THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS						
DEPT:AUDITOR-CONTROLLER	BOARD AGENDA # $*B-1$					
UrgentRoutine_X	AGENDA DATE June 5, 2001					
CEO Concurs with Recommendation YES NO(Information Attached)	4/5 Vote Required YESNO_ \times					
SUBJECT:						

APPROVAL OF PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE COST RECOVERY PLAN

STAFF RECOMMEN-

DATIONS: ADOPT A RESOLUTION DETERMINING THAT THE PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS OF THE COUNTY FOR FISCAL YEAR 1999/2000 ARE \$6,452,180, ESTABLISHING THE PROPORTION OF SAID COSTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO INCORPORATED CITIES AND OTHER JURISDICTIONS AND AUTHORIZING THE COLLECTION OF SAID COSTS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF REVENUE AND TAXATION CODE SECTION 95.3 AND STANISLAUS COUNTY ORDINANCE CS-4.44.10.

FISCAL IMPACT:

T: The 2000/2001 Final Budget includes general fund revenue estimates for property tax administrative costs. These estimates total over \$660,000, if these revenue sources do not materialize, there will be a corresponding revenue shortfall in the general fund.

BOARD ACTION AS FOLLOWS:		
	No. 2001-406	
	, Seconded by SupervisorSimon	
and approved by the following vote,		
Aves: Supervisors: Mayfield, Blom, Simon, Caru	so, and Chair Paul	-
U		•
1) Approved as recommended		
2) Denied		
3) Approved as amended		
otion:	THIS ITEM WAS REMOVED FROM CONSENT AND PLACED ON	
	NON-CONSENT FOR DISCUSSION AND IT WAS APPROVED	
	TO BE CONTINUED TO JUNE 12, 2001 AT 9:35 A.M.	
	Wister Forsaro	
ATTEST, CUDISTINE EEDDADO TALLMAN, Clark	By: Deputy File No.	

APPROVAL OF PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE COST RECOVERY PLAN Page 2

DISCUSSION: With the passage of the State Budget for 1990/1991, funding for Stanislaus programs was cut. To partially make up these cuts and avoid significant reduction in health, criminal justice and social service programs, the Legislature and the Governor granted counties authority under SB2557 to recover the cost of property tax administration and jail booking fees from other jurisdictions.

During the 1991/1992 regular session of the State Legislature, a change was made to Revenue and Taxation Code Section 97 (f) to exempt school districts, community college districts, and county offices of education from property tax administration cost recovery.

During the 1992/1993 session of the State Legislature, various provisions of the Revenue and Taxation Code were changed to allow shifting a portion of the property tax revenue due to local government jurisdictions to the Schools Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund. Revenue and Taxation Code Section 97.5 (d) was then modified to allow the County to recover the property tax administrative costs related to the shifted revenue.

The 1994/1995 session of the State Legislature repealed section 97 dealing with property tax administration cost recovery and replaced it with Revenue and Taxation Code Section 95.2, which continued the provisions of the repealed sections. The new section added the legislative intent that recognized that the County was bearing a disproportionate share of property tax administration and provided for collection from local agencies of the proportionate share of those costs.

Finally, Revenue and Taxation Code Section 95.3 has superceded section 95.2. The new section eliminates the authority of the County to charge the Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund for property tax administration costs. It also allows the Auditor-Controller to include the Assessments Appeals Board expenses in calculating costs. In addition, it provided for offsetting property tax allocations in the amount of the recovery costs as opposed to invoicing, as had been the practice in the past.

DMG-Maximus has been retained to compute the property tax administrative costs for fiscal year 1999/2000 and schedule out the distribution of those costs to the appropriate jurisdictions. The total cost per the DMG-Maximus study is \$6,452,180 (see attachment A).

APPROVAL OF PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE COST RECOVERY PLAN Page 3

DISCUSSION, The distribution of those costs to each jurisdiction is also provided Continued: in the same attachment. Of this amount \$4,782,816 relates to schools and the costs by law are not recoverable by the County.

PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS SUMMARY

County General Fund	\$ 770,081
Schools (not recoverable)	\$4,782,816
Cities	\$ 408,119
Redevelopment Agencies	\$ 254,282
Special Districts	\$ 236,882
TOTAL	\$6,452,180

RECOVERY AMOUNTS TO BE ALLOCATED TO:

Assessor's Office	\$ 730,882
Auditor-Controller's Office	\$ 36,110
Tax Collector's Office	\$ 132,041
Assessment Appeals Board	\$ 250

POLICY The Stanislaus County Code requires the Auditor-Controller to ISSUES: submit a final report of Property Tax Administration costs for the review of the Board of Supervisors and the affected agencies. The final report is to be placed on the consent calendar for acceptance by the Board of Supervisors. Any jurisdiction wishing to challenge the apportionment of costs must request that the item be removed from consent and placed on the Board of Supervisors agenda for Public Hearing.

