THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS **ACTION AGENDA SUMMARY**

DEPT:	HEALTH SERVICES AGE Urgent Routing	VA	BOARD AGENDA # *B-7 AGENDA DATE March 13, 2001
CEO Concu	rs with Recommendation		4/5 Vote Required YES NOX
SUBJECT:	BE TAKEN WITHIN ST EMERGENCY DEPARTM	TANISLAUS COUNTY TO	JNWANTED NEWBORN INFANT MAY PUBLIC AND PRIVATE HOSPITAL E NEW CHILD ABANDONMENT LAW 101
STAFF RECOMMEN- DATIONS:	APPROVAL TO RESTRIC BE TAKEN WITHIN ST EMERGENCY DEPARTM	TANISLAUS COUNTY TO	JNWANTED NEWBORN INFANT MAY PUBLIC AND PRIVATE HOSPITAL E NEW CHILD ABANDONMENT LAW 101.
FISCAL IMPACT:	This law proposes no fisca	al impact on the General Fund	
BOARD ACTION			No. 2001-173
On motion of Supervisor			

ATTEST REAGAN M WILSON CLOSE BURNETING File No.

SUBJECT:

APPROVAL TO RESTRICT LOCATIONS WHERE AN UNWANTED NEWBORN INFANT MAY BE TAKEN WITHIN STANISLAUS COUNTY TO PUBLIC AND PRIVATE HOSPITAL EMERGENCY DEPARTMENTS, PURSUANT TO THE NEW CHILD ABANDONMENT LAW (AB1764) THAT BECAME EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 2001

PAGE: 2

DISCUSSION:

AB 1764 indicates that the Board of Supervisors will determine where unwanted newborn infants can be taken within the County. Hospital Emergency Departments are the standard; however, other locations, such as fire stations, can be designated.

The Stanislaus County Public Health Officer recommends the limitation to hospital emergency departments for the following reasons:

- 1. These are high risk newborns who often require immediate medical care. The infant may have been delivered outside of a medical facility. The mother may not have had any prenatal care. The mother may have been an active illegal drug user which may result in perinatal complications.
- 2. Stanislaus County has Hospital Emergency Departments within reasonable driving distance of any location.
- 3. It reduces the obvious liability and policy issues incumbent if public safety locations are designated within our County.

The County Fire Chief Association, Stanislaus County Community Services Agency, Emergency Department Directors, County Medical Society, and Modesto Police have offered no objections to this recommendation. A legislative summary is attached.

POLICY ISSUES:

This recommendation supports the Board's priority of providing a safe, healthy community.

STAFFING

IMPACTS: There are no staffing impacts associated with approval of this recommendation.

SENATE RULES COMMITTEE
Office of Senate Floor Analyses
1020 N Street, Suite 524
(916) 445-6614 Fax: (916)
327-4478

AB 1764

THIRD READING

Bill No: AB 1764

Author: Maddox (R), et al Amended: 8/8/00 in Senate

Vote: 21

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE : 9-0, 6/20/00

AYES: Burton, Escutia, Haynes, Morrow, O'Connell, Peace,
Sher, Wright, Schiff

SENATE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE : 5-0, 6/27/00 AYES: Vasconcellos, Johnston, McPherson, Polanco, Rainey

SENATE APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE : 13-0, 8/23/00

AYES: Johnston, Alpert, Bowen, Burton, Escutia, Johnson,
Karnette, Kelley, Leslie, McPherson, Mountjoy, Perata,
Vasconcellos

ASSEMBLY FLOOR: 73-0, 5/25/00 - See last page for vote

SUBJECT : Immunity from prosecution: newborn abandonment

SOURCE : Author

<u>DIGEST</u>: This bill provides that no parent or lawful custodian of a child 72 hours old or younger may be prosecuted for the crime of child abandonment if they voluntarily surrender physical custody of the child to an employee at a hospital emergency room, as specified.

