THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

DEPT: Public Works	BOARD AGENDA #*C-2
Urgent Routine	AGENDA DATE December 9, 2008
CEO Concurs with Recommendation YES NO	4/5 Vote Required YES 🔲 NO 🔳
(Information Attached)	
	·

SUBJECT:

Approval to Set a Public Hearing on January 13, 2009, at 9:15 A.M., to Consider Revising the Load Carrying Capacity of Crabtree Road Bridge Over Dry Creek (38C-0009), Per Section 35754 of the California Vehicle Code

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1. Set a public hearing on January 13, 2009, at 9:15 A.M., to consider revising the load carrying capacity of Crabtree Road Bridge over Dry Creek (38C-0009), per Section 35754 of the California Vehicle Code.
- 2. Order the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors to publish a notice of such hearing pursuant to Section 6066 of the Government Code.
- 3. Request that the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) Division of Structure Maintenance and Investigations attend the public hearing per California Vehicle Code Section 35751.

FISCAL IMPACT:

If a change to the existing load carrying capacity of Crabtree Road Bridge over Dry Creek is approved, the Department of Public Works will be required to modify existing bridge signs with the new capacity information. The bridge currently contains two signs specifying the load carrying capacity. The bridge signs will need to be modified at an estimated cost of \$1,000, which will be paid out of the County Roads Fund. There is no fiscal impact to the General Fund.

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	_
B	(C	A	١	R	C)	A	1	С	;-	Г	1	C	N	l	F	١,	S		F	(D	L	L	.(C	V	٧	S	5:		

No. 2008-829

On motion of Superviso and approved by the fo	orMonteith Ilowing vote,	, Seconded by SupervisorGrover
Ayes: Supervisors: _Q'	Brien, Grover, Monteith, and Vice	Chairman DeMartini
Noes: Supervisors:	None	
Excused or Absent: Su	pervisors: Mayfield	
Abstaining: Supervisor	None None	
1) X Approved as	s recommended	
2) Denied		
3) Approved as	s amended	
4) Other:		
MOTION:		

ATTEST:

CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

File No.

Approval to Set a Public Hearing on January 13, 2009, at 9:15 A.M., to Consider Revising the Load Carrying Capacity of Crabtree Road Bridge Over Dry Creek (38C-0009), Per Section 35754 of the California Vehicle Code

DISCUSSION:

During the biennial bridge inspection of the Crabtree Bridge, the Caltrans Division of Structure Maintenance and Investigations performed new structural calculations on the bridge. These calculations show revised weight capacities for the bridge. The Caltrans Division of Structure Maintenance and Investigations recommends that the bridge weight restrictions be modified to:

Current Weight Restriction	Recommended New Weight Restrictions
17 tons per vehicle	20 tons per vehicle
25 tons per semi-trailer combination	24 tons per semi-trailer combination
29 tons per truck and full trailer	26 tons per truck and full trailer
·	No permit loads are allowed

Therefore, per California Vehicle Code Section 35751, Public Works staff recommends that the Board of Supervisors set a public meeting to set the new permanent weight restriction at the Crabtree Bridge over Dry Creek (38C-0009). The public hearing date will be January 13, 2009, at 9:15 A.M.

Public Works staff will request that the California Department of Transportation Division of Structure Maintenance and Investigations attend the public hearing. Caltrans' role per the California Vehicle Code is to determine weight loadings on local bridges for cities and counties. Because it is their responsibility to perform the bridge loading calculations, they are required to be a partner during the public meeting process. In accordance with California Vehicle Code Section 35754, the Department of Public Works has already posted temporary weight restriction signage on the bridge in accordance with Caltrans' recommendations.

An alternative to revising the weight restrictions would be to replace this bridge, built in 1920, with a modern bridge. This is not financially feasible at this time, due to higher priority bridge projects. Crabtree Bridge is Public Works' ninth highest priority project. The estimated replacement costs in 2008 would be approximately \$1.5 million. During our 2007 speed survey, five trucks and trailers utilized the bridge out of 32 vehicles per day.