STAFFINGStaff of the offices of County Counsel, Auditor-Controller,IMPACT:Treasurer-Tax Collector, and Assessor have been involved in the
development and accumulation of data required for these reports.

APPROVAL OF PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE COST RECOVERY PLAN Page 4 $\,$

Staffing levels in the Auditor-Controller's Office are sufficient to offset the Property Tax Administration costs of the affected local agencies.

Stanislaus County

Property Tax Administration Fee

Cost Calculations

Final Report

Fiscal Year ending June 30, 2001

STANISLAUS COUNTY PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE COST SUMMARY FISCAL YEAR 1999/2000

CHART A

	ASSESSOR	TAX COLLECTOR	AUDITOR- CONTROLLER
SALARIES & BENEFITS	\$3,990,903	\$522,692	\$181,847
SERVICES & SUPPLIES	\$694,334	\$97,740	\$10,076
OTHER CHARGES	\$633,568	\$305,119	\$20,751
GENERAL &			
A-87 OVERHEAD	\$195,425	\$70,649	\$59,763
DEPARTMENTAL COST :	\$5,514,230	\$996,200	\$272,437
ASSESSMENT APPEALS BOARD		\$1,883	
TOTAL COST :		<u>\$6,784,750</u>	
TAX RELATED REVENUES :			
OTHER REVENUES	(\$20,902)	(\$8,072)	(\$3,550)
COLLECTION COST		(\$90,928)	
CHARGES FOR CURRENT SERVICES	(\$8,500)		(\$7,216)
ASSESSMENT & TAX COLLECTION FEES		(\$48,346)	
SB 813		(\$145,056)	
NET PROPERTY TAX			
ADMINISTRATIVE COST :		\$6,452,180	

(\$357,991)
(\$332,570)
(\$25,421)

FUND	AUDITOR'S APPORTIONMENT 2000/2001	ALLOCATION PERCENT	ADMIN COST DISTRI- -BUTION	DIRECT ASSESS- -MENT FEES	NET ADMIN COST DISTRI- -BUTION
GENERAL	24,182,831	11.54122%	\$744 660	COE 401	\$770.094
CO SUPT OF SCHOOLS	893,177	0.42627%	\$744,660 \$27,504	\$25,421	\$770,081
COUNTY FIRE	609,998	0.29112%	\$27,504	······	\$27,504
CERES, CITY OF	1,178,041	0.56222%	\$16,764	(\$1,092)	\$18,784
HUGHSON, CITY OF	93,536	0.04464%	\$2,880	(\$1,092)	\$35,183
MODESTO, CITY OF	7,576,428	3.61584%	\$2,880	(\$1,339)	\$2,764 \$231,961
NEWMAN, CITY OF	301,556	0.14392%	\$9,286	(\$1,339)	\$8,955
OAKDALE, CITY OF	776,621	0.37064%	\$23,914	(\$331)	\$22,855
PATTERSON, CITY OF	432,547	0.20643%	\$13,319	(\$1,009)	\$12,311
RIVERBANK, CITY OF	683,680	0.32628%	\$21,052	(\$1,008)	\$20,748
TURLOCK, CITY OF	2,275,248	1.08586%	\$70,062	(\$303)	\$68,992
WATERFORD, CITY OF	149,145	0.07118%	\$4,593	(\$244)	\$4,349
HILLS FERRY CEMETERY	67,915	0.03241%	\$2,091	(\$244)	\$2,091
KNIGHTS FERRY CEMETERY	2,163	0.00103%	<u>\$67</u>		\$2,091
PATTERSON CEMETERY	50,830	0.02426%	\$1,565		\$1,565
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702001	314,955	0.15031%	\$9,698		\$9,698
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702001	95,930	0.04578%	\$9,098		
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702002	68,434	0.03266%	\$2,954		\$2,954
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702004	12,967	0.00619%	\$399	<u> </u>	\$2,107 \$399
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702003	12,907	0.05956%	\$3,843		\$3,843
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702000	26,223	0.01252%	\$807	······	\$3,643
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702007	169,405	0.08085%	\$5,216		\$5,216
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702009	591,795	0.28243%	\$18,223		\$18,223
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702009	35,981	0.01717%	\$1,108		\$1,108
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702010	37,804	0.01804%	\$1,164		\$1,164
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702011	5,348	0.00255%	<u>\$1,104</u> \$165		\$1,104
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702013	162,613	0.07761%	\$5,007		\$5,007
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702015	8,383	0.00400%	\$258		\$258
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702016	11,862	0.00566%	\$365		\$365
COUNTY CRA PROJ # 1 702017	3,727	0.00178%	\$115		\$115
CERES RDA	1,078,267	0.51460%	\$33,203		\$33,203
MODESTO R.D.A.	220,260	0.10512%	\$6,782		\$6,782
MODESTO CRA - AMENDED	1,656,860	0.79073%	\$51,020		\$51,020
OAKDALE R.D.A.	1,577,734	0.75297%	\$48,583		\$48,583
NEWMAN RDA	495,167	0.23632%	\$15,248		\$15,248
TURLOCK RDA	273,824	0.13068%	\$8,432		\$8,432
TURLOCK CRA - AMENDED	971,580	0.46368%	\$29,918		\$29,918
PATTERSON REDEV AGEN	34,357	0.01640%	\$1,058		\$1,058
STAN/CERES RDA	226,846	0.10826%	\$6,985		\$6,985
WATERFORD RDA	52,692	0.02515%	\$1,623		\$1,623
DENAIR COMM SERVICES	36,069	0.01721%	\$1,111		\$1,111
GRAYSON COMM SERVICES	3,914	0.00187%	\$121	(\$288)	(\$167)
CITY OF MODESTO-HVCS	16,290	0.00777%	\$502	(#200)	\$502

