DEPT: F AUDITOR-CONTROLLER			BOARD AGENDA # <u>B-12</u> AGENDA DATE <u>February 27, 2001</u> 4/5 Vote Required YES NO		
SUBJECT:		NTRODUCTION AND ENDING PROPERTY			
STAFF RECOMMEN- DATIONS:		D WAIVE THE FIRST PERTY TAX ADMIN			
FISCAL IMPACT:	offset the cost of p from school distric \$781,964.	nodification to the ordin roviding mandated proj ets, the amount recovere	perty tax services. While the other taxing	ile we cannot reco g agencies last yes	over our cos ar totaled
	The cost associated	d with scheduling a me	eting and adopting an o	ordinance is nomi	nal.
BOARD ACTION			No.	2001-149	
and approved b Ayes: Supervise Noes: Supervise Excused or Abs Abstaining: Sup 1)X Appr 2)Deni	y the following vote, ors: sent: Supervisors: pervisor: oved as recommende	Mayfield, Blom, Simo None None None	n, Caruso, and Chair F	2aul	

ATTEST: REAGAN M. WILSON, Clerk By: Deputy

File No. ORD-54-H-6

# APPROVAL OF INTRODUCTION AND WAIVER OF THE FIRST READING OF THE ORDINANCE AMENDING PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATION COSTS, CHAPTER 4.44 Page 2

DISCUSSION: On July 28, 1998, the Board of Supervisors first took action to amend the County Ordinance implementing the recovery of Property Tax Administrative costs from taxing agencies. At that time, changes to the Ordinance were made to help streamline the process which had been in place since the 1990/91 fiscal year. Changes in state law which permit recovery of the Property Tax Administrative costs from taxing agencies have occurred and now require updating of the County Ordinance.

> Since first being authorized under Senate Bill 2557 in the 1990/91 fiscal year, the County has continued to calculate a fee for property tax-related costs of the assessor, tax collector, and auditor, including applicable administrative overhead costs as permitted by Federal Office of Management and Budget Circular A-87 standards. Except for school districts, which were granted an exception to the fee after the first year of implementation, this fee has been applied to all other taxing agencies. In 1996, Assembly Bill 1055 added the costs of the county assessment appeals board to the property tax administrative costs recoverable by the county. The County has continued contracting with a third party vendor to independently prepare the study by which costs are recovered annually from taxing agencies.

The updating of the ordinance will ensure compliance with current state law. It will also provide for the continued recovery of the County costs for these services by taxing agencies.

### POLICY ISSUES:

The Board of Supervisors' approval is required for amendments to the Stanislaus County Code.

### STAFFING IMPACT:

Staff of the offices of County Counsel, Board of Supervisors and Auditor-Controller have participated in the development of this agenda item. Impact on staff has been minimal.

## ORDINANCE NO. C.S. 754

# AN ORDINANCE REGARDING "PROPERTY TAX ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS"

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ORDAINS AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Section 4.44.010 is hereby amended to read as follows:

4.44.10 Costs attributable.

- A. Conditioned on remaining in compliance with State law, commencing in the fiscal year 1997-98, and for every fiscal year thereafter, the auditor-controller is directed to calculate and apportion, amongst all local taxing jurisdictions in the county, the property tax related costs of the assessor, tax collector, and auditor, including applicable administrative overhead costs as permitted by Federal Office of Management and Budget Circular A-87 standards. Based on the calculations and apportionment, the auditor-controller shall offset current year property tax allocations with the property tax related costs attributable to jurisdictions for services rendered in the prior fiscal year.
- B. These property tax administration fee cost calculations attributable to jurisdictions for services rendered in the prior fiscal year shall be submitted in the form of a Final Report for review to the Board of Supervisors, and the affected jurisdictions. Thereafter, the Final Report will be placed on the consent calendar for acceptance by the Board of Supervisors. Any jurisdiction wishing to challenge their apportionment must request that the matter be removed from the consent calendar

1

and that it be placed on the Board of Supervisor's agenda for public hearing. Any decision of the Board is final and subject to the judicial review of administrative proceedings process found in Chapter 2.88 of the Stanislaus County Code.

C. The auditor-controller is further directed to carry out the duties imposed by Section 95.3 of the Revenue and Taxation Code (formerly Sections 95.2 and 97). No offsets described in this section shall be applied to any school district's, community college district's, or county office of education's property tax allocations. (Ord. CS 676 §§ 1, 1998; Ord. CS 430 §§ 1 (part), 1991).

Upon motion of Supervisor	, secon	ded by Supervisor	,
the foregoing ordinance was passed a	nd adopted at a regular me	eting of the Board of Su	upervisors
of the County of Stanislaus, State of	California, this	day of	,
2001, by the following called vote:			

AYES: Supervisors:

NOES: Supervisors:

ABSENT: Supervisors:

Chair of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California

# ATTEST:

REAGAN M. WILSON, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Stanislaus, State of California

By\_\_\_\_\_ Assistant Clerk

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

MICHAEL H. KRAUSNICK, County Counsel

Halleday alorin Bу Victoria A. Halliday

Deputy County Counsel