POLICY ISSUES:

The Board should consider if the recommended actions are consistent with its priorities of providing a safe community, a healthy community and a well-planned infrastructure system.

STAFFING IMPACT:

There is no staffing impact associated with this item.

Page 1 of 4



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Structure Maintenance & Investigations

Bridge Inspection Report

Bridge Number :	380009
Facility Carried:	CRABTREE ROAD
Location :	1.8 MI S WARNERVILLE RD
City :	
Inspection Date :	09/18/2007
Inspection Type	
Routine FC	Underwater Special Other
X	

STRUCTURE NAME: DRY CREEK

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Year Built :	1920	Skew (degrees): 0	
Year Widened:	N/A	No. of Joints : 0	
Length (m) :	31.7	No. of Hinges : 0	

Structure Description: Riveted steel through Pratt truss with corrugated metal deck and timber stringers on RC seat abutments. Steel "I" bars in tension chords. Foundations are unknown.

Span Configuration :1 @ 30.5 m

LOAD CAPACITY AND RATINGS

Design Live Load:	OTHER C	OR UNKNOWN			
Inventory Rating:	10	metric tons	Calculation Method: ALLOWABL	E STRESS	
Operating Rating:	19.9	metric tons	Calculation Method: ALLOWABL	E STRESS	
Permit Rating :	XXXXX				
Posting Load :	Туре 3	17 English tons	Type 3S2 25 English tons	Туре 3-3	29 English cons

DESCRIPTION ON STRUCTURE

Deck X-Section: 0.1 m r, 0.4 m wg, 5.3 m, 0.4 m wg, 0.1 m rTotal Width:6.3 mNet Width:5.3 mRail Description: Timber railing on both sides.Rail Code : 0000Min. Vertical Clearance:4.010

DESCRIPTION UNDER STRUCTURE

Channel Description: Dirt, gravel and some bedrock.

CONDITION TEXT

CONDITION OF STRUCTURE:

At the time of this investigation, the channel was dry. A complete inspection of the soffit and substructure was performed.

The timber rails on both sides have deteriorated and the timber posts are very loose. The surface paint is mostly faded and peeling. The horizontal members are severely deteriorated and reinforced with supplemental horizontal sections at the posts.

The AC approach at both abutments is settled approximately 1.6" (40 mm) at Abutment 1 and 1.2" (30 mm) at Abutment 2. There are up to 0.25" (6 mm) wide transverse and alligator AC cracks along the entire width at both abutments. The cracks at Abutment 2 are more severe.

There are 0.25° (6 mm) wide transverse AC deck cracks near both abutments with a 3' long x 3" wide (1 m x 75 mm) pothole near Abutment 2.

STEEL INVESTIGATION:

The steel members of the thru-trusses such as lower and upper chords, portal bracings,

Printed on: Friday 11/09/2007 11:26 AM

upper lateral bracings, upper lateral struts, sway bracings, sway struts, end posts, verticals, diagonals, and counter diagonals have general surface rust with no observed section loss or deformation. The eyebars at the bottom chords have no significant surface rust or section loss. The upper and lower chord connection pins were ultrasonically inspected in 09/20/06, with no defect indications found.

The paint system on floor beams has faded with surface rust on both flanges and the web sections. No visible cracks were observed.

There is general surface rust on the attached cover plates of the bottom of the floor beams, but no observed section loss. There are no visible cracks or defects found on the intermittent fillet welds (Category E weld) around the cover plates.

SCOUR:

At the left side of Abutment 1, the footing is exposed 32" (0.8 m) high by approximately 13' (4.0 m) long along the left side and return wall. There are exposed reinforcements of up to 24" (0.6 m) at the return wall footing, and up to 48" (1.2 m) at the bottom of the abutment footing.