FUND	AUDITOR'S APPORTIONMENT 2000/2001	ALLOCATION PERCENT	ADMIN COST DISTRI- -BUTION	DIRECT ASSESS- -MENT FEES	NET ADMIN COST DISTRI- -BUTION
	40.740	0.005400/			#000
KEYES C.S SEWER	10,719	0.00512%	\$330		\$330
KNIGHTS FERRY CSD	3,678	0.00176%	\$113		\$113
WATERFORD CSD	27,080	0.01292%	\$834		\$834
WESTLEY CSD	1,506	0.00072%	\$46	(04)	\$46
	923	0.00044%	\$28	(\$1)	\$27
BURBANK-PARADISE FIRE	67,246	0.03209%	\$2,071	(\$996)	\$1,075
STAN CONSOL FIRE PRO	1,502,347	0.71699%	\$46,262	(\$3,548)	\$42,714
CERES FIRE	20,343	0.00971%	\$626	(\$232)	\$394
DENAIR FIRE	63,265	0.03019%	\$1,948		\$1,948
EMPIRE FIRE	-	0.00000%	\$0	(0.7.0.0)	\$0
HUGHSON FIRE	124,708	0.05952%	\$3,840	(\$530)	\$3,310
INDUSTRIAL FIRE	146,896	0.07011%	\$4,523	(\$1,734)	\$2,790
KEYES FIRE	72,269	0.03449%	\$2,225	(\$432)	\$1,794
McHENRY-DRY CREEK FIRE	59	0.00003%	\$2		\$2
MOUNTAIN VIEW FIRE	50,733	0.02421%	\$1,562	(\$277)	\$1,285
OAKDALE FIRE	219,418	0.10472%	\$6,757	(\$687)	\$6,069
RIVERBANK FIRE	2	0.00000%	\$0		\$0
SALIDA FIRE	369,689	0.17643%	\$11,384	(\$1,269)	\$10,115
TURLOCK FIRE	84,174	0.04017%	\$2,592	(\$442)	\$2,150
VALLEY HOME FIRE	38,613	0.01843%	\$1,189		\$1,189
WATERFORD-HICKMAN FIRE	-	0.00000%	\$0		\$0
WESTPORT FIRE	50,155	0.02394%	\$1,544	(\$285)	\$1,259
WEST STANISLAUS FIRE	186,264	0.08889%	\$5,736	(\$700)	\$5,035
WOODLAND AVE FIRE	117,309	0.05599%	\$3,612		\$3,612
PATTERSON HOSP-GEN	361,950	0.17274%	\$11,146	(\$1,245)	\$9,900
WEST SIDE COMM HOSP	45,844	0.02188%	\$1,412	(\$855)	\$557
AIRPORT N LIGHTING	7,052	0.00337%	\$217	(\$106)	\$111
COUNTRY CLUB ESTATES	883	0.00042%	\$27	(\$34)	(\$7)
CROWS LAND LIGHTING	1,470	0.00070%	\$45	(\$32)	\$13
DENAIR LIGHTING	2,967	0.00142%	\$91	(\$156)	(\$64)
EMPIRE LIGHTING	8,478	0.00405%	\$261	(\$194)	\$67
FAIRVIEW TRACT LIGHTING	3,311	0.00158%	\$102	(\$50)	\$52
MANCINI PARK LIGHTING	202	0.00010%	\$6	(\$16)	(\$10)
MONTEREY PARK LIGHTING	689	0.00033%	\$21	(\$12)	\$9
OLYMPIC TRACT LIGHTING	3,925	0.00187%	\$121	(\$66)	\$55
RICHLAND TRACT LIGHTING	2,119	0.00101%	\$65	(\$31)	\$34
SALIDA LIGHTING	7,733	0.00369%	\$238	(\$774)	(\$535)
SHERWOOD FOREST	-	0.00000%	\$0		\$0
SUNSET OAKS LIGHTING	4,046	0.00193%	\$125	(\$60)	\$65
SYLVAN VILLAGE # 2	1,404	0.00067%	\$43	(\$13)	\$30
TEMPO PARK LIGHTING	2,131	0.00102%	\$66	(\$50)	\$16
WATERFORD LIGHTING	14,302	0.00683%	\$440	(\$471)	(\$30)
EASTSIDE MOSQUITO ABATEMENT	1,088,591	0.51953%	\$33,521	(\$159)	\$33,362

