

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS
ACTION AGENDA SUMMARY

DEPT: AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

BOARD AGENDA # *B-1

Urgent Routine X

AGENDA DATE April 10, 2001

CEO Concurs with Recommendation YES ^{gnt} NO
(Information Attached)

4/5 Vote Required YES NO X

SUBJECT:

APPROVAL TO SET PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THE 2001-2002 SPECIAL
ASSESSMENT FOR ALMOND WOOD LIGHTING DISTRICT

STAFF
RECOMMEN-
DATIONS:

SET A PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 12, 2001, AT 9:25 A.M., REGARDING THE 2001-
2002 SPECIAL ASSESSMENT FOR ALMOND WOOD LIGHTING DISTRICT.

FISCAL
IMPACT:

Administrative cost of conducting the required hearing is included as part of the operation and
maintenance cost for the district and will be funded as a portion of the assessment.

BOARD ACTION AS FOLLOWS:

No. 2001-244

On motion of Supervisor Blom, Seconded by Supervisor Caruso
and approved by the following vote,

Ayes: Supervisors: Mayfield, Blom, Simon, Caruso, and Chair Paul

Noes: Supervisors: None

Excused or Absent: Supervisors: None

Abstaining: Supervisor: None

1) X Approved as recommended

2) Denied

3) Approved as amended

Motion:

ATTEST: CHRISTINE FERRARO TALLMAN, Clerk

By: Christine Ferraro Deputy

File No.

APPROVAL TO SET PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THE 2001-2002
SPECIAL ASSESSMENT FOR ALMOND WOOD LIGHTING DISTRICT
PAGE 2

DISCUSSION: Normally, the annual levy of assessments for lighting districts does not require public hearings. However, because the 2001-2002 assessment for the named district may be increased, the County must comply with the notice and public hearing requirements of Proposition 218 and its implementing legislation.

The purpose of this public hearing is to receive comments regarding the levy of annual assessments and proposed formula to pay for the maintenance and operation of street lighting. The Board of Supervisors will also receive ballot results which will be the basis for either authorizing the Auditor-Controller to develop an assessment based on a formula or, if a majority protest, apply the 2000-2001 assessment rate.

New Districts formed after the passage of Proposition 218 have been subject to the ballot procedures as part of their formation. However, there were 27 preexisting lighting districts that had not approved a formula in compliance with Proposition 218. Of these 27 districts, 23 previously required increases in their assessments and have gone through the required ballot procedure.

POLICY
ISSUES: This action is consistent with the requirements of Proposition 218.

STAFFING
IMPACT: Existing County staff is adequate to accommodate the required ballot procedures.