SIGNS:

The appropriate load posting signs are in place at both approaches. 17 TONS PER VEHICLE 25 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION 29 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER In addition, the following signs are in place at both abutments. ONE LANE BRIDGE

ONE LANE BRIDGE STOP IMPAIRED VERTICAL CLEARANCE 13'-0" @ BOTH PORTALS

EXISTING POSTING:

The structure is posted by a Director's Order dated December 12, 1979 for the following load restrictions:

- 17 TONS PER VEHICLE
- 25 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION
- 29 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER

LOAD CAPACITY:

Load capacity calculations are dated August 20, 1979. For a one lane loading, the floor beam controls for the single vehicle and truss member U1U2 controls for the semi-trailer combination and truck and full trailer. The calculated capacities for different load cases are as follows:

17 TONS PER VEHICLE 25 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION 29 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER

RECOMMENDED POSTING:

Printed on: Thursday 11/15/2007 08:16 AM

.

Retain existing posting.

MISCELLANEOUS:

r

The inspection frequency will remain at 24 months due to the functional classification (Local Rural) with low daily traffic and present condition of the structure.

F#Elem Element Desc	ription	Env	Total	Units	Q	ty in ea	ch Condi	tion Sta	te
			Qty		St. 1	st. 2	St. 3	St. 4	St. 5
101 30 Steel Deck Corrugated/	- Orthotropic/Etc.	2	192	sq.m.	192	0	0	0	0
101 111 Timber Open	Girder/Beam	2	17	m.	17	0	0	0	0
101 121 Painted Stee Truss	el Bottom Chord Thru	2	62	m.	0	0	62	0	0
101 126 Painted Stee bottom chore	el Thru Truss (excl. 1)	2	62	m.	0	0	62	0	0
101 152 Painted Stee	el Floor Beam	2	25	m.	0	0	25	0	0
101 215 Reinforced (Conc Abutment	2	13	m.	13	0	0	0	0
101 311 Moveable Bea sliding, etc	aring (roller, c.)	2	2	ea.	2	0	0	0	0
101 313 Fixed Bearin	ng	2	2	ea.	2	0	0	0	0
101 332 Timber Bridg	ge Railing	2	62	m.	0	0	62	0	0
RecDate: 09/18/2007 Action : Deck-Resurf Work By: LOCAL AGENC Status : PROPOSED RecDate: 10/26/1999 Action : Paint-Full Work By: LOCAL AGENC Status : PROPOSED	EstCost: ace StrTarget: 2 Y DistTarget: EA: EstCost: prep/ StrTarget: 2 Y DistTarget: EA:	2 YEA 2 YEA	RS C2 RS C2 RS	epair t racks a epaint	the AC ag and pothe	pproach a	at both eck.	abutment: lements.	s,
RecDate: 10/26/1999 Action : Railing-Reh Work By: LOCAL AGENC Status : PROPOSED Inspected By :	EstCost: ab StrTarget: 2 Y DistTarget: EA: Ronnie H. Le	2 YEAH	RS RS	oRUF	the time	per rail	on both	sides.	
F	Antra- Registered Civil Eng	(SQ) ineer	P. HERISTER	NU NO NO OF	C47311 C47311 V31/C CALIFOR				