FUND	AUDITOR'S APPORTIONMENT 2000/2001	ALLOCATION PERCENT	ADMIN COST DISTRI- -BUTION	DIRECT ASSESS- -MENT FEES	NET ADMIN COST DISTRI- -BUTION
TURLOCK MOSQUITO ABATEMENT	757,472	0.36150%	\$23,325		\$23,325
CENTRAL IRRIGATION	39,270	0.01874%	\$1,209		\$1,209
OAKDALE IRRIGATION	904,226	0.43154%	\$27,844		\$27,844
TURLOCK IRRIGATION	731,474	0.34909%	\$22,524		\$22,524
W.S. IRRIGATION	213,692	0.10198%	\$6,580		\$6,580
STORM DRAIN # 1	492	0.00023%	\$15	· · · · · · · · ·	\$15
STORM DRAIN # 6	1,796	0.00086%	\$55		\$55
STORM DRAIN # 8	5,780	0.00276%	\$178		\$178
STORM DRAIN # 10	229	0.00011%	\$7		\$7
SHERWOOD FOREST DRAIN	1,137	0.00054%	\$35		\$35
EAST STANISLAUS RESO	1,453	0.00069%	\$45		\$45
RECL DIST # 2063	25,515	0.01218%	\$786	(\$293)	\$493
RECL DIST # 2091	2,966	0.00142%	\$91	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$91
WEST STANISLAUS RESO	10,601	0.00506%	\$326		\$326
PATTERSON SOIL	955	0.00046%	\$29		\$29
EMPIRE SANITARY	19,481	0.00930%	\$600		\$600
SALIDA SANITARY	24,926	0.01190%	\$768	(\$913)	(\$145)
CHATOM ELEM-GEN	1,058,119	0.50499%	\$32,583		\$32,583
EMPIRE ELEM	4,047,593	1.93171%	\$124,637	(\$1,579)	\$123,058
GRATTON ELEM	-	0.00000%	\$0		\$0
HART RANSOM ELEM	612,312	0.29222%	\$18,855		\$18,855
HICKMAN ELEM	-	0.0000%	\$0		\$0
HUGHSON ELEM	-	0.0000%	\$0		\$0
KEYES ELEM	481,835	0.22996%	\$14,837		\$14,837
KNIGHTS FERRY ELEM	-	0.0000%	\$0		\$0
LA GRANGE ELEM	-	0.0000%	\$0		\$0
MODESTO ELEM	11,713,206	5.59011%	\$360,684		\$360,684
OAKDALE ELEM	-	0.00000%	\$0		\$0
PARADISE ELEM	182,356	0.08703%	\$5,615		\$5,615
RIVERBANK ELEM	-	0.0000%	\$0		\$0
ROBERTS FERRY ELEM	-	0.0000%	\$0		\$0
SALIDA ELEM	2,388,696	1.14000%	\$73,555		\$73,555
SHILOH ELEM	153,560	0.07329%	\$4,729		\$4,729
	3,956,673	1.88832%	\$121,838		\$121,838
	6,103,858	2.91306%	\$187,956		\$187,956
	5,407,226	2.58059%	\$166,504		\$166,504
	-	0.00000%	\$0		\$0
		0.00000%	\$0 \$0		\$0
HUGHSON HIGH MODESTO HIGH	- 22,253,774	10.62058%	\$0 \$685,259		\$0
OAKDALE HIGH	22,203,114	0.00000%	<u>\$085,259</u> \$0		\$685,259
TURLOCK HIGH	6,516,060	3.10978%	\$200,649	(\$330)	\$0 \$200.310
CERES UNIFIED	7,394,635	3.52908%	\$227,702	(\$330)	\$200,319 \$227,702
	1,394,035	3.32300%	φζζί,1υζ		\$227,702

