	2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 <b>GOAL</b>	109	109	100%
SL-1.1 City of Oakdale T3 Workforce Technology Development	Address the need for workforce technology development training programs that will enhance participants' computer skills, and in turn allow them to re-enter the workforce.  Project Status: Complete	CDBG \$10,000	Number of program participants with enhanced computer skills therefore enabled to enter the workforce.	2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 <b>GOAL</b>	300	142	47%

**Summary of Specific Annual Objectives** 

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livin	ng Environment					
SL-1.1	Address the need for infrastructure	CDBG	Number of residents benefiting	2007			
City of Patterson	improvements through the installation of curb, gutter and storm drainage in the	\$194,361	from public infrastructure improvement facilities.	2008			
Downtown Infrastructure Project	frastructure Project downtown area from E Street south to A Street and S. 5 <sup>th</sup> Street over to S 3 <sup>rd</sup> .  Project Status: Underway	2009					
infrastructure Project		2010					
				2011			
				GOAL			
SL-1.1	Address the need for workforce technology	CDBG	Number of program participants	2007			
City of Patterson	development training programs that will	\$10,000	with enhanced computer skills	2008			
	enhance participants' computer skills and,		therefore enabled to enter the	2009	100	72	72%
Technology	in turn, allow them to re-enter the		workforce	2010			
Development	workforce.			2011			
Project Status: Co	Project Status: Complete						
**************************************				GOAL			

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livin	ng Environment					
SL-1.1	Address the need for rehabilitation of	CDBG	Number of residents benefiting	2007			
City of Waterford	Brethren Park. The project will include frontage improvements, sidewalks, and	\$108,012	from infrastructure improvements in the income	2008			
Brethren Park Rehabilitation Project			eligible area.	2009	200	200	100%
Renabilitation Project				2010			
	Project Status: Underway			2011			
				GOAL			
SL-1.1	Address the need for infrastructure	CDBG	Number of residents benefiting	2007			
City of Waterford	improvements through valley gutter work improvements in the downtown residential.	\$108,012	from infrastructure improvements in the income	2008			
Downtown	area.		eligible area.	2009			
Butter Renair Project			2010				
	Project Status: Pending			2011			
				GOAL			

7

### Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for FY 2009-2010 CDBG Public Service Program Grantees

**Summary of Specific Objectives** 

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective  Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Liv	ing Environment					
SL-1.1 Catholic Charities	This program provided outreach and enrollment assistance for moderate, low	<b>CDBG</b> \$8,600	Number of unduplicated children enrolled into health insurance plans.	2007			
Child Health Initiative	and very-low income children into health insurance plans.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_	2009	126	103	122%
mmative	-			2010			
		3,000,000		2011			
				GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ing Environment					
SL-1.1	This program provided outreach and	CDBG	Number of unduplicated low-income	2007			
Center for Human	lindividuale and families living in Fast	\$8,589	individuals that received case  management and referral services.	2008			
Services Oakdale Family	Stanislaus County to promote self			2009	129	331	257%
Support Network	sufficiency and life skills development.			2010			
				2011			
				GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ing Environment					1100
SL-1.1	This program provided outreach and	CDBG	Number of unduplicated low-income	2007			
Center for Human	support services to low-income individuals and families living in West	\$6,600	individuals that received case management and referral services.	2008			
Services Patterson Family	Stanislaus County to promote self		ananagement und referrar services.	2009	99	223	225%
Resource Center	sufficiency and life skills development.			2010			
				2011			
				GOAL			

**Summary of Specific Objectives** 

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective  Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ng Environment			1		1
SL-1.1	Address the need for essential supportive	CDBG	Number of unduplicated children	2007			
Children's Crisis	services for low and moderate-income	\$12,500	provided with childcare, shelter, and	2007			
Center	children at risk of abuse, neglect, homelessness and exploitation by		meals through the nutrition and education program.	2008			
Cricket's House	providing childcare, shelter, and meals, in		eddeation program.	2009	110	114	104%
	the Ceres area. A nutrition education		_	2010	110	111	10170
	component will be provided to these children.			GOAL			
		<u></u>		GUAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi			,			
SL-1.2	Address the need for essential supportive	CDBG	Number of unduplicated children	2007			
Children's Crisis		\$20,000	provided with childcare, shelter, and meals through the nutrition and	2008			
<b>Center</b> Guardian House	homelessness and exploitation by		education program.	2009	211	200	95%
Guardian nouse	providing childcare, shelter, and meals, in			2010			
	the Oakdale area. A nutrition education			2011			
	component will be provided to these children.						
ST 4		•		GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Living			,			
SL-1.1	This program assisted in the purchase of	CDBG	Number of unduplicated persons with	2007			
DRAIL	assistive technology devices, such as wheelchairs or hearing aids, for those that	\$20,000	disabilities who received assistive devices.	2008			
Assistive Technology	are not able to obtain them by any other	112200000000000000000000000000000000000	devices.	2009	20	28	140%
Program	means.			2010			
				2011			
				GOAL			