Page 4 of 4

STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT

(1) STATE NAME- CALIFORNIA 069
(8) STRUCTURE NUMBER 38C0009
(5) INVENTORY ROUTE (ON/UNDER) - ON 140000000
(2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT 10
(3) COUNTY CODE 099 (4) PLACE CODE 00000
(6) FEATURE INTERSECTED- DRY CREEK
(7) FACILITY CARRIED- CRABTREE ROAD
(9) LOCATION- 1.8 MI S WARNERVILLE RD
(11) MILEPOINT/KILOMETERPOINT 0
(12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK- NOT ON NET 0
(13) LRS INVENTORY ROUTE & SUBROUTE
(16) LATITUDE 37 DEG 42 MIN 39 SEC
(17) LONGITUDE 120 DEG 36 MIN 36 SEC
(98) BORDER BRIDGE STATE CODE 🛭 🕏 SHARE 👻
(99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NUMBER
******* STRICTIRE TYPE AND MATERIAL *********
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN: MATERIAL-
TYPE- TRUSS - THRU CODE 310
(44) STRUCTURE TYPE APPR: MATERIAL- NOT APPLICABLE
TYPE- NOT APPLICABLE CODE
(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT 1
(46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS 0
(107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE- CORRUGATED STEEL CODE 6
(108) WEARING SURFACE / PROTECTIVE SYSTEM:
A) TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE - BITUMINOUS CODE 6
B) TYPE OF MEMBRANE- NONE CODE 0
C) TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION- NONE CODE 0
**************** AGE AND SERVICE ***************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 1
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES:ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 100 30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 100 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 100 30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM 55 KM 55 KM 55 KM
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 100 30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM 55 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 030 YEAR OF ADT 1990 109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 030 YEAR OF ADT 1990 109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON-HIGHWAY 1 UNDER-WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ***********************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ***********************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ***********************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ***********************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ***********************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ***********************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ***********************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************
(27) YEAR BUILT 1920 (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000 (42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5 (28) LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 100 (30) YEAR OF ADT 1990 (109) TRUCK ADT 0 % (19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 35 KM ************************************

• •

**************************************	*****
STATUS STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT	
HEALTH INDEX	
(112) NRIC REINCELLASSIFICATION ************************************	CODE
(112) NEIS BRIDGE LENGTH- YES (104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM- NOT ON MUT	Y
(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS- LOCAL RURAL	0
(100) DEFENSE HIGHWAY- NOT STRAUMET	0
(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE- NONE EXISTS	14
(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC- 2 WAY	2
(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE-	2
(105) FED.LANDS HWY- NOT APPLICABLE	0
(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK - NOT ON NET	0
(20) TOLL- ON FREE ROAD	3
(21) MAINTAIN- COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY	02
(22) OWNER- COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY	02
(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE- NOT ELIGIBLE	5
************* CONDITION ************************************	CODE
(58) DECK	7
(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE	5
(60) SUBSTRUCTURE	7
(61) CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION	7
(62) CULVERTS	N
******** 1.0AD RATING AND POSTING ********	CODE
(31) DESIGN LOAD_ OTHER OF INTENDER	CODE
(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD- ALLOWARDER CORRECT	2
(64) OPERATING RATING-	10 0
(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD- ALLOWABLE STRESS	19.9
(66) INVENTORY RATING-	10 0
(70) BRIDGE POSTING- 20.0 - 29.9% BELOW	2
(41) STRUCTURE OPEN, POSTED OR CLOSED-	P
DESCRIPTION- POSTED FOR LOAD	
***************************************	CODE
(57) STRUCTURAL FULLATION	CODE
(68) DECK GEOMETRY	2
(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL	2 N
(71) WATER ADEQUACY	7
(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT	4
(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES	0000
(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES	в
********* PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS *********	
(75) TYPE OF WORK- REPLACE FOR DEFICIENC CODE	31
(76) LENGTH OF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT 40.3	07 M
(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST \$300	,000
(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST \$30	.000
(96) TOTAL PROJECT COST \$450	,000
(97) YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST ESTIMATE	1999
(114) FUTURE ADT	200
(115) YEAR OF FUTURE ADT	2015

(90) INSPECTION DATE 09/07 (91) FREQUENCY 24	MO
(92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: (93) CFI E	ATE
A) FRACTURE CRIT DETAIL- YES 24 MG A) 09/0)6
B) UNDERWATER INSP- NO MO B)	
C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP- NO MO C)	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - BUSINESS, TRANSPORTATION AND HOUSING AGENCY

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION DIVISION OF MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE MAINTENANCE AND INVESTIGATIONS 1801 30th Street, MS #9-1/9i P. O. BOX 168041 SACRAMENTO, CA 95816-8041 PHONE (916) 227-8631 FAX (916) 227-8357





Flex your power! Be energy efficient!