FUND	AUDITOR'S APPORTIONMENT 2000/2001	ALLOCATION PERCENT	ADMIN COST DISTRI- -BUTION	DIRECT ASSESS- -MENT FEES	NET ADMIN COST DISTRI- -BUTION
DENAIR UNIFIED	1,654,476	0.78960%	\$50,946		\$50,946
HUGHSON UNIFIED	1,848,300	0.88210%	\$56,915		\$56,915
HUGHSON (GRATTON) UNIFIED	75,403	0.03599%	\$2,322	· · · · · · · · ·	\$2,322
HUGHSON (HICKMAN) UNIFIED	174,026	0.08305%	\$5,359		\$5,359
HUGHSON (LA GRANGE) UNIFIED	31,593	0.01508%	\$973		\$973
HUGHSON (ROBERTS FERRY)	101,054	0.04823%	\$3,112		\$3,112
NEW-CROWS UNIF	2,350,932	1.12198%	\$72,392		\$72,392
OAKDALE UNIFIED	6,510,467	3.10711%	\$200,476		\$200,476
OAKDALE (KNIGHTS FERRY)	109,281	0.05215%	\$3,365		\$3,365
OAKDALE (VALLEY HOME)	233,555	0.11146%	\$7,192		\$7,192
PATTERSON UNIFIED	3,186,752	1.52087%	\$98,129		\$98,129
RIVERBANK UNIFIED	2,243,144	1.07054%	\$69,073		\$69,073
WATERFORD UNIFIED	1,324,829	0.63227%	\$40,795		\$40,795
YCCD - GEN	15,980,028	7.62644%	\$492,072		\$492,072
COUNTY SCHOOL SERVICE	6,993,770	3.33777%	\$215,359		\$215,359
SCHOOLS EQUALIZATION	3,576,002	1.70664%	\$110,116		\$110,116
SCHOOLS TUITION	2,036,368	0.97185%	\$62,706		\$62,706
SPECIAL DISTRICT AUGMENTATION	-	0.0000%	\$0		\$0
EDUC REV AUGMENTATION	33,790,853	16.12663%	\$1,040,519		\$1,040,519
TOTAL	209,534,497	100.00000%	\$6,452,180	(\$0)	\$6,452,180

STANISLAUS COUNTY ASSESSOR BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES BY COST CENTER FISCAL YEAR 1999/2000

CHART C

	TOTAL	SUPPORTING	PROP TAX	ALL
DESCRIPTION	COSTS	COST	ADMIN	OTHER
PERMANENT SALARIES	\$3,451,705		\$3,451,705	\$0
BENEFITS	\$539,198		\$539,198	\$0
TOTAL SALARIES & BENEFITS	\$3,990,903		\$3,525,125	\$0
MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT	\$1,714		\$1,714	\$0
CLINIC SUPPLIES	\$0		\$0	\$0
FINGERPRINTING	\$301		\$301	\$0
SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL	\$0		\$0	\$0
OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$30,276		\$30,276	\$0
CONTRACTS	\$252,586		\$252,586	\$0
POSTAGE	\$1,953		\$1,953	\$0
EXP. EQUIPMENT - TO \$5,000	\$616		\$616	\$0
RENTS & LEASES	\$7,831		\$7,831	\$0
PUBLICATIONS AND LEGAL NOTICES	\$1,744		\$1,744	\$0
CREDIT CARD PURCHASES	\$17,618		\$17,618	\$0
PRE-PLACEMENT DRUG TEST	\$160		\$160	\$0
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	\$9,642		\$9,642	\$0
TRAVEL EXPENSES	\$50,323		\$50,323	\$0
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	\$374,764		\$374,764	\$0
TELEPHONE	\$76,401		\$76,401	\$0
INSURANCE	\$12,359		\$12,359	\$0
PRINT/COPY/MAIL/MESSENGER	\$16,493		\$16,493	\$0
AERIAL PHOTOS	\$0		\$0	\$0
SOFTWARE	\$0		\$0	\$0
DATA PROCESSING	\$507,275		\$507,275	\$0
CO GARAGE	\$8,604		\$8,604	\$0
MEDICAL EXAMS	\$80		\$80	\$0
OTHER	\$933		\$933	\$0
STORES-OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$11,423		\$11,423	\$0
TOTAL OTHER CHARGES	\$633,568		\$633,568	\$0
TOTAL FIXED ASSETS	\$19,068		\$0	\$19,068
TRANSFERS	\$291,306		\$291,306	\$0
UTILITIES	\$28,264		\$28,264	\$0
A-87 OVERHEAD(ACTUAL 97/98)	\$195,425		\$195,425	\$0
RE-ALLOCATE SUPPORT COST				
TOTAL ASSESSOR	\$5,533,298		\$5,514,230	\$19,068
	<i>40,000,000</i>		<i><i><i><i>ϕ</i>𝔅𝔅𝔅𝔅𝔅𝔅𝔅𝔅𝔅</i></i></i>	<i><i><i></i></i></i>