13

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective  Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ng Environment					
SL-1.1 Family Promise	The program provides transitional shelter combined with case management for	<b>CDBG</b> \$5,933	Number of individuals assisted with case management and shelter services.	2007 2008			
Case Management	homeless households. Case managers assist the household in setting achievable	***************************************	-	2009	109	100	92%
	goals and then connects them to the			2010			
	necessary resources to help them become self sufficient.		-	2011		4.000	
	sen sunicient.			GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ng Environment					
SL-1.1	Address the need to replace low energy	CDBG	Number of individuals assisted in 128 households, which will benefit from new energy efficient windows.	2007			
Habitat for	efficient windows within the County's and participating jurisdictions oldest	\$17,500		2008			
<b>Humanity</b> Windows of Hope	housing stock.			2009	434	446	103%
windows of Trope				2010			
	-			2011			
				GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ng Environment					
SL-1.1	Address the need for services of the senior	CDBG	Number of seniors provided with	2007			
<b>Healthy Aging</b>	population by providing low and moderate-income elderly residents	\$20,000	strength training, fall prevention and health education outreach.	2008			
Association Young at Heart	Association strongth training avarage alasses and fell			2009	242	295	122%
Program prevention and health outreach.			2010				
				2011			
				GOAL			

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Liv	ing Environment					
SL-1.1 Healthy Start	This program provided students at Orville Wright and residing in the Airport	<b>CDBG</b> \$8,750	Number of unduplicated individuals participating in activities and receiving	2007 2008			
Orville Wright	neighborhood with activities that promote self-esteem, leadership skills, healthy		connections to resources.	2009	39	375	962%
	conflict resolution, and academics. It also provided parental education and			2010			
	development opportunities as well as resource referral.			GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Live	ing Environment					
SL-1.1	Address the demand for emergency food	CDBG	Number of unduplicated individuals	2007			
Second Harvest Food Assistance	needs in Stanislaus County by providing different non-profit agencies throughout	\$20,000	receiving food assistance.	2008		44.060	1610
Program	the county with food assistance, which in turn distribute the food to low-income			2009	25,503	41,069	161%
	families.			2009			
				GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ing Environment					
SL-1.1	Program taught English to low income	CDBG	Number of unduplicated adults who	2007			
Stanislaus Literacy	adults in Oakdale who were proficient in	\$7,300	enrolled and participated in literacy	2008			
Center English for All	English below a 7 <sup>th</sup> grade level.		courses.	2009	15	40	267%
				2010			
-				2011			
1				GOAL			

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective  Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Liv	ing Environment					
SL-1.1	This program provided seniors, age 60	CDBG	Number of unduplicated seniors	2007			
The Arc of and over, home-delivered and congregate meals.	\$20,000	provided with meals.	2008				
Stanislaus County Senior Meals	ineals.			2009	651	719	110%
Programs				2010			
				2011			
	· ·		100000000000000000000000000000000000000	GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ing Environment					
SL-1.1	Address the need of very low and low-	CDBG	Number of unduplicated individuals	2007			
United Samaritans	Foundation providing daily meals through the Daily	\$20,000	receiving meals.	2008			
<b>Foundation</b> Daily Bread Mobile				2009	220	1910	868%
Lunch Program	communities of Ceres and Keyes.		·	2010			
C				2011			
				GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ng Environment					I.
SL-1.1		CDBG	Number of unduplicated homeless	2007			
We Care Program We Care Emergency Shelter  bopulation by providing emergency shelter during the worst part of the winter to homeless individuals and assist them in finding jobs, enter residential programs, obtain permanent housing.		\$20,000	individuals who were provided shelter and supportive services.	2008			
		and supportive services.	2009	125	141	113%	
				2010			
	obtain permanent housing.			2011			
				GOAL			