10/22/2008

Bridge Number: 38C0009 Bridge Name: DRY CREEK

Mr. Matt Machado County Of Stanislaus 1010 10th Street, Suite 3500 Modesto, CA 95354

Dear Mr. Machado:

According to Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations (Federal Highway Act), Caltrans Structure Maintenance and Investigations made biennial inspections of bridges under your jurisdiction. Enclosed is one copy of a Bridge Inspection Report for the structure noted on the attached transmittal sheet.

Posting for limited load carrying capacities is recommended for this structure. After you have reviewed the report, please notify us within 30 days as to what action you propose to take with regard to the posting (permanent or temporary) or corrective maintenance.

Section 35754 of the California Vehicle Code extends authority to the County to temporarily post restrictive weights on a bridge structure for periods not in excess of ninety (90) days. The most commonly used authority for permanently posting a bridge structure exists in Section 35751. Specific notification, public hearings and a signed posting order are among the requirements contained within that Section.

If you wish to discuss these or other aspects of the bridge posting process, please call Ronnie Le @ (916) 227-6831 or John Gillis @ (916) 227-8774.

Sincerely

PETE J. WHITFIELD Office Chief Structure Maintenance and Investigations - North

Enclosures



OCT 24 2008

STANISLAUS COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Structure Maintenance & Investigations



Facility Carried: CRABTREE ROAD

Location : 1.8 MI S WARNERVILLE RD City Cathane Inspection Date : 10/09/2008 Inspection Type Bridge Inspection Report Underwater Special Routine FC Other X STRUCTURE NAME: DRY CREEK CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION Year Built : 1920 Skew (degrees): 0 No. of Joints : Year Widened: N/A 0 Length (m) : 31.7 No. of Hinges : 0 Structure Description: Riveted steel through Pratt truss with corrugated metal deck and timber stringers on RC seat abutments. Steel "I" bars in tension chords. Foundations are unknown. Span Configuration :1@30.5 m LOAD CAPACITY AND RATINGS Design Live Load: OTHER OR UNKNOWN Inventory Rating: 11.1 metric tons Calculation Method: ALLOWABLE STRESS Operating Rating: 19 Calculation Method: ALLOWABLE STRESS metric tons Permit Rating : XXXXX Posting Load : Type 3 20 English tons Type 3S2 24 English tons Type 3-3 26 English tons

DESCRIPTION ON STRUCTURE

Deck X-Section: 0.1 m r, 0.4 m wg, 5.3 m, 0.4 m wg, 0.1 m r Total Width: 6.3 m Net Width: 5.3 m No. of Lanes: 1 Rail Description: Timber railing on both sides. Rail Code : 0000 Min. Vertical Clearance: 4.010

DESCRIPTION UNDER STRUCTURE

Channel Description: Dirt, gravel and some bedrock.

CONDITION TEXT

REVISIONS

This structure was load rated using Allowable Stress methods for the truss and stringers and Load Factor methods for the steel floor beams. As a result of this analysis, the following changes were made:

Item 28, Lanes On and Under the Structure, was revised from 2 to 1 to account for the one lane on the truss.

Item 66, Inventory Rating, and Item 64, Operating Rating, were revised from 10.0 to 11.1 metric tonnes and 19.9 to 19.0 metric tonnes, respectively.

Item 70, Bridge Posting, was revised from Code 2 (20 to 30% below legal loads) to Code 1 (30 to 40% below legal loads).

Item 102, Direction of Traffic, was revised from 2-way traffic to Code 3 - One lane bridge for 2-way traffic.

CONDITION OF STRUCTURE

The scope of this report was to update information on this bridge regarding the newly

Printed on: Wednesday 10/22/2008 01:21 PM

calculated load capacity.

SIGNS

As of the last inspection on 9/18/2007, the following load posting signs were in place at both approaches:

17 TONS PER VEHICLE.25 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION.29 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER.ONE LANE BRIDGE.