STANISLAUS COUNTY TAX COLLECTOR BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES BY COST CENTER FISCAL YEAR 1999/2000

CHART D

	TOTAL	SUPPORTING	PROP TAX	ALL
DESCRIPTION	COSTS	COST	ADMIN	OTHER
PERMANENT SALARIES	\$406,287		\$406,287	\$0
BENEFITS	\$116,405		\$116,405	\$0
TOTAL SALARIES & BENEFITS	\$522,692		\$522,692	\$0
MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT	\$786		\$786	\$0
MEMBERSHIP	\$100		\$100	\$0
SAFETY INCENTIVE PROGRAM	\$124		\$124	\$0
OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$10,856		\$10,856	\$0
PUBLICATIONS/LEGAL NOTICES	\$19,045		\$19,045	\$0
POSTAGE	\$752		\$752	\$0
LEGAL SERVICES	\$10,505		\$10,505	\$0
FINGERPRINTING	\$0		\$0	\$0
TITLE SEARCH	\$3,555		\$3,555	\$0
ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING	\$50		\$50	\$0
CONTRACTS	\$35,256		\$35,256	\$0
RENTS & LEASES	\$2,343		\$2,343	\$0
SPECIAL DEPARTMENTAL	\$100		\$100	\$0
EDUCATION & TRAINING	\$910		\$910	<u>\$0</u>
PURCHASING AGENT REVOLVING	\$0		\$0	\$0
TRAVEL EXPENSES	\$2,847		\$2,847	\$0
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	\$87,229	\$0	\$87,229	\$0
TELEPHONE	\$6,999		\$6,999	\$0
INSURANCE	\$5,421		\$5,421	\$0
PRINT/COPY/MAIL/MESSENGER	\$85,460		\$85,460	\$0
DATA PROCESSING	\$202,340		\$202,340	\$0
COLLECTIONS	\$0		\$0	\$0
ADMIN SERVICES	\$0		\$0	<u>\$0</u>
PICKUP & DELIVERY	\$123		\$123	\$0
STORES-OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$4,776		\$4,776	\$0
TOTAL OTHER CHARGES	\$305,119	\$0	\$305,119	\$0
TOTAL FIXED ASSETS	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TRANSFERS	\$27,085	\$0	\$0	\$27,085
I RANSFERG	\$41,000		JŲ.	<i>\$41,005</i>
UTILITIES	\$10,511		\$10,511	\$0
A-87 OVERHEAD(ACTUAL 97/98)	\$70,649	\$0	\$70,649	\$0
RE-ALLOCATE SUPPORT COST		\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL TAX COLLECTOR	\$1,023,285	\$0	\$996,200	\$27,085
	\$1,0 <i>43</i> ,203	φυ	\$770,400	<i></i>

STANISLAUS COUNTY AUDITOR-CONTROLLER BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES BY COST CENTER FISCAL YEAR 1999/2000