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed	
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Liv	ing Environment						
SL-1.1	This program provided emergency food	CDBG	Number of unduplicated individuals	2007				
Westside Food	assistance to families temporarily out of	16,500	receiving emergency food assistance.	2008				
Pantry Emergency Food	work, single mothers, and senior citizens in the Westside area. The pantry also			2009	5500	9471	172%	
Assistance Program	provided English children's books to all			2010				
	children under the age of 13.			2011				
				GOAL				
SL-1	SL-1 Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Living Environment							
SL-1.1	This program provides the homeless	CDBG	Number of unduplicated homeless	2007				
West Modesto King	West Modesto King Kennedy Neighborhood  King backpacks containing basic sanitary and food supplies.	\$11,947	individuals who were received re-fresh supplies.	ved re-fresh 2008				
Kennedy Neighborhood			Supplies.	2009	45	100	222%	
Collaborative				2010				
Refresh Program				2011				
				GOAL				

## Summary of Specific Annual Objectives for FY 2009-2010 ESG Grantees

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective  Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ng Environment					
SL-1.1 Children's Crisis Center Guardian House	This program provides essential supportive services for low and moderate-income children at risk of abuse, neglect, homelessness and exploitation by providing childcare, shelter, and meals, in the Oakdale area. ESG funds were utilized to improve the safety of the play area by installing built in shelving.		Number of unduplicated low-income homeless children participating in the Therapeutic Shelter Program.	2007 2008			
		ESG		2009	90	170	189%
		\$17,606		2010			
				GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ng Environment					<u> </u>
Community Housing and Shelter Services  Combination with case management to homeless households or to household that are at risk of losing their permanents.	This program provides rental vouchers in		Number of unduplicated individuals served with emergency rental assistance and case management services.	2007			
	homeless households or to households that are at risk of losing their permanent			2008			
		<b>ESG</b> \$65,812		2009	158	120	76%
Homeless Prevention		\$05,612		2010			
				2011			
				GOAL			
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ng Environment					
SL-1.1	in a clean and sober living environment to women in the process of reunifying with their children. ESG funds were set aside for the renewation of a fire system at the		Number of unduplicated women and children at or below 80% of the area	2007			
Inter-Faith Ministries Redwood Family Center				2008			
		ESG	median income receiving shelter.	2009	130	117	90%
		\$9,818		2010			
				GOAL			

Specific Obj. #	Outcome/Objective  Specific Objectives	Sources of Funds	Performance Indicators	Year	Expected Number	Actual Number	Percent Completed
SL-1	Availability/Accessibility of Suitable Livi	ng Environment					
We Care Emergency Shelter	Address the needs of the homeless population by providing emergency	T J	Number of unduplicated homeless individuals who were provided shelter and supportive services.	2007 2008 2009 2010		141	118%
				2011 GOAL			

#### NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on September 21, 2010 at 6:35 P.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, Lower Level, 1010 10th St., Modesto, CA, to adopt the Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) relating to its FY 2009/2010, \$2,491,699 Community Development Block Grant and \$109,687 Emergency Shelter Grant.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. Material submitted to the Board for consideration (i.e. photos, petitions, etc.) will be retained by the County. If a challenge to the above application is made in court, persons may be limited to raising only those issues they or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors. If you have any questions, please call Aaron Farnon of the Planning and Community Development Department at (209) 525-6330 between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. or visit the offices at 1010 10<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3400, Modesto, CA.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

DATED: August 31, 2010

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

of the County of Stanislaus,

State of California.

BY:

Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk

#### AVISO DE AUDICION PUBLICA

SE DA AVISO POR ESTE MEDIO que el dia 21 de septiembre del 2010 a las 6:35 p.m., o lo mas temprano de allí en adelante en que se escuche el asunto, el Consejo del Condado de Stanislaus se reunira en el despacho de juntas publicas en el 1010 10<sup>th</sup> St, Modesto, CA para adoptar el Plan Consolidado de Evaluacion y Rendimiento (CAPER) relacionado a los fondos de el año fiscal 2009-2010 de la cantidad de \$2,491,699 bajo el programa de Subsidios Globales para el Desarrollo Comunitario (CDBG) y el programa de Subsidios para Refugios de Emergencia de la cantidad de \$109,687 (ESG).