SAFE LOAD CAPACITY

The structure was load rated using Allowable Stress methods for the truss and stringers and Load Factor methods for the steel floor beams. The load rating results are summarized on the Structure Rating Summary Sheet dated 7/21/2008. The structure is capable of sustaining the following loads:

20 TONS PER VEHICLE. 24 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION. 26 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER. No permit loads are allowed.

The capacity of the structure is controlled by the truss top chord. The calculations were based on one lane of traffic with 2 inches of asphalt concrete on the deck.

EXISTING POSTING

The structure is currently posted by an Order of the Director dated December 12, 1979, for the following loads:

TONS PER VEHICLE.
TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION.
TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER.

RECOMMENDED POSTING

Post this structure for the following loads:

20 TONS PER VEHICLE.24 TONS PER SEMI-TRAILER COMBINATION.26 TONS PER TRUCK AND FULL TRAILER.ONE LANE BRIDGE.

RESCIND POSTING

Since the new load rating analysis indicates the new load capacities are lower than the existing posting for Semi-trailer Combination and Truck and Full Trailer, the posting by Order of the Director dated December 12, 1979, shall be rescinded and replaced with a new posting.

Printed on: Wednesday 10/22/2008 01:21 PM

..

MISCELLANEOUS

Mr. David Leamon of the County of Stanislaus was contacted on October 22, 2008. He will proceed with the scheduling of a public hearing to post this structure.

Inspected By :	JAGillis	SPROFESSIONAL SP
	apph Andre Gill	No. C47311
	Registered Civil Engineer	€xp. <u>12/31/09</u> ★
		OF CALIFOR

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING REVISION OF THE LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY OF CRABTREE ROAD BRIDGE OVER DRY CREEK (38C-0009)

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on January 13, 2009, at the hour of 9:15 A.M., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, Lower Level, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, California, to consider revising the load carrying capacity of Crabtree Road Bridge over Dry Creek (38C-0009).

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the above time and place, interested persons will be given the opportunity to be heard. Material submitted to the Board for consideration (i.e. photos, petitions, etc.) will be retained by the County. If a challenge to the above application is made in court, persons may be limited to raising only those issues they or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board. For additional information call, Dave Leamon, Stanislaus County Public Works, 209-568-6130.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Dated: December 9, 2008

ATTEST:

CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California

Deputy Clerk

DECLARATION OF PUBLICATION (C.C.P. \$2015.5)

COUNTY OF STANISLAUS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident Of the County aforesaid; I am over the age of Eighteen years, and not a party to or interested In the above entitle matter. I am a printer and Principal clerk of the publisher of **THE MODESTO BEE**, printed in the City of **MODESTO**, County of **STANISLAUS**, State of California, daily, for which said newspaper has been adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of **STANISLAUS**, State of California, Under the date of **February 25**, 1951, Action **No.** 46453; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each issue there of on the following dates, to wit: NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING RE-GARDING REVISION OF THE LOAD CARRYING CAPACITY OF CRABTREE ROAD BRIDGE OVER DRY CREEK (38C-0009)

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on January 13, 2009, at the hour of 9:15 a.m., or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors will meet in the Basement Chambers, Lower Level, 1010 10th Street, Modesto, California, to consider revising the load carrying capacrity of Crabtree Road Bridge over Dry Creek (38C-0009). NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that at the a-

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN thatat the above time and place, interested persons will be given the apportunity to be heard. Material submitted to the Board for consideration (i.e. photos, petitions, etc.) will be retained by the County. If a challenge to the above application is made in court, persons may be limitted to raising only those issues they or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Board. For additional information call. Dave Leamon. Stanislaus County Public Works, 209-568-6130.

6130. BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPER-VISORS

Dated: December 9, 2008

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California

By: Elizabeth A. King, Assistant Clerk Pub Dates Dec 30, 2008; Jan 6, 2009

Dec 30, 2008, Jan 06, 2009

I certify (or declare) under penalty of periury That the foregoing is true and correct and that This declaration was executed at

MODESTO, California on

January 7th, 2009

(Signature)

Neona Feliza