CHART E

	TOTAL	SUPPORTING	PROP TAX	ALL
DESCRIPTION	COSTS	COST	ADMIN	OTHER
DESCRIPTION		0.051		
PERMANENT SALARIES	\$1,792,952	\$339,775	\$145,705	\$1,307,472
BENEFITS	\$444,745	\$84,282	\$36,142	\$324,321
DENEFTIS	\$111 ,745	<i>404,20<i>2</i></i>	\$50,142	<i>\$32</i> 7 , <i>32</i> 1
TOTAL SALARIES & BENEFITS	\$2,237,697	\$424,057	\$181,847	\$1,631,793
TOTAL SALARIES & BENEFITS	\$2,237,097	9747,037	\$101,047	\$1,031,735
MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT	\$2,054	\$176	\$75	\$1,803
MANTENAICE EQUITATENT	\$1,870	\$160	\$69	\$1,642
TOM EMPLOEE RECOGNITION	\$95	\$8	\$3	\$83
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE	\$1,715	\$147	\$63	\$1,505
USE TAX	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
OVER/SHORT FUND	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0
OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$18,834	\$1,610	\$690	\$16,533
OFFICE EQUIPMENT - NON ASSET	\$1,925	\$165	\$71	\$1,690
WARRANT COST	\$4,142	\$354	\$152	\$3,636
POSTAGE	\$431	\$37	\$152	\$378
OFFICE EQUIPMENT UNDER \$1000	\$451	\$1,839	\$789	\$18,881
PROFESSIONAL SERVICES	\$825	\$1,833	\$30	\$18,881
MICROFILMING	\$287	\$25	\$11	\$252
FINGERPRINTING	<u>\$287</u> \$64	\$25		\$252
CONTRACTS	<u> </u>	\$12,555	\$5,383	\$128,905
PUBLICATIONS AND LEGAL NOTICES	\$4,232	\$362	\$155	\$128,903
RENTS & LEASES	\$4,038	\$345		\$3,545
SPECIAL AUDITS	\$4,038	\$343	\$148 \$0	\$3,343 \$0
		\$2,938	\$1,260	
EDUCATION & TRAINING	\$34,364			\$30,166
CREDIT CARD PURCHASES	(\$2,710)	(\$232)	(\$99)	(\$2,379)
PRE-PLACEMENT DRUG TEST	\$40	\$3	\$1 \$1,257	\$35
TRAVEL EXPENSES	\$34,289	\$2,932	\$1,257	\$30,100
TOTAL SERVICES & SUBPLIES	\$274,848	\$23,500	\$10.076	£241.272
TOTAL SERVICES & SUPPLIES	\$274,848	\$43,500	\$10,076	\$241,272
GOVT COPY, DRUGS & ENG.	\$0	50	\$0	\$0
TELEPHONE	\$33,023	\$0	\$0	\$33,023
INSURANCE	\$11,472	<u>\$0</u>	\$0	\$11,472
PRINT/COPY/MAIL/MESSENGER	\$27,363	\$17,345	\$7,437	\$2,581
DATA PROCESSING	\$183,510	\$32,030	\$13,314	\$138,166
CO GARAGE	\$552	\$0	\$15,514	\$552
ADMIN SERVICES	\$ <u>352</u> \$0	\$0	40	\$0
PICKUP & DELIVERY	\$231	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$231
STORES-OFFICE SUPPLIES	\$7,027	\$0	\$0	\$7,027
	ψ1 ,0 21		φ υ	φ 7,027
TOTAL OTHER CHARGES	\$263,178	\$49,375	\$20,751	\$193,052
	4200,170	413,070		
TOTAL FIXED ASSETS	\$14,125	\$0	\$0	\$14,125
TRANSFERS	\$118,127	\$2,966	\$0	\$115,161
		φ2,700	vv	<i><i><i></i></i></i>
UTILITIES	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
A-87 OVERHEAD(ACTUAL 97/98)	\$96,146	\$18,220	\$7,813	\$70,112
	φ> 0,1 τ0	<i></i>	\$7,013	
RE-ALLOCATE SUPPORT COST		(\$518,118)	\$51,950	\$466,168
	·····	(#0.10,110)		
TOTAL AUDITOR-CONTROLLER	\$3,004,121	\$0	\$272,437	\$2,731,684
				<i>\$2,751,004</i>
L		l		

STANISLAUS COUNTY ASSESSMENT APPEALS BOARD BREAKDOWN OF EXPENSES FISCAL YEAR 1999/2000

CHART F

BOARD	Appeals Board Hou rs	Hourly Rate	a Salary Cost	ь Total Salary	Percent (a/b)	Other Dept'l Cost	A-87 Cost	Total Appeals Board
Assistant Clerk	4.00	15.458333	\$61.83					
Clerk to the Board	0.00	21.582778	\$0.00					
Board Member(3) *	40.00		\$975.00					
Total cost			\$1,036.83	\$628,090.00		\$464,127.00	\$48,498.00	
Ratio					0.17%			
Appeals Board Cost			\$1,037			\$766	\$80	\$1,883

Sent by: Stanislaus County Counsel 209 525 4473; 06/04/01 16:59; JetFax #899; Page 3/5 Received: 6/ 4/01 18/28; -> Stanislaus County Counsel ; Page 2 PAGE B2

· 06/04/2001 16:21 6508438094

W D RCSS

Witten D. Lon a C. De Pellos Robert D. Rossile

nà D. Rosh Of Comment

Law Offices of William D. Ross A Professional Corporation 520 South Grand Avenue Suite 300 Los Angeles, California 90071-2610 Telephone: (213) 8/2-1592 Feammile: (213) 8/2-1519