SE DA AVISO MAS ALLA que a la dicha hora y lugar, se le dara la oportunidad de ser escuchadas a las personas interesadas. Materiales sometidos a el Consejo del Condado de Stanislaus (por exemplo: fotos, peticiones, etc) retenidos por el Condado. En caso de una disputa a la aplicacion mencionada arriba hecha en la corte, las personas podran ser limitadas a dirigir esos asuntos que tal o otra persona hallan dirigido en la audencia pública descrita en este aviso, o en correspondencia escrita a el Consejo del Si tiene preguntas favor de Condado de Stanislaus. comunicarse con Juan Gonzalez del Departamento de Planos & Desarrollo Comunitario del Condado de Stanislaus al (209) 525-6330 entre las horas de 8:00 am y 5:00 p.m. o visite las oficinas en el 1010 10th Street, Suite 3400, Modesto, CA.

POR LA ORDEN DEL CONSEJO

FECHA: 31 de agosto del 2010

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

of the County of Stanislaus,

State of California.

BY:

Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk

## DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION (C.C.P. S2015.5)

### COUNTY OF STANISLAUS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident Of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of Eighteen years, and not a party to or interested In the above entitle matter. I am a printer and Principal clerk of the publisher of THE MODESTO BEE, printed in the City of MODESTO, County of STANISLAUS, State of California, daily, for which said newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of STANISLAUS, State of California, Under the date of February 25, 1951, Action No. 46453; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each issue there of on the following dates, to wit:

Sep 05, 2010

I certify (or declare) under penalty of periury That the foregoing is true and correct and that This declaration was executed at

MODESTO, California on

September 7th, 2010

(By Electronic Facsimile Signature)

Vair Dickman

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on September 21, 2010 at 6:35 P.M. or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, 1010 10th St., Modesto, CA, to adopt the Consolidated Annual Perfor mance and Evaluation Report (CAPER) relating to its FY 2009/2010, \$2,491,699 Community Development Block Grant and \$109,687 Emergency Shelter Grant. NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the said time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard Material submitted to the Board for consideration (i.e. photos, petitions, etc.) will be retained by the County. If a challenge to the above application made in court, persons may be limited to raising only those issues they or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written corre-spondence delivered to the Board of Supervisors. If you have any questions, please call Aaron Famon of the Planning and Community Development Depart-ment at (209) 525-6330 between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. or visit the offices at 1010 10th Street, Suite 3400, Modesto, CA. BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. DATED: August 31, 2010. ATTEST: Christine Ferroro Tallman, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California. BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assis-

AVISO DE AUDICION PUBLICA SE DA AVISO POR ESTE MEDIO que el dia 21 de septiembre del 2010 a las 6:35 p.m., o lo mas temprano de allí en adelante en que se escuche el asunto, el Consejo del Condado de Stanislaus se reunira en el despacho de juntas publicas en el 1010 10th St. Modesto, CA para adoptar el Plan Consolidado de Evaluacion y Rendimiento (CAPER) relacionado a los fondos de el año fiscal 2009-2010 de la cantidad de \$2,491,699 bajo el programa de Subsidios Globales para el Desarrollo Comunitario (CDBG) y el programa de Subsidios para Refugios de Emergencia de la cantidad de \$109,687 (ESG). SE DA AVISO MAS AL-LA que a la dicha hora y lugar, se le dara la oportunidad de ser escuchadas a las personas interesadas. Materiales sometidos a el Consejo del Condado de Stanislaus (por exemplo: fotos, peticiones, etc) seran retenidos por el Condado. En caso de una disputa a la aplicacion mencionada arriba hecha en la corte, las personas podran ser limitadas a dirigir esos asuntos que tal o otra persona hallan dirigido en la audencia pública hallan dirigido en la audencia pública descrita en este aviso, o en correspondencia escrita a el Consejo del Condado de Stanislaus. Si tiene preguntas favor de comunicarse con Juan Gonzalez del Departamento de Planos & Desarrollo Comunitario del Condado de Stanislaus al (209) 525-6330 entre las horas de 8:00 am y 5:00 p.m. o visite las oficinas en el 1010 10th Street, Suite 3400, Modesto, CA. POR LA ORDEN DEL CONSEJO. FECHA: 31 de agosto del 2010. ATTEST: Christine ggosto del 2010. ATTEST: Christine Ferraro Tallman, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California. BY: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk

Pub Dates Sept. 5, 2010