ANALYSIS: Existing criminal law makes it a crime for a parent of a minor child, without lawful excuse, to fail to provide necessary clothing, food, shelter, or medical care for the child, or to refuse to accept the child into his or her home or provide alternate shelter. (Penal Code 270,

270.5)

Existing law makes it a crime for a parent of a child under the age of 14 to desert the child with intent to abandon or to willfully abandon the child. (Penal Code 271, 271a)

This bill provides immunity from criminal prosecution to a parent or person having lawful custody of a child 72 hours old or younger, who delivers the child to a designated employee of a public or private hospital emergency room, or to another location designated by a county.

This bill requires the person taking physical custody of the child to provide a medical screening exam and any necessary medical care to the child, and to turn the child over to the county child protective services or child welfare agency as soon as possible.

This bill provides that a person who voluntarily surrenders physical custody of a child to a designated person shall be presumed to have lawful physical custody of the child, and that this presumption shall be one affecting the burden of producing evidence.

This bill requires that at the time of surrender the child be issued a special identification bracelet and the surrendering person be given a matching code number for identification purposes.

This bill requires that at the time of surrender, the person surrendering the infant be given a form to report on the child's and parents' medical history. The form could be filled out, using only the child's identification code, at the hospital or mailed in later. The questionnaire would be required to include a statutorily-specified notice.

This bill provides that the parent or other person having lawful custody of the child who surrenders the child may reclaim custody of the child within 14 days of the surrender date by providing the identifying code number, as specified.

This bill requires child protective services or the child welfare agency or the county to assume temporary custody of the child and to report this action to the California Department of Social Services. If custody of the child is not reclaimed within 14 days of surrender, the county agency must file a petition in dependency court and follow the procedures for abused or neglected children outlined in Welfare and Institutions Code Section 300, et seq.

The bill specifies that no person or entity that accepts a surrendered child shall be subject to civil, criminal, or administrative liability for accepting the child and caring for the child in the good faith belief that action is

required or authorized by the bill, including, but not limited to, instances where the child is older than 72 hours or the person surrendering the child did not have lawful physical custody of the child. However, the bill specifies that it does not confer immunity from liability for personal injury resulting from medical malpractice.

This bill additionally requires the State Department of Social Services to report to the Legislature on the effect of this bill, as specified.

This bill has specified five-year sunset dates.

Background

In recent years, reports of babies being abandoned in trash bins, restrooms and parking lots have filled the news pages and the airwaves. In New Jersey, a high school student attending her senior prom delivered a baby in a restroom, hid it in a trashcan, and returned to the dance. In Delaware, a college couple wrapped their newborn in plastic and put it in a motel dumpster.

California has had its share of such incidents. This spring, a baby girl was found dead, floating in a San Joaquin County ditch. The coroner determined that the baby was alive when it was abandoned, but later drowned. In a recent <u>Sacramento Bee</u> article, the Los Angeles County Coroner's lead investigator states his office handles 15 to

20 dead, abandoned children every year.

In Calimesa, Riverside County, Debi Faris established the "Garden of Angels" as a final resting place for dead abandoned infants in Southern California. The nationwide media attention afforded Faris and her foundling cemetery has highlighted the problem of infant abandonment and prompted lawmakers to draft proposals to help save some of these children. Now a nonprofit organization, Garden of Angels, is the sponsor of SB 1368, to which this bill conforms.

Other Jurisdictions

Responding to news reports of abandoned babies, some two dozen states initiated laws to encourage mothers to surrender their infants at safe locations, such as hospitals, police stations, and firehouses. In 1999, Texas adopted the first and only such law now in effect. Other states with pending legislation include New York, Florida, and Pennsylvania. Programs to protect abandoned babies have been organized in several other states, including Minnesota, and cities such as Mobile, Alabama.

Internationally, Germany has adopted the use of "baby slots" in which unwanted newborns may be deposited anonymously. A similar practice, called the "revolving

crib," is now in place in Johannesburg, South Africa. Hungary also maintains anonymous drop-off locations.