Pelo Alto Officer

400 Lambert Street Phip Aito, California 94306 Tokophone: (650) 843-8080 Pacsimile: (650) 843-8384

Phe No. 371/3 178/3 38/3 30/5

June 4, 2001

VIA TELECOPIER & U.S. MAIL Fax: (209) 544-6226

The Honorable Pat Paul, Chairman and Members of the Board of Supervisors Stanislaus County 1100 "H" Street Box 3404 Modesto, California 95353

> Consent Item No. B.1., June 5, 2001 Meeting of the County Board of Re: Supervisors; Acceptance and Approval of Property Tax Administration Cost Study for FY 1999/2000

Dear Chairman Paul and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

This office represents the Oakdale Rural Fire Protection District, the Salida Fire Protection District, the Stanislaus Consolidated Fire Protection District and the West Stanislaus Fire Protection District. This communication responds to a May 25, 2001 communication of Mr. Ray Rasmussen of the County Anditor-Controller's office with respect to an action proposed by that office for your Board concerning the determination of a Property Tax Administration Fee and its allocation among the above-referenced fire protection districts and others for fiscal year 1999/2000.

The issue of whether the provisions of Revenue and Taxation Code section 95.3 versus Government Code section 29142(a) and Health and Safety Code section 13899 are applicable to the determination of this cost for fire protection districts has been the subject of several communications to both the Auditor-Controller's office and the County Counsel's office and is currently the subject of litigation for two of the referenced fire districts entitled. West Stanislaus Fire Protection District v. Stanislaus County et al., Stanislaus County Superior Court Case No. 288129 and Stanislaus Consolidated Fire Protection District v. Stanislaus County, et al., Stanislaus County Superior Court Case No. 288128.

Sent by: Stanislaus County Counsel 209 525 4473; 06/04/01 16:59; <u>JetFax</u> #899;Page 4/5 _ Received: 6/ 4/01 16:26; -> Stenislaus County Counsel ; Page 3 _ C6/84/2001 16:21 6508438084 W D RDSS PAGE 03

The Honorable Pat Paul, Chairman and Members of the Board of Supervisors Stanislaus County June 4, 2001 Page 2

The Stanislaus Consolidated Fire Protection District and the West Stanislaus Fire Protection District disagree with each and every contention advanced in the May 25, 2001 communication of Mr. Rasmussen as is more fully set forth in the petitions of each of the litigation matters referenced above.

With respect to those districts we would note that the matter has been assigned to another branch of government for resolution, the judicial branch.

Should your Board decide to accept the argument of the districts concerning the method of calculation, then those two districts respectfully request that the procedures embodied in Code of Civil Procedures section 664.6 be followed.

With respect to Oakdale Rural and the Salida Fire Protection Districts, both of those districts maintain that the calculation should not be made under the provisions of Revenue and Taxation Code section 95.3, but consistent with the provisions of Government Code section 29142(b), that is, limited to one quarter of one percent of the applicable revenues of the respective districts.

All of the districts disagree that the matter is to be adjudicated under the provisions of Stanislans County Code section 4.44.10(b), as the provision conflicts with the provisions of Government Code section 29142(b) and there is no statutory authorization for a county, general law or charter, to qualify a mandatory and ministerial right to a calculation consistent with applicable law, Government Code section 29142(b).

In summary, the districts referenced in this communication submit that the Property Tax Administration Fee is presently incorrectly calculated and imposed by the County Auditor-Controller and should be corrected to be consistent with the provisions of Government Code section 29142(b).

Very truly yours,

Will D.A_

William D. Ross

WDR:smv

cc: Ray Rasmussen, Property Tax and Assessments Officer of the Auditor-Controller, Larry D. Haugh Sent by: Stanialaus County Counsel 209 525 4473; 06/04/01 17:00; jetFax #899; Page 5/5 Peceived: 0/ 4/01 15:20; > Stanielaue County Counsel; Page 4 . 06/04/2001 16:21 6508430084 & D ROSS PAGE 04

.

The Honorable Par Paul, Chairman and Members of the Board of Supervisors Stanislaus County June 4, 2001 Page 3

> William L. Houk, District Chief Oakdale Rural Fire Protection District

John A. Brubaker, District Chief Salida Fire Protection District

Lyn Rambo, Interim District Chief Stanislaus Consolidated Fire Protection District

Richard Gaiser, District Chief West Stanislaus Fire Protection District

E. Vernon Sceley, Esq. Assistant County Counsel (via telecopier)