In all jurisdictions, the anonymity of the surrendering person is preserved. Approaches to protection from prosecution vary. In some U.S. states, the surrendering parent is immune from prosecution; in others, the fact that the baby was left in a safe location can be used as an affirmative defense.

Related Legislation

This bill is nearly identical to SB 1368 (Brulte) which passed the Senate, 5/31/00, 40-0, and is currently in the Assembly Appropriations Committee.

FISCAL EFFECT : Appropriation: No Fiscal Com.: Yes

Local: Yes

SUPPORT: (Verified 8/24/00)

Orange County District Attorney American Civil Liberties Union California Professional Firefighters California Catholic Conference National Right to life Committee, Inc. California ProLife Council American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Planned Parenthood Affiliates of California Orange County Public Policy Committee, CAEYC California Peace Officers' Association California Police Chiefs Association Prevent Child Abuse - California City of El Monte Chief of Police City and County of San Francisco California Medical Association One individual

OPPOSITION : (Verified 8/24/00)

Capitol Resource Institute Bast Nation

ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT: The author states: "Too many newborn babies are being abandoned in trashcans, restrooms and under bushes. Nobody knows the magnitude of this problem because neither federal nor state government keeps statistics on baby abandonment. However, a Department of Health Services informal media survey shows that in 1991 there were 65 documented abandoned babies. Just 7 years later that number had jumped to 105?

"AB 1764 was introduced in response to these tragedies."

According to supporters fo decriminalizing child abandonment, this bill is primarily intended to provide an

alternative to panicked teenagers who have concealed their pregnancies from friends and family and are frightened by the ramifications of having a baby.

Proponents assert that a benefit of this bill and SB 1368

http://www.loginfo.co.gov/pub/00.00/hill/og_/ah_1764_cfa_20000827_174208_sen_floor.htm _1/11/01

6

is that they will allow a birth mother to surrender her child anonymously, without fear of revealing her identity or having any friends or family discover the fact of the pregnancy and birth. The hope is that the process of immediate and anonymous surrender will encourage mothers who might otherwise abandon their child to go to a hospital emergency room.

ARGUMENTS IN OPPOSITION: Some critics of measures such as AB 1764 say that providing a lawful means of abandoning children will actually increase abandonment and provide reluctant mothers with an alternative route to the more time-consuming adoption process.

A group called the Center for Family Connections argues:
"Anything that encourages abandonment rather than a
thoughtful plan? is not in the best interest of children."
Instead, the group's founder wrote, we "should move
forward and educate and advertise about the steps toward
healthy and conscious adoption placement, rather than
toward abandonment."

Similarly, a group called Bast Nation wrote in opposition to AB 1764, "These laws represent a radical change in child welfare policy toward promoting rather than discouraging abandonment," the group wrote. "The anonymity built into these laws opens up the door to the potential for abuse, fraud, and the worst excesses of Victorian-era social welfare practice when abandonment was the norm and preventative social services were nonexistent."

ASSEMBLY FLOOR

AYES: Aanestad, Ackerman, Alquist, Aroner, Ashburn, Baldwin, Bates, Battin, Baugh, Bock, Brewer, Briggs, Calderon, Campbell, Cardenas, Cardoza, Cedillo, Corbett, Correa, Cox, Cunneen, Davis, Dickerson, Ducheny, Dutra, Firebaugh, Florez, Floyd, Gallegos, Granlund, Havice, Honda, House, Jackson, Kaloogian, Keeley, Knox, Kuehl, Leach, Lempert, Leonard, Longville, Lowenthal, Machado, Maddox, Maldonado, Margett, Mazzoni, McClintock, Migden, Nakano, Olberg, Oller, Robert Pacheco, Pescetti, Reyes, Romero, Runner, Scott, Shelley, Steinberg, Strickland, Strom-Martin, Thomson, Torlakson, Vincent, Wayne, Wesson, Wiggins, Wildman, Wright, Zettel, Hertzberg

RJG:kb 8/27/00 Senate Floor Analyses

SUPPORT/OPPOSITION: SEE ABOVE